

1 **ABSTRACT**

2 INTRODUCTION: Healthy pregnancy causes significant changes in maternal
3 hemodynamics that lead to structural and functional adaptation of a woman's
4 heart. Reductions in ejection fraction have been reported in healthy pregnancy;
5 however, this measure of cardiac contractile function is over simplistic and
6 insensitive to the underpinning hemodynamic load. Indices of systolic
7 myocardial deformation, such as left ventricular strain and twist ('LV
8 mechanics') may therefore provide a more accurate assessment of cardiac
9 function during gestation. As such, the aim of this study was to evaluate LV
10 mechanics in the second trimester of healthy pregnancy and secondly, to
11 determine the influence of underpinning hemodynamics (heart rate (HR),
12 preload and afterload) on LV mechanics during gestation.

13 METHODS: We conducted a cross-sectional study of non-pregnant ($n=18$),
14 primiparous pregnant (22-26 weeks gestation; $n=14$) and postpartum (12-16
15 weeks after delivery; $n=13$) women. All pregnant and postpartum women had
16 uncomplicated, singleton gestations. Cardiac structure and function were
17 assessed using echocardiography. LV mechanics, specifically longitudinal
18 strain, circumferential strain and twist/untwist, were measured using speckle-
19 tracking echocardiography. Differences between groups were identified using
20 ANCOVA, with age, HR, end-diastolic volume (EDV) and systolic blood
21 pressure (SBP) as covariates. Relationships between LV mechanics and
22 hemodynamics were examined using Pearson's correlation.

23 RESULTS: Pregnant women had significantly greater resting longitudinal and
24 basal circumferential strain compared to non-pregnant women (-22 ± 2 vs. -

25 17±3%, $P=0.002$ and -23±4 vs. -16±2%, $P=0.001$, respectively) but not apical
26 circumferential strain or LV twist. No statistically significant relationships
27 between LV mechanics and HR, EDV or SBP were observed within groups.

28 CONCLUSION: Compared to the non-pregnant state, pregnant women in the
29 second trimester of healthy pregnancy have significantly greater resting systolic
30 function, as assessed by LV longitudinal and circumferential strain. Contrary to
31 previous work, these data show that healthy pregnant women should not exhibit
32 reductions in resting systolic function between 22-26 weeks. The enhanced
33 myocardial contractile function does not appear to be related to hemodynamic
34 load and could be the result of other physiological adaptations during gestation.

35 **INTRODUCTION**

36 In order to meet the demands of gestation, healthy pregnant women
37 experience significant cardiac adaptation, with a greater cardiac output
38 secondary to increased preload and heart rate (HR) and reduced afterload (1).
39 Despite these favorable hemodynamic changes, it has previously been
40 suggested that systolic function, assessed by ejection fraction (EF), may be
41 reduced (2-4) or unchanged across pregnancy (5-7). However, EF is insensitive
42 to underpinning hemodynamic load (8) and oversimplifies myocardial function.
43 An emerging cardiac imaging technique known as speckle tracking
44 echocardiography may allow more accurate evaluation gestational changes in
45 cardiac function (9).

46 Indices of systolic myocardial deformation, such as left ventricular strain
47 and twist ('LV mechanics') are sensitive to subtle subclinical changes in
48 function, such as that experienced during healthy pregnancy. In the healthy
49 non-pregnant heart, acute increases in HR, preload, or decrements in afterload
50 are associated with increases in LV mechanics (10, 11). Therefore, it could be
51 hypothesized that LV mechanics would be elevated during gestation, however
52 the response to chronic exposure of altered hemodynamic load in pregnancy is
53 not well known. There are marked inconsistencies in the literature showing LV
54 strain to be unchanged (6, 12) or decreased (3-5, 7, 13), and twist to be
55 increased in the third trimester (12-15) and importantly, in most cases, the
56 influence of hemodynamic load was not considered. This currently limits our
57 confidence in knowing whether systolic function is maintained, decreased or in
58 fact, increased during pregnancy. Consequently, measuring LV mechanics may
59 elucidate how cardiac function is altered during gestation; however, this is

60 currently hindered by a lack of standardized values that have been interpreted
61 alongside the underpinning hemodynamic load. Increased understanding of
62 healthy cardiac adaptation to pregnancy, specifically through use of the more
63 sensitive assessments of LV mechanics, may in the future enable the earlier
64 identification of maladaptation observed in hypertensive complications of
65 gestation (16).

