

# Understanding what it means to be “Charcot foot health literate”

*Benjamin Bullen*

**Described as a “Cinderella condition”, Charcot foot is little understood within the non-specialist medical community. In this article, Benjamin Bullen (Cardiff Metropolitan University, Cardiff, UK) presents a multidimensional conceptualisation of health literacy and underlines the importance of developing knowledge on the subject of Charcot foot, especially for the treatment of “At-risk” patients with diabetic peripheral neuropathy.**

Early conceptualisations of health literacy focused on “the ability to read and comprehend written medical information and instructions”.<sup>1</sup> Since the turn of the century, research has consistently reported that these “functional” health literacy traits may be lower among individuals with diabetes mellitus.<sup>2-4</sup> However, a seminal paper by Don Nutbeam in 2000, “Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century,” described a continuum of escalating “functional”, “interactive” and “critical” health literacy traits.<sup>5</sup>

In addition to applied literacy skills, interactive (more commonly referred to now as “communicative”) health literacy further considers health-information-seeking behaviours.<sup>6</sup> Such skills are necessary for individuals to make sense of information from a variety of increasingly online sources.<sup>7-9</sup> Communicative health literacy skills are further necessary for engagement with health care professionals and the development of effective diabetes self-management behaviours.

Information appraisal represents more advanced “critical” health literacy skills, defined by Sara Rubinelli and colleagues<sup>10</sup> as the “capacity to contextualise health knowledge for his or her own good health [and] to decide on a certain action after a full appraisal of what that specific action means for them ‘in their own world’.” For people with diabetic peripheral neuropathy who are “At-risk” of Charcot foot development, further disease-specific contextualisation is warranted.

## **The Charcot foot**

If you have never heard of Charcot foot, you are not alone. This rare, yet potentially devastating condition has been defined as “a syndrome in patients who have neuropathy or loss of sensation”, and “includes fractures and dislocations of bones and joints that occur with minimal or no known trauma.”<sup>11</sup> Classic signs of ‘Active’ disease are a unilateral, erythematous, hot, and swollen foot. Moreover, sensory neuropathy may mask painful symptoms and delay presentation to specialist services and timely below-knee offloading. Incidence has been reported among 0.1% and 29% of people with diabetes, while published prevalence ranges from 0.08–13%.<sup>12-14</sup>

Due to its relative rarity, several authors have considered Charcot foot to be a “Cinderella condition”. For Susan Freeman, “the Charcot foot is often the Cinderella of diabetic foot disease, requiring a high index of suspicion. Early referral and treatment is usually the key to prevent long term deformity and recurrent foot problems.”<sup>15</sup>

At the 20<sup>th</sup> Annual National Conference of The Diabetic Foot Journal, William Jeffcoate expanded on this metaphor in his presentation, entitled “The little we know about the Charcot foot, the Cinderella of Cinderellas: It is bizarre that the profession pays so little attention to such a serious condition”.<sup>16</sup>

We are aware of only one study investigating Charcot foot knowledge among health care professionals. Brian Schmidt and colleagues<sup>17</sup> explored Charcot foot knowledge among 400 non-specialist US physicians, reporting poor or no practical knowledge among 68% of these individuals. Research among diabetes populations, including “At-risk” groups with diabetic peripheral neuropathy, are currently lacking.

### **Charcot foot health literacy**

Charcot foot health literacy may be defined as knowledge and understanding of the Charcot foot and having the skills and confidence to recognise “danger signs” and seek professional help. “Danger signs” include the presence of an erythematous, hot and swollen foot in an individual with diabetic peripheral neuropathy. Within the UK, “professional help” may be multidisciplinary, including podiatrists and medical specialists. Orthopaedic surgeons may also correct resultant foot deformity following resolution of the “Active” phase.

We wish to reiterate the need for a high index of suspicion of Charcot foot in the event of “danger signs”. To this end, all healthcare providers engaged with “At-risk” populations should be Charcot foot health literate.

Addressing practitioner literacy is just one piece of the puzzle, however. Recent UK and European research, involving 600 general practitioners and 1,188 cases of diabetes foot ulceration, reported that patient concerns prompted diagnosis in an average of 60% of cases.<sup>18</sup> Further observational research is therefore warranted concerning the specific Charcot foot health literacy characteristics possessed by patients. In addition, we urge the reader to discuss this condition with all “At-risk” patients, a sentiment shared by podiatry respondents to a recent Scottish survey.<sup>19-20</sup>

