

CAROLINE BICKERSTAFF

UNIVERSITY NUMBER: 05002497

CARDIFF SCHOOL OF SPORT

UNIVERSITY OF WALES INSTITUTE, CARDIFF

**DOES AEROBIC FITNESS OR REPEATED SPRINT ABILITY
FITNESS INFLUENCE CONTRIBUTION TO PLAY, IN FEMALE
UNIVERSITY HOCKEY PLAYERS? ARE THE FITNESS TESTS
USED VALID METHODS OF ASSESSMENT?**

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

	Page Number
Title	
Declaration	
Contents	
List of Tables	
List of Figures	
Acknowledgements	i
Abstract	ii

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION:

Aim of Research	1
Statement of Research Questions	6

CHAPTER TWO

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

Hockey	8
Aerobic Fitness	9
Anaerobic Fitness	10
Heart Rate	12
Repeated Sprint Ability	13
Sprint Metabolism	15
Match Analysis	16
Conclusion	18

CHAPTER THREE

METHODOLOGY:

Participants	19
Procedures	19
Multi-Stage Fitness Test	20
Repeated Sprint Tests	21
Notational Analysis	23
Heart Rates	24
Statistical Analysis	25

CHAPTER FOUR

RESULTS:

Multi-Stage Fitness Test	26
Repeated Sprint Tests	26
Match Related Results	26
Relationships between fitness tests & match performance	27
Relationship between fitness tests results	30

CHAPTER FIVE

DISCUSSION:

The relationship between the Multi-Stage Fitness Test and match performance	31
The relationship between the Repeated Sprint Tests and match performance	36
The relationship between the Multi-Stage Fitness Test and the Repeated Sprint Ability Tests	40

CHAPTER SIX

CONCLUSION:

Findings	43
Limitations & Delimitations	43
Future Recommendations	44

CHAPTER SEVEN

References & bibliography	47
---------------------------	----

LIST OF TABLES:

Table 1. Mean and SD of the performance variables from the two trials of RSTs P 26

Table 2. Frequency and duration of motions during the field hockey game (mean \pm SD) P 27

LIST OF FIGURES:

Figure 1. Scatter plot of the correlation between the MSFT performance (Level-shuttle) and % of match time spent in low intensity activity ($r = -0.203$ $P = 0.527$) P 28

Figure 2. Scatter plot of the correlation between maximum and average sprint times (s) and % of match time spent in high intensity activity ($r = -0.525$ $P = 0.80$; $r -0.392$ $P = 0.208$) P 29

Figure 3. Scatter plot of the correlation between the fatigue index (%) and % of match time spent in low intensity activity ($r = 0.168$ $P = 0.602$) P 29

Acknowledgements:

I would like to thank Michael Hughes for his guidance, advice and support throughout this demanding time.

I would also like to express my appreciation to the physiology technicians for their help with my equipment requirements.

The investigation would not have been possible without the participants, to whom I am very grateful for their time and commitment.

Also I would like to give a special mention to Louise Watton, for her sustained help with the notational data collection, without which it would have been very difficult for me to fulfil my playing commitments.

Finally, I would like to say a massive 'Thank you' to my parents, for their persistent support and help throughout my time at UWIC University.

Abstract:

The aim of the study was to examine whether aerobic fitness or repeated sprint ability was related to match performance. During the competitive season, twelve UWIC university hockey players, from within the three squads, completed a multi-stage fitness test and two trials of a repeated sprint ability test (10 x 40m on 40 s). These tests are used in hockey, but whether these aspects of fitness relate to match performance is unclear. Match performance was then quantified during fixtures, in real time using the Power system (P.O'Donoghue). Each participant was analysed for a 35 minute duration, of which they were involved on the pitch. The selected measures considered most representative of either test or match performance, were performance level and shuttles achieved for the multi-stage fitness test, average and maximum sprint times (s) plus fatigue index (%) for the repeated sprint tests and percentage of time spent at either low or high intensity to represent match performance.

The results indicated only statistically non-significant correlations between the test results and match-related performance. The correlations between the multi-stage fitness test performance and the % of time spent at low and high intensity were ($P = 0.527$). The correlations between the results of the repeated sprint tests and the % of time spent at low and high intensity were, for average sprint time (s) ($P = 0.208$), for maximum sprint time (s) ($P = 0.80$) and for fatigue % ($P = 0.602$). There were also only statistically non-significant correlations between the level of performance achieved in the multi-stage fitness test and the results from the repeated sprint tests. The correlations between the multi-stage fitness test performance and average sprint time, maximum sprint time and fatigue % were ($P = 0.570$, $P = 0.421$, $P = 0.419$) respectively. In conclusion, this investigation provides no support to the validity of the multi-stage fitness test or repeated sprint test in relation to match performance in female university hockey players.

CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

Aim of Research

Field hockey is a team invasion game, with two teams each consisting of 11 players. It is characterised like other team games, such as football and rugby, by an intermittent activity profile (Duthie *et al.*, 2005; Mitchell-Taverner, 2005). Players are therefore required to have an expansive range of abilities and skills, and be capable of maintaining both of these, whilst performing constantly changing movement patterns, throughout a 70 minute match duration (Reilly & Borrie, 1992; Mitchell-Taverner, 2005). Players are required to produce-reproduce maximal sprints of short durations (1-7s), followed by short recovery periods, over the extended 70 minutes which imposes substantial physiological demands (Bishop *et al.*, 2001, 2003). Although physical size is unrelated to success in hockey, a successful player does need quick and skilful execution of technique (Anders, 1999), confirming the need for both aerobic and anaerobic fitness.

The game itself has undergone major modifications in recent years; the transfer from grass to artificial turf has changed the pace, style and to some extent the technical execution of some skills (Reilly & Borrie, 1992; Spencer *et al.* 2004). There have also been two fundamental rule changes, the removal of offside and the allowance of unlimited rolling substitutions (Spencer *et al.* 2004). These changes have significantly altered the physiological demands of the game, with greater total distances being covered and higher intensities being maintained (Spencer *et al.* 2004; Coutts, 2005). As a result of this, at present there is a gap in the knowledge with relation to the physiological demands of the current game. This also

emphasises the need to establish whether fitness tests used within hockey, such as the multi-stage fitness test (MSFT) and variations of repeated sprint tests (RSTs), give valid indications of potential match performance (Lothian & Farrally, 1994; Spencer *et al.*, 2005).

The quality and validity of the assessment procedures used to assess physiological performance indicators need to be considered. Two fundamental fitness prerequisites essential for a player are repeated sprint ability (RSA) and aerobic fitness (Reilly & Thomas, 1976; Reilly & Borrie, 1992; Dawson *et al.*, 1993). Examination of the assessment tests for these variables is critical in determining whether or not the tests are valid indicators of match performance. Whether the fitness aspects being measured relate to match performance is at present uncertain.

If the tests are found to be valid, then the results can be useful within hockey to assess an individual in terms of their performance. This will allow us to identify players' strengths and weaknesses, which can then be used within goal setting, aid the selection process, to monitor progression/regression and to monitor the effectiveness of implementing a specific training programme (Marrow *et al.*, 2005). If the tests are found to be invalid, or the aspects of fitness not relate to match performance, then this demonstrates the need for further test development/alteration or the construction of alternative tests. It also prevents invalid results being used and applied, which could be highly detrimental.

RSA is defined as the ability to perform repeated sprints with minimal recovery between the end of one sprint and the beginning of the next (Spencer *et al.*, 2005). Many, such as Bishop and others (2001, 2003), and Spencer and co workers (2004), now consider RSA an integral fitness prerequisite of team sport performance. It is apparent that the anaerobic ATP production required to enable repeated sprint activity to occur, is provided by contributions from the PCr degradation, anaerobic glycolysis and aerobic system (Wilmore & Costill, 2004; McArdle *et al.*, 2006). Each systems contribution is determined and altered in accordance with the duration, frequency and recovery time between sprints.

The ATP-PCr system is the predominant energy pathway utilised when performing high-intensity / short-duration activities (Wilmore & Costill, 2004). It is therefore important that hockey players have an efficient ATP-PCr system. The fact that PCr stores are only partially depleted during repeated sprint bouts indicates the importance of anaerobic glycolysis as an energy pathway used by hockey players. The rate of PCr degradation also appears to be directly related to training status, although this has not yet been researched in team sport participants (Bishop *et al.*, 2003; Wilmore & Costill, 2004). The performance of subsequent sprints uses an increased contribution from the aerobic system and this reliance increases as the frequency of the sprinting intensifies (Spencer, *et al.*, 2005).

RSA and its testing are still relatively new concepts, and subsequently there is limited research that has examined the reliability and validity of RSA as a performance indicator in terms of its relation to match performance, or critiqued the procedural assessment methods.