66 The aim of this study was firstly, to evaluate LV mechanics in the second
67 trimester of healthy pregnancy and secondly, to determine the influence of
68 underpinning hemodynamics on LV mechanics during gestation. It was
69 hypothesized that, in comparison to non-pregnant and postpartum women,
70 pregnant women would have significantly greater LV mechanics and that these
71 would be related to the altered HR, preload and afterload associated with
72 gestation.

73 **METHODS**

74 *Study population and recruitment*

75 The experimental procedures for this cross-sectional, observational
76 study were reviewed and approved by the Cardiff Metropolitan University
77 Research Ethics Committee. The study complied with the guidelines set out in
78 the Declaration of Helsinki and written voluntary informed consent was gained
79 from all volunteers. The study took place in the Cardiff School of Sport and
80 Health Sciences, Cardiff Metropolitan University between January 2015 and
81 April 2017. The data presented in this manuscript was part of a larger cross-
82 sectional study that investigated cardiovascular responses to physiological
83 challenges during and after pregnancy (manuscript in preparation). Power
84 analyses were completed for the larger cross-sectional study using preliminary

85 cardiac output data of 18 non-pregnant and 9 pregnant women (G*Power,
86 Version 3.1.7.). Data for non-pregnant female controls and pregnant females at
87 rest and during aerobic cycling were analysed with a repeated measures
88 analysis of variance (RMANOVA) using GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad
89 software, San Diego, CA). Results from the RMANOVA analyses were used to
90 calculate partial eta squared (η_p^2). The η_p^2 was entered into the G*Power
91 software to compute an effect size, which allowed the determination of the
92 required sample size. A statistical power of 0.8 and alpha level of less than 0.05
93 were set. A sample size of 10 per group was required to detect differences
94 between groups. This value was increased by 10% to account for the
95 development of pregnancy complications, leading to a requirement of 11
96 individuals per group.

97 Women were recruited from the local community through
98 advertisements and social media. Non-pregnant women were eligible to
99 participate if they were of pre-menopausal and had not experienced a previous
100 pregnancy. Pregnant and postpartum women were eligible for inclusion if they
101 were primiparous and had a singleton, uncomplicated pregnancy. Exclusion
102 criteria included current smokers, preexisting cardiovascular or metabolic
103 disease, any pregnancy complication (such as gestational diabetes mellitus,
104 preeclampsia, severe anemia), and use of medication at time of inclusion.

105 *Experimental procedures*

106 Volunteers visited the laboratory on one occasion for cardiovascular
107 assessment. Prior to this visit, volunteers were asked to abstain from caffeine
108 and/or alcohol for 18 hours and strenuous exercise for 24 hours prior.
109 Volunteers' freestanding stature was measured, body mass was determined

110 using an electronic scale and BMI was calculated. Blood pressure was
111 manually measured on the left arm after 5 minutes of seated rest using the
112 auscultatory method. Two measurements of systolic and diastolic blood
113 pressure (SBP and DBP) were taken and averaged. Mean arterial pressure
114 (MAP) was calculated as $1/3 \text{ SBP} + 2/3 \text{ DBP}$.

115 *Echocardiography*

116 One trained sonographer (VLM) acquired cardiac images in all
117 volunteers after 15 minutes of quiet rest in the left lateral position, following
118 standard echocardiographic procedures. Echocardiography was completed
119 using a commercially available ultrasound system and 1.5 – 4.6 MHz phased
120 array transducer (Vivid E9 and MS5, GE Medical Systems, Horten, Norway)
121 with a three-lead electrocardiograph that enabled the gating of images to HR.

122 Echocardiographic images were collected to measure LV structure and
123 function in accordance with current guidelines (17). Two dimensional (2D)
124 parasternal long axis and short axis views at the base (mitral valve), mid
125 (papillary muscle) and apex levels and apical 4- and 2-chamber views were
126 collected. Tissue Doppler imaging (TDI) of the septal mitral annulus and pulsed
127 wave Doppler of mitral inflow velocities were measured from the apical window.
128 2D images were acquired within a range of 70-90 frames per second, whereas
129 TDI images were collected with frame rates >100 frames per second. Five
130 consecutive cardiac cycles were recorded at end expiration to limit
131 displacement of the heart and changes in intrathoracic cavity pressure during
132 respiration. Data were stored for later offline analysis (EchoPAC, GE Medical,
133 Horton, Norway). Measurements were made in triplicate from different cardiac
134 cycles and averaged.