### **References:**

1. Coulter A, Ellins J. Patient-focused interventions: a review of the evidence. Health Foundation London; 2006.
2. Schillinger D, Grumbach K, Piette J, Wang F, Osmond D, Daher C, *et al.* Association of health literacy with diabetes outcomes. *JAMA*. 2002;288(4):475-82.
3. Rothman RL, Malone R, Bryant B, Wolfe C, Padgett P, DeWalt DA, *et al.* The spoken knowledge in low literacy in diabetes scale. *Diabetes Educ*. 2005;31(2):215-24.
4. Cavanaugh K, Huizinga MM, Wallston KA, Gebretsadik T, Shintani A, Davis D, *et al.* Association of numeracy and diabetes control. *Ann Intern Med*. 2008 May 20;148(10):737-46.
5. Nutbeam D. Health literacy as a public health goal: a challenge for contemporary health education and communication strategies into the 21st century. *Health Promot Internation*. 2000;15(3):259-67.
6. Longo DR, Schubert SL, Wright BA, LeMaster J, Williams CD, Clore JN. Health information seeking, receipt, and use in diabetes self-management. *Ann Fam Med*. 2010;8(4):334-40.
7. Moreland J, French T, Cumming GP. Exploring Online Health Information Seeking in Scotland. *PAHI*; 2015.

8. Moreland J, French TL, Cumming GP. The Prevalence of Online Health Information Seeking Among Patients in Scotland: A Cross-Sectional Exploratory Study. *JMIR Res Protoc*. 2015 Jul 15;4(3): e85.
9. Vandenbosch J, Van den Broucke S, Schinckus L, Schwarz P, Doyle G, Pelikan J, *et al*. The impact of health literacy on diabetes self-management education. *Health Educ J*. 2018;77(3):349-62.
10. Rubinelli S, Schulz PJ, Nakamoto K. Health literacy beyond knowledge and behaviour: Letting the patient be a patient. *Int J Public Health*. 2009;54(5):307-11.
11. Charcot arthropathy [Internet].; 2017 [cited 09/02/2020]. Available from: <http://www.aofas.org/footcaremd/conditions/diabetic-foot/Pages/Charcot-Arthropathy.aspx>.
12. Fabrin J, Larsen K, Holstein PE. Long-term follow-up in diabetic Charcot feet with spontaneous onset. *Diabetes Care*. 2000 Jun;23(6):796-800.
13. Lavery LA, Armstrong DG, Wunderlich RP, Tredwell J, Boulton AJ. Diabetic foot syndrome: evaluating the prevalence and incidence of foot pathology in Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic whites from a diabetes disease management cohort. *Diabetes Care*. 2003 May;26(5):1435-8.
14. Frykberg RG, Belczyk R. Epidemiology of the Charcot foot. *Clin Podiatr Med Surg*. 2008;25(1):17-28.
15. Charcot foot [Internet].; 2015 [updated April 14; cited 09/02/2020]. Available from: <https://www.glycosmedia.com/charcot-foot/>.
16. Jeffcoate W. The little we know about the Charcot foot, the Cinderella of Cinderellas: It is bizarre that the profession pays so little attention to such a serious condition. 20th Annual National Conference of The Diabetic Foot Journal. Ibis Hotel Earls Court, London; 2019.
17. Schmidt BM, Wrobel JS, Holmes CM. Physician knowledge of a rare foot condition—influence of diabetic patient population on self-described knowledge and treatment. *Clinical Diabetes and Endocrinology*. 2017;3(1):2.
18. Manu C, Lacopi E, Bouillet B, Vouillarmet J, Ahluwalia R, Lüdemann C, *et al*. Delayed referral of patients with diabetic foot ulcers across Europe: patterns between primary care and specialised units. *J Wound Care*. 2018;27(3):186-92.
19. Bullen B, Young M, McArdle C, Ellis M. Charcot neuroarthropathy patient education among podiatrists in Scotland: a modified Delphi approach. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research*. 2018;11(1):54.
20. Bullen B, Young M, McArdle C, Ellis M. It's time we talked about Charcot foot: results of a podiatry patient education questionnaire. *The Diabetic Foot Journal*. 2019;22(3):12-7.

**Benjamin Bullen** is a podiatrist and lecturer in podiatry at Cardiff Metropolitan University, Cardiff, UK. His research interests include health literacy and the applied concept of Charcot foot health literacy, explored throughout professional doctorate research at Queen Margaret University, Edinburgh, UK.

**Co-authors listed for this article include: Matthew Young, consultant physician, NHS Lothian Diabetes Foot Service, New Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; Carla McArdle, lecturer**

**in podiatry, Queen Margaret University, Edinburgh, UK; and Mairghread Ellis, senior lecturer in podiatry, Queen Margaret University, Edinburgh, UK.**

**The authors have no disclosures.**