Maximal oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2max}$) is suggested by Shephard, (1994) to be a universal measure of aerobic fitness. $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ is defined as “the global outcome of the rate at which the body can extract oxygen from the atmosphere via the cardiopulmonary system, transport it via the cardiovascular system and use it in skeletal muscle” (The British Association of Sport and Exercise Science, 2007). With reference to aerobic fitness relating to the performance of repeated sprints, it has been highlighted as essential in aiding recovery between sprints and enabling consistency in sprint performance (Impellizzeri *et al.*, 2005). Aerobic fitness in terms of match performance is predominant in ATP production, due to the prolonged duration of the match (Aziz *et al.*, 2000).

Coutts, (2005) stated that hockey, along with other team sports, requires participants to be capable of covering large distances as well as performing maximal bouts of high intensity work. Previous research in this area has shown that a large percentage of match time and distance covered is spent performing low intensity activity, which is entirely dependant on aerobic metabolism (Reilly & Gilbourne, 2003), suggesting that the aerobic system makes the largest contribution to energy delivery out of all the metabolic systems. In agreement with this, the game has been classified as having a

larger aerobic component (60%) than anaerobic component (40%) in terms of the energy continuum, although still highlighting the combined contributions of all the metabolic systems (Sharkey, 1986).

In addition to the combined contribution of the energy systems, performers who are capable of tolerating high levels of lactic acid, have an increased rate of PC resynthesis. This enables them to maintain higher levels of performance for longer durations (covering greater distances and maintaining higher intensities) (Spencer, 2005). Furthermore, high aerobic fitness has been shown to improve recovery between intermittent high intensity activities in soccer. Movement patterns in soccer are similar to those exhibited in hockey, and therefore the findings could also be applied to hockey (Tomlin & Wenger, 2001; Impellizzeri *et al.*, 2005).

Time-motion and notational analyses are key tools in the efforts to provide evidence to allow the quantification of work done and contribution to play. Without these it would not be possible to calculate the energy demands placed upon the body in a match situation (Spencer *et al.*, 2005).

Limited information currently exists about the movement patterns of hockey players (Spencer *et al.*, 2004). Also, due to the changes that have occurred, research with reference to physiological demands and time-motion, is dated and may not be highly relevant or valid in terms of the current game. However, other sport's especially football/soccer has an extensive research base, with many conducted studies (Mayhew & Wenger, 1985; Bangsbo *et*

al., 1991; Mohr *et al.*, 2003; Rampinini *et al.*, 2007). The extent of the football/soccer research clearly highlights the need for further research to be undertaken within hockey.

Therefore, due to all the afore mentioned reasons RSA fitness and aerobic fitness are worth investigating, because the information generated will address the question as to whether or not the aspects of fitness being assessed relate to match performance. Additionally, it will evaluate the validity of the two tests procedures being used and examine to an extent the physiological requirements of hockey.

This investigation will hopefully expand upon previous research in both the fields of sports physiology and notational analysis, examining and critiquing both assessment methods and physiological demands and their influence upon performance.

Statement of Research Questions

In this study the specific research questions going to be investigated are; does aerobic fitness, or RSA fitness, influence contribution to play in female university hockey players? Together with, are the fitness tests valid methods of assessing specific forms of fitness, in the context of invasion games players (hockey)?

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Understanding the demands of competitive sport is fundamental to the process of constructing training and conditioning programmes, assessment methods, and reducing injury occurrence (O'Donoghue & Parker, 2002). In order to achieve this understanding, we must bridge the gap in research by combining the theory surrounding aerobic fitness and repeated sprint ability, with the practical context of team sports (The British Association of Sport and Exercise Science, 2007). Both of these physiological fitness components are required by hockey players (Aziz *et al.*, 2000; Spencer *et al.*, 2004) and while similar, should not be considered as equivalents. Aerobic fitness relies solely upon the aerobic system for its energy generation; whereas repeated sprinting depends upon a combination of the body's energy systems.

This review aims to discuss the existing evidence surrounding the relationship between aerobic fitness, repeated sprint ability and match performance with particular reference to hockey, but referring to other team invasion games where appropriate. With a secondary aim of examining the validity of the tests used to assess these fitness components. From a physiological perspective, the impact recent rule changes, advancements in equipment and altered playing surface have had on the current game of hockey will be covered to a degree.

Hockey

Field hockey originates in Asia. The game has a total duration of 70 minutes played in two halves of 35 minutes, with a pitch that is 91.4m in length and 55m wide, and a goal situated at both ends (Mitchell-Taverner, 2005). Hockey players are required to perform repeated maximal, or near-maximal, sprints of short durations (1-7 seconds) with limited recovery periods over an extended duration of 70 minutes. Therefore an important attribute is the ability to perform multiple sprints at high speed (Bishop *et al.*, 2001) which is known as repeated sprint ability (RSA).