135 Standard measures of cardiac structure and function

136 Linear dimensions including intraventricular septal wall thickness (IVS),
137 LV internal diameter (LVID), posterior wall thickness (PWT) were measured
138 from a 2D parasternal long axis view. LV length was measured at end-diastole
139 (d) in an apical 4-chamber view. LV mass was calculated using the area-length
140 method. Relative wall thickness (RWT) was calculated as $(2 \times \text{PWTd})/\text{LVIDd}$.
141 Sphericity index, as a measure of left ventricular geometry was also calculated
142 as $\text{LV length d}/\text{LVIDd}$.

143 Cardiac volumes, including SV, EDV and end-systolic volume (ESV)
144 were derived via the Simpson's biplane method using 2D apical 4- and 2-
145 chamber views. This allowed the subsequent calculation of cardiac output as
146 the product of HR and SV. The influence of body size on cardiovascular
147 structure and function is well established; therefore, comparisons between
148 independent groups must be conducted using scaled data. To compare
149 between groups with known changes in body mass as a result of pregnancy,
150 cardiac parameters were scaled to height in this study. Previously published
151 exponents for allometric scaling to height were used for cardiac output (1.83),
152 SV (2.04) (18) and LV mass (2.70) (19), whilst EDV and ESV and internal
153 dimensions were scaled using predicted exponents (2.00 and 1.00,
154 respectively) determined by the theory of dimensionality (20). The coefficient of
155 variations for measurement of cardiac output, stroke volume and end diastolic
156 volume were 3.2, 3.0 and 3.0%, respectively. Systemic vascular resistance
157 (SVR) was calculated as $\text{MAP}/\text{cardiac output}$.

158 Traditional measures of systolic and diastolic function were also
159 measured. Using the volume measurements above, EF was calculated as

160 (SV/EDV) x 100. Peak systolic (S'), early (E') and late (A') diastolic septal
161 velocities were measured to determine tissue displacement across the cardiac
162 cycle and were scaled to LV length (21). The intra-observer coefficient of
163 variations for measurement of S', E' and A' were 5.8, 7.1 and 5.5%,
164 respectively. Trans-mitral blood flow velocities (E and A) were also measured
165 and the E/A ratio calculated.

166 Evaluation of LV mechanics

167 Speckle-tracking echocardiography (STE) was used to measure
168 longitudinal, basal and apical circumferential strain, as well as basal and apical
169 rotation to calculate LV twist. STE is an offline analysis technique that provides
170 local displacement information by tracking natural acoustic markers within
171 myocardial tissue, known as speckles, as previously described (22). The data
172 presented were an average of myocardial segments identified through STE.
173 Longitudinal strain was measured through tracing the LV endocardial border in
174 the apical 4-chamber view. Apical and basal circumferential strain and rotation
175 were derived from parasternal short axis apical and basal views. Twist was
176 calculated by subtracting time-aligned basal rotation from apical rotation and
177 scaled to LV length to calculate torsion ($^{\circ}/\text{cm}$). Strain and rotation curves for
178 three cardiac cycles were generated. The raw frame-by-frame data was
179 transformed using software (2D Strain Analysis Tool, Stuttgart, Germany) that
180 applied a cubic spline interpolation to separate systole and diastole into 600
181 data points each. This enabled time alignment of data, allowing for inter- and
182 intra-individual variability in HR and individualized frame rate at image
183 acquisition. Cardiac cycles with insufficient tracking were excluded from the
184 analysis and are noted in each dataset affected. Peak and time to peak (%)

185 strain, rotation and twist were calculated. Systolic and diastolic strain, and twist
186 and untwist velocities were also measured. The intra-observer coefficient of
187 variations for measurement of longitudinal strain, basal and apical
188 circumferential strain and twist were 7.7, 11.7 and 10.5, and 24.3%,
189 respectively.

190 *Outcome of pregnancy*

191 Gestational outcomes, including gestational age at delivery, infant
192 birthweight, and development of gestational complications, were self-reported
193 and collected using a questionnaire. Women in the pregnant group completed
194 the questionnaire via email or telephone interview within 6 months of delivery.
195 Postpartum women completed the questionnaire during their visit.

196 **Statistical analyses**

197 Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Statistical
198 analyses were conducted using either SPSS (Version 22.0 IBM SPSS Statistics
199 for Macintosh, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) or GraphPad Prism software
200 (GraphPad Prism for Mac, Version 7.0a, Dan Diego, California, USA). Alpha
201 was set at 0.05. One-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) and independent T-
202 tests were used to detect differences between volunteer characteristics. In all
203 cases, data were checked to ensure statistical assumptions were met. Tukey-
204 Kramer *post hoc* test comparisons were completed if the main effects of
205 ANOVA were statistically significant.