To an extent, the physiological demands of a team game result from the rules and structure, in addition to the technical and tactical ability of the players involved (McLean, 1992). In recent years, the game of field hockey has undergone some radical changes. The introduction of artificial turf, and rule changes such as the removal of offside and permission to use unlimited substitutions, have changed the pace and style of the game (Spencer *et al.*, 2004). There have also been advances in stick construction allowing players to improve levels of ball control and increase hitting power. These have all lead to significant change in match requirements, resulting in significantly reducing recovery periods for all players, except the goal keeper (Cibich, 1991; Spencer *et al.*, 2004).

In addition, far greater total distances are now being covered during matches. Data collected by Wein (1981), suggested the average distance being covered was 5.61km with an average work to rest ratio of 2:5. Although the reliability of the data collection protocol for this study was not reported, the results coincide with the observations noted within football (Reilly, 1990) and other studies of this kind. Between 8 and 12km is now the accepted range for average distance covered by team sport players (Reilly & Gilbourne, 2003; Coutts, 2005). Also due to the increased pace, maintained intensity has also been increased (Coutts, 2005). All these factors have contributed to an overall change in the physiological demands of the game, presenting a gap within the current knowledge.

Aerobic fitness

Aerobic fitness is commonly examined with reference to maximal oxygen uptake ($\dot{V}O_{2max}$). Aerobic fitness relates to the ability of the body to extract oxygen from the atmosphere, distribute it to the skeletal muscle and then utilise it within the skeletal muscle (Wilmore & Costill, 2004; The British Association of Sport and Exercise Science, 2007). $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ can be estimated from the performance of a MSFT, which is what is going to happen within this investigation, to provide an indication of aerobic fitness. To allow aerobic fitness results to be compared to data generated by notational match analysis, and also the results of repeated sprint tests.

The majority of match play in hockey is spent with players working at low intensity (Sharkey, 1986; Reilly & Gilbourne, 2003) which results in reliance on aerobic metabolism (Spencer *et al.*, 2005; Elferink-Gemser *et al.*, 2006). Intermittent and continuous high intensity play also shows a strong dependence on aerobic metabolic pathways. Krstrup and others (2005) and Abdelkrim and co workers (2007) have demonstrated that in soccer the distance players covered at high intensity is closely related to their aerobic fitness.

Aerobic fitness has been shown to play a vital role in all areas of elite level performance including ensuring efficient recovery during the rest periods between bouts of high intensity work such as repeated sprints (Aziz *et al.*, 2000). This view is supported by several other studies in the published literature (Tomlin & Wenger, 2001; Impellizzeri *et al.*, 2005; Elferink-Gemser *et al.*, 2006). Given its vital importance, Coutts (2005) suggests that increasing aerobic fitness, through interval training or modified small-sided games, would be greatly beneficial in terms of match performance.

Anaerobic fitness

A correct definition of anaerobic fitness is crucial in enabling a full understanding to be gained. Anaerobic fitness is the body's ability to generate and deliver energy (ATP) to the skeletal muscle during high-intensity exercise or sport, in the absence of oxygen (McArdle *et al.*, 2006; Wilmore & Costill, 2004).

Aerobic and anaerobic fitness are inseparably linked. Aziz and co-workers (2000) propose that a high level of aerobic fitness is a prerequisite for advanced anaerobic performance during sustained intermittent activities, such as those which occur during team invasion games. This is supported by evidence that recovery from maximal bouts of anaerobic activity has been positively correlated with various metabolic indicators of aerobic fitness including increased mitochondrial and capillary density, and greater concentrations of oxidative enzymes (Aziz *et al.*, 2000).

In the context of rugby union, another team invasion game, it has been concluded that only 5-10% of the game is spent performing high intensity work. This results in the creatine phosphate system an anaerobic pathway having the greatest significance in the response to meeting the elevated energy demands (Docherty *et al.*, 1988). However, the importance of the aerobic respiratory system is highlighted during low intensity periods of the match (Deutsch *et al.*, 2007).

Deutsch and others (2007) state that the anaerobic glycolytic pathway plays an important role in meeting the energy needs of rugby players in all positions, especially the forward and midfield players that perform at the highest intensities. The aerobic system is, of course, critical to all players regardless of their position, although midfield players are more likely to utilise this energy system to its full potential. Due to multiple periods of work within a short time span and shortness of recovery, leading to partial or complete

depletion of PC stores resulting in the inhibition of the anaerobic glycolytic pathway (Deutsch *et al.*, 2007).

Heart Rate

Heart rate (HR) is a widely used indirect measure to evaluate metabolic demands during matches or training, and its recording is now aided by the availability of comfortable and accurate HR telemetric systems (Ali & Farrally, 1991; Esposito *et al.*, 2004). Heart rate telemetry has been used to predict energy expenditure in team sports, based on the understanding that there are linear relationships between heart rate, oxygen consumption and work rate (Arts & Kuipers, 1994).

Heart rate monitoring is an important assessment tool in terms of cardiovascular fitness, as well as being used effectively in fitness training programmes (Laukkanen & Virtanen, 1998). At present there are no parameters to assess the exact intensity involved in team invasion games, although measurements of continuous HR may provide approximate information in terms of aerobic energy expenditure during match play (Abdelkrim *et al.*, 2007).

Nevertheless, further research is still required before heart rate data can be interpreted accurately and used directly to improve field hockey performance.

Unregulated factors during matches, such as dehydration, hyperthermia and the player's psychological state, can lead to higher heart rate measurements resulting in overestimation of their oxygen uptake (Bangsbo *et al.*, 2003). Nevertheless, heart rate monitoring will be used within this study to support the performance and notational data generated.

Repeated Sprint Ability (RSA)

Repeated sprint ability (RSA) is defined as the ability to perform repeated sprints with minimal recovery between the end of one and the beginning of the next (Spencer *et al.*, 2005).

Many, including Bishop *et al.*, (2001; 2003) and Spencer *et al.*, (2004), now consider RSA an important and integral fitness component of team sports performance. It is apparent that the anaerobic ATP production required to enable repeated sprint activity to occur is provided by contributions from the PCr degradation, anaerobic glycolysis and aerobic systems (Spencer *et al.*, (2005).

Each system's contribution is altered in accordance with the duration, frequency and recovery time prior to the sprints, such that initial sprints predominantly utilise the ATP-PCr system (Bishop *et al.*, 2003). The performance of subsequent sprints uses an increased contribution from the aerobic system for energy production, further reliance increases as the frequency of the sprinting intensifies (Spencer *et al.*, 2005).

Tests of RSA undertaken within the context of football, another team invasion game, have distinguished differences between adults and youth players of varying standards. However, the link with performance in a match is far less clear (Oliver *et al.*, 2007); with few studies being undertaken within the context of competitive sport (Spencer *et al.*, 2004; Rampinini *et al.*, 2007). Although, sprinting bouts are thought to be connected with crucial movements of match play (Reilly, 1997), no research has yet been done to confirm or deny this possibility.

The perceived importance of RSA, and its increased popularity and profile, has resulted in it being the focus of many studies in recent times (Fitzsimons *et al.*, 1993; & Bishop *et al.*, 2001, 2003; Spencer 2006). However, with the exception of two studies conducted by Spencer *et al.*, (2004) and Rampinini, and others (2007) the concept has not been investigated in the context of a match performance. Due to the importance placed upon RSA in terms of the fitness of team games players, it would seem to be imperative that we develop valid and reliable tests of RSA as an indicator of match performance (Bishop *et al.*, 2001).

Owing to the implication of aerobic fitness and RSA being related to match performance, it seems appropriate to select them for involvement within the proposed investigation. This study sets out to establish whether aerobic fitness and RSA are applicable when considering match performance in hockey. These two factors are often employed when developing performance enhancing training strategies within sport, but for this study the

selected focus will be the sport of field hockey, but it is important that their suitability in such circumstances is understood.

Sprint Metabolism

An understanding of sprint metabolism is important, as the significance of RSA in invasion team games has been highlighted in the preceding section. Numerous studies have investigated the energy systems contribution during maximal sprint / high intensity activity, with considerable variance in the findings. This variance has been linked with the different methodological protocol and techniques that have been adopted (Spencer *et al.*, 2005). During single sprint bouts of 10-30 seconds, anaerobic glycolysis provides the predominant contribution of ATP, in comparison to PCr (Spencer *et al.*, 2005), signifying the importance of glycolytic activity during this type of activity.