206 Analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) were used to determine differences
207 in cardiac parameters after incorporation of covariate parameters (including
208 age, as well as HR, EDV and SBP in the analyses of LV mechanics).

209 Assumptions of ANCOVA were checked: linear relationships and homogeneity
210 of regression slopes between parameters were assessed by visual inspection
211 of scatterplots. Standardized residuals for groups and the overall model were
212 assessed by Shapiro-Wilk's test ($P > 0.05$) for normal distribution.
213 Homoscedasticity and homogeneity of variance were assessed by visual
214 inspection of a scatterplot and Levene's test of homogeneity of variance,
215 respectively. If statistical significance between groups was identified, *post hoc*
216 analyses were performed with a Bonferroni adjustment. Effect size, specifically
217 η_p^2 , was estimated for all analyses using a full factorial univariate model within
218 SPSS. Effect size was interpreted as 0.01 = small effect, 0.06 = medium effect
219 and 0.14 = large effect.

220 In order to investigate the influence of hemodynamic load on LV
221 mechanics, Pearson's correlation was used to identify the relationships to
222 indices of hemodynamic load (HR, SBP, EDV). Linearity and outliers were
223 confirmed by visual assessment of scatter plots. Outliers were included in
224 analyses without transformation if identified, as above. Normality was assessed
225 using Shapiro-Wilks test (> 0.05). The correlation coefficient (r) was interpreted
226 as $0.1 < r < 0.3$ small correlation; $0.3 < r < 0.5$ moderate correlation and $r > 0.5$
227 strong correlation. The coefficient of determination (r^2) was calculated to
228 determine the variance between variables.

229 **RESULTS**

230 Forty-seven women volunteered to participate (non-pregnant women =
231 19, pregnant women between 22-26 weeks gestation = 15, postpartum women
232 12-16 weeks after delivery = 13). Based upon self-report, volunteers were
233 healthy non-smokers, free from cardiovascular and/or metabolic diseases and

234 were not taking any medication at the time of inclusion. All pregnant and
235 postpartum women had uncomplicated, singleton pregnancies and were
236 primiparous, although women who had suffered one previous miscarriage
237 before 12 weeks gestation were included (pregnant $n = 2$; postpartum $n = 2$).
238 The average time point of assessment for pregnant women was 25.4 ± 0.6
239 weeks gestation, and for postpartum women 15.1 ± 1.3 weeks after delivery.
240 The distribution of infant sex and method of delivery (vaginal, elective and
241 emergency Caesarean section) were similar between pregnant and postpartum
242 groups. Non-pregnant women were nulliparous and had never tried to
243 conceive.

244 Two individuals withdrew from the study after recruitment; therefore, 45
245 Caucasian women completed data collection and were included within the final
246 analyses (recruitment flow diagram and reasons for withdrawal included in
247 supplementary material, Figure S1). Characteristics of the study population are
248 presented in Table 1. As non-pregnant women were significantly younger than
249 pregnant and postpartum women, age was included as a covariate in all
250 statistical analyses.

251 In accordance with the current knowledge, pregnant women had
252 significantly higher cardiac output compared with non-pregnant and postpartum
253 women (Figure 1; unscaled and numerical data included in supplementary
254 material Table S1). This was a result of both greater HR and SV (Figure 1). The
255 significantly greater SV was underpinned by a significantly greater EDV in
256 pregnant women versus non-pregnant women (Figure 1). Pregnant women
257 also had significantly lower SVR compared to non-pregnant and postpartum

258 women (Figure 1). Non-pregnant women had significantly higher blood
259 pressures compared to postpartum women (Table 1).

260 There were no significant differences in LV structure (supplementary
261 material, Table S2), traditional measurements of systolic and diastolic function
262 (supplementary material, Table S3), apical circumferential strain, twist or
263 untwist mechanics (both Figure 2 and supplementary material, Table S4)
264 between groups. In comparison to non-pregnant women, pregnant women had
265 significantly greater peak longitudinal (-22 ± 2 vs. $-17\pm 3\%$, $P=0.002$) and basal
266 circumferential strain (-23 ± 4 vs. $-16\pm 2\%$, $P=0.001$; Figure 2; additional data in
267 supplementary material Table S4). Postpartum women had significantly greater
268 basal circumferential strain ($-24\pm 6\%$, $P<0.001$; Figure 2) compared to non-
269 pregnant women, however, there were no significant differences in any other
270 systolic or diastolic parameter between non-pregnant and postpartum women.
271 LV mechanics were not significantly related to HR, EDV or SBP in non-
272 pregnant, pregnant or postpartum women (Figure 3; additional data presented
273 in supplementary material Table S5).