However, sprints during match performance are in the main far less than 10 seconds (Spencer *et al.*, 2004; Deutsch *et al.*, 2007). Fitzsimons (1993) states that from his findings, RSA tests with multiple sprint trials are far more applicable to match performance, than tests which assess single sprint efforts. Therefore, exploring metabolic activity during repeated sprint bouts appears crucial in understanding match-related team game performance. As the frequency of sprints increases, so to does aerobic metabolism and its contribution to providing ATP, which is partially responsible for the decrease in anaerobic metabolism. These trends have been shown to be displayed within studies performed using hockey players (Spencer *et al.*, 2003; 2004).

Match Analysis

Time-motion analysis is an effective method in quantifying the demands of a sport; it provides the theoretical framework for the physical preparation of players (McLean, 1992; Duthie *et al.*, 2005). The calculation of frequencies, arithmetic means and durations of activities or time spent at given intensities is a fundamental part of time-motion analysis (Duthie *et al.*, 2005; Spencer *et al.*, (2005). Detailed information with regards to movements, intensities and distances gained through notational analysis, provides assessment of the demands of competitive involvement in the given sport, which can then be applied within the training context, to eventually lead to improved levels of performance (Duthie *et al.*, 2005).

The occurrence of irregular patterns of play, inherent in match performances, and the possibility of tactics also being influential upon the results, can lead to the use of time-motion analysis being problematic. This is further complicated by the numerous methodological variances and other problems that can occur (Oliver *et al.*, 2007).

McKenna and co workers (1988) stated that the most important variable to consider in time-motion analysis is the duration spent performing different specified activities. However, Mayhew & Wenger (1985) and Treadwell (1988) suggested that the duration spent at a given intensity is just as representative of the physiological demands of the game as total distance covered. With total distance covered already being an established indicator used within time-motion analysis. Reilly and Borrie's (1992) study supported

the intensity approach, as it showed that exercise intensity could be obtained through motion analysis, which enabled physiological demands to be calculated independently as well as in relation to one another.

As previously mentioned it is widely accepted that hockey is intermittent in nature, the physiological demands of the game depend not solely on the intensity and durations contained within a match, but also the density of work (high-intensity repeated-sprints) (McLean, 1992). The proportions of work and rest are equally important in determining the physiological demands of the game, highlighting their need for inclusion within this investigation (McLean, 1992).

Limited information currently exists about the movement patterns within hockey, especially in the context of competitive situations (Spencer *et al.*, 2004). However, other sports particularly football/soccer (Mayhew & Wenger, 1985; Bangsbo *et al.*, 1994; Mohr *et al.*, 2003 & Krusturup *et al.*, 2005), rugby union (Docherty *et al.*, 1988; Duthie *et al.*, 2005) and netball (Loughran & O'Donoghue, 1999) have received greater attention, highlighting the need for further research to be undertaken within hockey, specifically from a competitive perspective.

Conclusion

There is a definite need for further investigation of the links surrounding the concepts of aerobic fitness, repeated sprint ability and time-motion/notational analysis, from the perspective of match performance. The primary literature currently holds a good deal of studies describing and evaluating the concepts in isolation, yet there is a substantial lack of research that attempts to address these factors simultaneously. This investigation proposes to address the scarcity of data regarding the validity of RSA and aerobic fitness as indicators of match performance in hockey. Whilst also examining two specific tests used to assess these fitness components, with the view that the findings should be used within coaching, training and assessment (selection).

This investigation will hopefully expand upon previous research, in both the fields of sports physiology and notational analysis, examining principally what is at present unclear; whether aerobic fitness and / or RSA are related to match performance.

CHAPTER III

METHODOLOGY

The data collected was quantitative, and came directly from the results of the fitness tests and the results of the notational match analysis. All of the data were numerical.

Participants

The sample group consisted of 12 female out-field players, all of whom had represented one of the three University of Wales, Institute Cardiff (UWIC) hockey squads. Before recruiting any participants for the study, ethical approval had to be obtained, from the UWIC University Research Ethics Committee (UREC), for the procedures that were involved. Prior to testing all participants were given pre-exercise questionnaires (PAR-Q), to ensure they were able to fully participate in the investigation. They were then informed of the protocol and requirements of the testing, before being asked to sign a form of informed consent formalising and completing their recruitment. (see appendix) Participants were asked to wear astro turf shoes for all of the tests, not only allowing a closer simulation to match conditions, but removing footwear as a possible performance variable, whilst also aiding safety because of the extra grip provided.

Procedures

The aim of the investigation was to examine aerobic fitness and repeated sprint fitness in relation to match performance. Both, aerobic fitness and repeated sprint fitness tests are used within hockey, but whether these aspects of fitness relate to match performance is unclear. The investigation also allowed the validity of the fitness tests to be examined. The investigation

involved each participant performing a multi-stage fitness test (MSFT) (National Coaching Foundation, 1998), two repeated sprint tests (RSTs) (10x40m on 40 seconds) and notational analysis occurring during a competitive match. Heart rate (HR) was recorded throughout.

Multi-Stage Fitness Test (MSFT)

A standardised warm up took place, involving a two-lap jog around the hockey pitch, followed by appropriate static stretches (see appendix).

The MSFT test area was set up on the pitch, prior to the test commencing. Using the side line, 20m was measured using a tape measure and four cones laid in a straight line parallel to the side line. This formed the shuttle distance. The participants were then instructed to listen to the instructions contained on the CD, which stated the need to adhere to the audio cues provided to maintain the correct speed. It also stated that once a participant failed to meet two consecutive shuttles within the defined time, they were disqualified.

The test had 23 levels, each lasting one minute. The test began with an initial running speed of 8.5kmh^{-1} , and this increased at a rate of 0.5kmh^{-1} with each increase in level. Progression from one level to the next was signaled by 3 rapid beeps. Subjects were given verbal encouragement to run to volitional exhaustion, completing as many shuttles as they could, but continuing to turn on the lines in time with the beeps. Results were recorded as a stage level

and shuttle number, at the point where either voluntary withdrawal or disqualification occurred.

Results obtained from the test and used in subsequent analysis included, the level and shuttle number reached, maximum HR and calculated from this, 85% of maximum HR ($\text{max HR} / 100 \times 85$).

Repeated Sprint Tests (RSTs)

A standardised warm up took place, involving a two-lap jog around the hockey pitch, followed by appropriate static stretches. The RSTs involved the use of the Smart Speed System (SSS) (Fusion Sport, Australia).

The repeated sprint test area was set up on the pitch, prior to the test commencing. Using the 25m line, 40m was measured using a tape measure at which two SSS tripods were located parallel to those that were placed on the 25m line, at a 1.5m distance between one another. Each end of the 40m lane consisted of a tripod with a reflector on, and another with a sensory unit. This formed the 40m sprinting distance.

The participants were then instructed to perform 10 sprints, commencing on 40 seconds. They were to go in accordance with the visual prompts provided - 2 Green flashes followed by a sustained green light, which they had to break to maintain the correct timing. In addition to this, verbal communication was also given in terms of a count down from five seconds (using the SSS handsets timer), to aid the accuracy of the timing.

Participants were permitted to be passive or active during their recovery between sprints; they were to remain at the end of the lane where they had finished their last sprint, resulting in the alternating of direction. Five seconds prior to performing the subsequent sprint they stood back from the tripods in the ready position (standing start). Subjects were given verbal encouragement to run at maximum speed and to complete the 10 sprints. Results were recorded automatically from the sensory units to the SSS handset. These were then downloaded and transferred into an excel spreadsheet. The test was performed twice (two trials) to increase the reliability of the results.

Results obtained from the tests and used in subsequent analysis included, maximum and minimum sprint times from both trials. Average sprint time, fatigue % $((\text{slowest sprint} - \text{fastest sprint}) / \text{fastest sprint time}) \times 100$ and HR were calculated using the averages from both trials.

During both the MSFT and the RSTs tests HR was also recorded at five second intervals using Polar S610 heart rate monitors (Polar Electro Oy, Finland); this enabled a heart rate trace for each participant to be obtained.

Notational Analysis

Pilot study:

One match was video recorded, the camera (Panasonic NV-GS75) was positioned away from the pitch on a tripod, at an elevation of 8m in order to maximise the field of view, but maintain easy identification of the player being analysed.

The video was then taken and an inter-reliability test was performed on a 20 minute period; using the same five movement category approach as Spencer *et al.*, (2004). The results showed that a more simplified approach was required. Defining the 5 movement categories (standing, walking, jogging, striding and sprinting) and the boundaries when the movements changed category resulted in multiple discrepancies and inaccuracies. A second inter-reliability study was then performed; this showed the classifications of either low or high intensity activity, was far more suitable and produced more accurate and reliable results (see appendix).

The decision was also made that video analysis was more complex and gained additional information than was required; the data could be immediately notated (in action – real time) into P.O'Donoghue's Power System.

Main study:

Each of the 12 players were then analysed during a competitive match for 35 minutes, using the Power System adopting the low or high intensity approach. Results obtained from the notation and used in subsequent analysis included, the % time spent at low and high intensity, frequencies of both high and low intensity bouts, mean durations of high and low intensity bouts. These were all automatically produced by the Power system. In addition, duration and the percentage of the time spent at 85% or above, maximum HR was also calculated.