274 **DISCUSSION**

275 The aim of this study was to evaluate whether differences exist in LV
276 mechanics in the second trimester of healthy pregnancy compared to the non-
277 pregnant and postpartum state. We also aimed to determine the influence of
278 underpinning hemodynamics on LV mechanics during gestation. Our
279 hypothesis was partially supported by significantly greater longitudinal and
280 basal circumferential strain, but not apical circumferential strain or twist, in
281 pregnant versus non-pregnant women. Greater LV strain in pregnant women
282 was not significantly related to HR or indices of preload and afterload. These

283 findings suggest that healthy pregnant women in the late second trimester have
284 greater systolic function, when assessed using speckle tracking
285 echocardiography, which appears not to be related to hemodynamic load.

286 *LV mechanics are not independently related to preload, afterload or heart rate*

287 Changes in hemodynamic load alter LV mechanics in the healthy human
288 heart; however, in this study the greater LV strain parameters were not
289 correlated to EDV, HR or SBP in pregnant women. It could be suggested that
290 the elevated cardiac preload (greater EDV) and lower afterload (maintained
291 SBP with a lower SVR) observed within this study may explain the greater
292 systolic function via activation of the Frank-Starling mechanism and a greater
293 velocity of fibre shortening, respectively. However, there were no associations
294 between hemodynamic load and systolic function parameters in pregnant
295 women. We therefore suggest that the altered HR, preload and afterload of
296 gestation, may only partially contribute to the greater LV strain observed. It is
297 possible that other physiological alterations of pregnancy, such as altered
298 hormonal milieu and/or elevated sympathetic activity, influence cardiac
299 function; however, this hypothesis must be tested in a longitudinal study
300 including such measurements.

301 Previous work has shown increased sympathetic activity during healthy
302 pregnancy (23, 24) as well as activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone
303 system both of which may exert inotropic effects on the maternal heart (25).
304 Additionally, the increased circulating estrogen associated with healthy
305 pregnancy may also influence cardiac function. Estrogen upregulates the action
306 of L-type calcium ion channels in cardiomyocytes isolated from the base, but
307 not the apex (26), therefore, higher levels of estrogen during pregnancy may

308 result in greater myocyte contraction at the basal epicardium causing greater
309 deformation, as shown by greater longitudinal and basal circumferential strain
310 in this study. Together, the elevated sympathetic drive and altered hormonal
311 milieu of pregnancy may contribute to altered systolic function observed during
312 healthy pregnancy independent of any changes in overall hemodynamic load.

313 *Enhanced systolic function during healthy pregnancy*

314 In previous clinical studies in non-pregnant populations, a reduction in
315 LV strain has been associated with cardiac dysfunction and pathology (9), in
316 contrast; greater deformation in a physiological state such as healthy
317 pregnancy may reflect a positive adaptation to increased cardiac demand at
318 rest. We interpret the greater longitudinal and basal circumferential strain in
319 pregnant women in this study as an “enhanced” systolic function. However, it
320 is possible that increased resting systolic function may result in a lower capacity
321 to respond to acute physiological challenges (27), and a blunted cardiac
322 reserve. Without the assessment of the dynamic responses to increased
323 cardiovascular demand, we are yet to fully understand if the cardiac reserve is
324 maintained or reduced during the second trimester of pregnancy (28).

325 Greater LV strain in the late second trimester of pregnancy as shown in
326 this study is discordant with previous literature. Both unchanged (3-6, 12) and
327 decreased (13) longitudinal and circumferential strain have been reported at
328 similar time points of gestation. It is likely that the broad time ranges of
329 assessment in previous studies and the inclusion of heterogeneous cohorts
330 (multigravida, twin and complicated pregnancies) may explain these
331 differences. Our study cohort consisted of healthy, primiparous women
332 assessed during a short gestational window. Therefore, our results cannot be

333 generalized to multigravida or multiple gestations, or to pregnant women
334 outside of this gestational age. The homogenous cohort included in this study
335 provides strong support that healthy pregnant women do not experience
336 reductions in systolic or diastolic function between 22-26 weeks.

337 *Clinical and research implications*

338 The present data prompt speculation that otherwise healthy women who
339 present with reduced cardiac function, specifically LV mechanics, at this time
340 point in pregnancy may develop cardiovascular complications later in gestation.
341 Disorders such as preeclampsia and gestational hypertension amalgamate with
342 significant cardiac dysfunction (16) including significant reductions in EF. In
343 other populations, previous work has shown that reductions in LV strain
344 precede reductions in EF and the development of overt disease (29). The
345 assessment of LV mechanics, over and above standard echocardiography,
346 completed in the early second trimester could identify women who later develop
347 cardiovascular complications however, this hypothesis requires further
348 investigation.

349 *Study limitations and strengths*

350 Our understanding of LV mechanics in healthy pregnancy would be
351 improved by additional research at different stages of gestation. Previous work
352 by Melchiorre *et al.* has shown significant reductions in diastolic function in
353 otherwise healthy pregnant women from the early third trimester (28 to 32
354 weeks) to term (37 to 39 weeks) (30), highlighting that dysfunctional change
355 can occur over a short duration and at a critical point of pregnancy. The
356 assessment of LV mechanics at term may provide further insight into

357 adaptations that lead to the impairments in maternal cardiac function in late
358 gestation. Although not feasible in this study, future work should utilize a
359 longitudinal design with multiple assessments at preconception, during each
360 trimester and at term, as well as during the postpartum period in order to fully
361 elucidate changes in myocardial deformation across pregnancy.

362 There were several limitations in this study including the small sample
363 size that was powered to achieve the first aim of this study. As such, the results
364 of the correlational analyses may differ with a powered sample size. The cross-
365 sectional nature of this study prevents the understanding of within-individual
366 adaptation, which would provide greater insight into the factors underpinning
367 differences in LV mechanics. Additionally, the clinical relevance of LV
368 mechanics during pregnancy would be better understood if women diagnosed
369 with cardiovascular complications such as gestational hypertension and
370 preeclampsia were also studied. Finally, it must be noted that longitudinal strain
371 is only a proxy of LV contraction due to the double helical, and not longitudinal,
372 arrangement of myocytes (31). However, in this study both longitudinal and
373 basal circumferential strain were significantly greater in pregnant women.

374 *Conclusions*

375 Women in second trimester of healthy pregnancy have significantly
376 greater cardiac contractile function compared to non-pregnant and postpartum
377 women. The significantly greater LV strain observed was not related to preload,
378 afterload or heart rate, and may be result of other physiological adaptations to
379 pregnancy.

380 *DISCLOSURES*

381 There are no disclosures of financial support, including provision of supplies or
382 services from a commercial organization, and no disclosures of funding to declare for
383 this study.

384

385 **References**

- 386 1. Meah, V.L., et al., *Cardiac output and related haemodynamics during*
387 *pregnancy: a series of meta-analyses*. Heart, 2016. **102**(7): p. 518-26.
- 388 2. Zentner, D., et al., *Deterioration in cardiac systolic and diastolic function*
389 *late in normal human pregnancy*. Clin Sci (Lond), 2009. **116**(7): p. 599-
390 606.
- 391 3. Estensen, M.E., et al., *Altered maternal left ventricular contractility and*
392 *function during normal pregnancy*. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol, 2013.
393 **41**(6): p. 659-66.
- 394 4. Cong, J., et al., *Structural and functional changes in maternal left*
395 *ventricle during pregnancy: a three-dimensional speckle-tracking*
396 *echocardiography study*. Cardiovasc Ultrasound, 2015. **13**: p. 6.
- 397 5. Sengupta, S.P., et al., *Gestational changes in left ventricular myocardial*
398 *contractile function: new insights from two-dimensional speckle tracking*
399 *echocardiography*. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2017. **33**(1): p. 69-82.
- 400 6. Ando, T., et al., *Physiological adaptation of the left ventricle during the*
401 *second and third trimesters of a healthy pregnancy: a speckle tracking*
402 *echocardiography study*. Am J Cardiovasc Dis, 2015. **5**(2): p. 119-26.
- 403 7. Savu, O., et al., *Morphological and functional adaptation of the maternal*
404 *heart during pregnancy*. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging, 2012. **5**(3): p. 289-97.
- 405 8. Konstam, M.A. and F.M. Abboud, *Ejection Fraction: Misunderstood and*
406 *Overrated (Changing the Paradigm in Categorizing Heart Failure)*.
407 Circulation, 2017. **135**(8): p. 717-719.
- 408 9. Marwick, T.H., *Measurement of strain and strain rate by*
409 *echocardiography: ready for prime time?* J Am Coll Cardiol, 2006. **47**(7):
410 p. 1313-27.
- 411 10. Stöhr, E.J., et al., *Left ventricular twist mechanics in the context of*
412 *normal physiology and cardiovascular disease: a review of studies using*
413 *speckle tracking echocardiography*. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol,
414 2016. **311**(3): p. H633-44.
- 415 11. Burns, A.T., et al., *Left ventricular strain and strain rate: characterization*
416 *of the effect of load in human subjects*. Eur J Echocardiogr, 2010. **11**(3):
417 p. 283-9.
- 418 12. Tzemos, N., et al., *Effect of pregnancy on left ventricular motion (twist)*
419 *in women with aortic stenosis*. Am J Cardiol, 2008. **101**(6): p. 870-3.
- 420 13. Papadopoulou, E., et al., *Factors Influencing the Twisting and Untwisting*
421 *Properties of the Left Ventricle during Normal Pregnancy*.
422 Echocardiography, 2013.
- 423 14. Hristova, K., et al. *Can Left Ventricular Torsional Mechanics Using*
424 *Speckle Tracking Echocardiography in Pregnancy to Predict the New*
425 *Onset Heart Failure?* in *Internation Society of Hypertension*. 2016.
426 Seoul, Korea: J Hypertens.
- 427 15. Yoon, A.J., et al., *Left ventricular torsional mechanics in uncomplicated*
428 *pregnancy*. Clin Cardiol, 2011. **34**(9): p. 543-8.
- 429 16. Melchiorre, K., et al., *Severe myocardial impairment and chamber*
430 *dysfunction in preterm preeclampsia*. Hypertens Pregnancy, 2012.
431 **31**(4): p. 454-71.
- 432 17. Lang, R.M., et al., *Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification*
433 *by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of*

- 434 *Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular*
435 *Imaging*. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging, 2015. **16**(3): p. 233-70.
- 436 18. de Simone, G., et al., *Stroke volume and cardiac output in normotensive*
437 *children and adults. Assessment of relations with body size and impact*
438 *of overweight*. Circulation, 1997. **95**(7): p. 1837-43.
- 439 19. de Simone, G., et al., *Left ventricular mass and body size in*
440 *normotensive children and adults: assessment of allometric relations*
441 *and impact of overweight*. J Am Coll Cardiol, 1992. **20**(5): p. 1251-60.
- 442 20. Batterham, A.M., et al., *Scaling cardiac structural data by body*
443 *dimensions: a review of theory, practice, and problems*. Int J Sports Med,
444 1999. **20**(8): p. 495-502.
- 445 21. Batterham, A., et al., *Longitudinal plane colour tissue-Doppler*
446 *myocardial velocities and their association with left ventricular length,*
447 *volume, and mass in humans*. Eur J Echocardiogr, 2008. **9**(4): p. 542-6.
- 448 22. Helle-Valle, T., et al., *New noninvasive method for assessment of left*
449 *ventricular rotation: speckle tracking echocardiography*. Circulation,
450 2005. **112**(20): p. 3149-56.
- 451 23. Jarvis, S.S., et al., *Sympathetic activation during early pregnancy in*
452 *humans*. J Physiol, 2012. **590**(15): p. 3535-43.
- 453 24. Usselman, C.W., et al., *Sympathetic baroreflex gain in normotensive*
454 *pregnant women*. J Appl Physiol (1985), 2015. **119**(5): p. 468-74.
- 455 25. Charkoudian, N., et al., *Muscle sympathetic nerve activity and volume-*
456 *regulating factors in healthy pregnant and nonpregnant women*. Am J
457 J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol, 2017. **313**(4): p. H782-H787.
- 458 26. Yang, X., et al., *Oestrogen upregulates L-type Ca(2)(+) channels via*
459 *oestrogen-receptor- by a regional genomic mechanism in female rabbit*
460 *hearts*. J Physiol, 2012. **590**(3): p. 493-508.
- 461 27. Sengupta, P.P., et al., *Twist mechanics of the left ventricle: principles*
462 *and application*. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging, 2008. **1**(3): p. 366-76.
- 463 28. Meah, V.L., et al., *Functional Haemodynamic Testing in Pregnancy:*
464 *Recommendations of The International Working Group on Maternal*
465 *Haemodynamics*. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol, 2017.
- 466 29. Smiseth, O.A., et al., *Myocardial strain imaging: how useful is it in clinical*
467 *decision making?* Eur Heart J, 2016. **37**(15): p. 1196-207.
- 468 30. Melchiorre, K., et al., *Maternal Cardiovascular Function in Normal*
469 *Pregnancy: Evidence of Maladaptation to Chronic Volume Overload.*
470 *Hypertension*, 2016. **67**(4): p. 754-62.
- 471 31. Samuel, T.J. and E.J. Stohr, *Clarification on the role of LV untwisting in*
472 *LV "relaxation" and diastolic filling*. Clin Res Cardiol, 2017.
- 473

474 **TABLES**475 **Table 1. Volunteer characteristics.**

	Non-pregnant	Pregnant	Postpartum	<i>P</i>	η_p^2
<i>n</i>	18	14	13		
Age (years)	28±4 *	32±3	33±2	0.001 ¶	0.360
Body mass (kg)	64±13	73±8	67±11	0.07	0.122
Height (cm)	166±7	167±4	166±4	0.78	0.012
BMI (kg·m ²)	23±4	26±4	23±4	0.07	0.118
SBP (mmHg)	113±7	109±8	105±6 †	0.01	0.186
DBP (mmHg)	68±6	63±5	61±4 †	0.002	0.256
MAP (mmHg)	83±6	79±6	75±4 †	0.002	0.264
Birthweight (kg) §	NA	3.46±0.47	3.14±0.50	0.10	0.107
GA at delivery (wks) §	NA	40.3±2.2	39.7±1.5	0.39	0.031

476 **N.B.** Data as mean±SD. BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic
477 blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; NA, not applicable; GA, gestational age. *P*-value
478 and effect size (η_p^2) from ANCOVA (age as covariate). Multiple comparisons identified through
479 *post hoc* Tukey-Kramer tests.

480 || indicates statistical analysis completed using ANOVA.

481 § indicates statistical analysis completed using independent T-tests.

482 * *P* < 0.05 vs. pregnant and postpartum, † *P* < 0.05 vs. non-pregnant.

483 ¶ *P* value is less than 0.0001

484

486 **Figure 1. Healthy pregnant women in the late second trimester have**
487 **greater cardiac output (A), heart rate (C), stroke volume (D) and lower**
488 **systemic vascular resistance (B) compared to non-pregnant and**
489 **postpartum women.**

490 **N.B.** Data presented as mean±SD. EDV, end-diastolic volume (E); ESV, end-systolic volume
491 (F). *P*-value and effect size (η_p^2) from ANCOVA with age as a covariate. Statistically significant
492 comparisons identified through *post hoc* Bonferroni procedure. Capped lines indicate significant
493 difference between respective groups ($P < 0.05$), * only indicates significant difference to all
494 groups ($P < 0.05$). ¶ *P* value is less than 0.0001.

495 **Figure 2. Longitudinal (A) and basal circumferential strain (B) were**
496 **significantly greater in pregnant women compared to non-pregnant**
497 **women, with no significant differences in apical circumferential strain (C)**
498 **or left ventricular twist (D) between groups.**

499 **N.B.** Data presented as mean±SD. *P*-value and effect size (η_p^2) from ANCOVA with age, heart
500 rate, systolic blood pressure and end-diastolic volume as covariates. Statistically significant
501 comparisons identified through *post hoc* Bonferroni procedure. Capped lines indicate significant
502 difference between respective groups ($P < 0.05$), * only indicates significant difference to all
503 groups ($P < 0.05$). ¶ *P* value is less than 0.0001.

504 Inadequate speckle tracking led to a reduction in the *n* included in this analysis. Non-pregnant
505 *n* reduced to 17 in all parameters, except basal circumferential strain. Pregnant *n* reduced to
506 12 in longitudinal strain and 13 in basal circumferential strain analyses. Postpartum *n* reduced
507 to 12 in analysis of longitudinal strain (presented in Supplementary material – Table S5).

508 **Figure 3. Left ventricular mechanics were not significantly related to heart**
509 **rate, end-diastolic volume (EDV) and systolic blood pressure (SBP) in**
510 **non-pregnant women, pregnant women between 22-26 weeks gestation**
511 **and postpartum women 12-16 weeks after delivery.**

512 **N.B.** *r*, r^2 and *P*-value determined via Pearson's correlations (presented in Supplementary
513 material - Table S5).

514 Inadequate speckle tracking led to a reduction in the *n* included in this analysis. Non-pregnant
515 *n* reduced to 17 in all parameters, except basal circumferential strain. Pregnant *n* reduced to
516 12 in longitudinal strain and 13 in basal circumferential strain analyses. Postpartum *n* reduced
517 to 12 in analysis of longitudinal strain (presented in Supplementary material – Table S5).

518