Heart Rates

HR for each participant was recorded at five second intervals throughout the match duration, using Polar S610 heart rate monitors. The notational data and HR monitors were synchronised using a Casio stopwatch. This allowed HR values and notational data, collected whilst the participants were not playing, to be removed (Half time, post match and whilst the participant was being a substitute).

Each participant ended up with 35 minutes 40 seconds of active match time HR data, due to 35 minutes being the designated notation time as it is representative of half a match. The 40 seconds allowed for any delays or errors in operating the recording of the data or its synchronisation.

Statistical Analysis

As mentioned previously, the aim of the investigation was to examine whether aerobic fitness and/or repeated sprint ability relates to match performance. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 12.0 for windows) was used for the statistical analysis of this investigation. The Shapiro-Wilk test was conducted to evaluate the normality of the results obtained. If the sig. value of the test was ≤ 0.05 then the data is normally distributed making it parametric, however if the value was ≥ 0.05 then the data is not normally distributed and is non-parametric. For the parametric variables Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient was used, to quantify the relationships between the test results and results from the match analysis, with Spearman's rho test being conducted for the non-parametric variables. Significance was accepted at $P < 0.05$.

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

Multi Stage Fitness Test (MSFT)

The mean level of performance achieved in MSFT was 8.7 ± 1.8 (range: 6.1 – 11.7 level-shuttles), with an average and maximum HR of 163 ± 5 bpm and 192 ± 5 bpm respectively (range: 148-189 bpm; 183-201 bpm). 85% of maximum HR was calculated at 163 ± 5 bpm.

Repeated Sprint Tests (RSTs)

The mean and standard deviations (SD) for the test results are displayed below in table 1.

Table 1. Mean and SD of the performance variables from the two trials of RSTs

	<i>Mean \pm SD</i>
Minimum Sprint Time (s)	6.41 ± 0.34
Maximum Sprint Time (s)	7.30 ± 0.51
Average Sprint Time (s)	6.83 ± 0.33
Fatigue (%)	10.60 ± 3.78
Average HR (bpm)	152 ± 7
Max HR (bpm)	182 ± 8

Match-related results

The mean percentage of time spent at low intensity was 94.17 ± 2.70 % (range: 88.92 – 96.97%), with the mean percentage of time spent at high intensity being 5.83 ± 2.70 % (range: 3.03 – 11.08%), resulting in an approximate 1:16 high intensity to low intensity ratio. Mean average match HR was 167 ± 12 bpm (range: 148-189 bpm) with a mean maximum match HR of 190 ± 8 bpm (range: 186-202).

The mean duration of match time spent $\geq 85\%$ maximum HR was 1405 ± 562.29 s which equates to 23 minutes, 25 seconds (range: 480-2130 s). The mean percentage of match time which was proportional to this time was $65.65\% \pm 26.28\%$ (range: 22.43 – 99.53%). Table 2 below displays the results from the notational analysis, with reference to the frequencies, duration and the % of match time spent performing either low or high intensity activity.

Table 2. Frequency and duration of motions during the field hockey game (mean \pm SD)

	<i>Low Intensity</i>	<i>High Intensity</i>
Frequency (n)	34 ± 10	33 ± 10
Duration (s)	57.76 ± 3.94	19.18 ± 1.42
<i>Match time (%)</i>	94.14 ± 5.83	2.67 ± 2.70

Relationships between fitness tests and match performance

There were only statistically non-significant correlations between the fitness test results and the match-related results. The following variables were selected as they were considered to be most representative of the test and match performances. For the MSFT it was performance level and shuttles achieved, average and maximum sprint times (s) plus fatigue index (%) were elected to represent the RSTs results, with the % of time spent performing low and high intensity activity chosen to represent match performance.

The correlations between the MSFT performance result (level-shuttle) and the % of time spent at low and high intensity were ($r = -0.203$ $P = 0.527$; $r = 0.203$ $P = 0.527$) respectively. The correlations between average sprint time (s) and the % of time spent at low and high intensity were ($r = 0.392$ $P =$

0.208; $r = -0.392$ $P = 0.208$), with the correlations between maximum sprint time (s) and the % of time spent at low and high intensity being ($r = 0.525$ $P = 0.80$; $r = -0.525$ $P = 0.80$). The correlations between fatigue % and the % of time spent at low and high intensity were ($r = 0.168$ $P = 0.602$; $r = -0.168$ $P = 0.602$).

Figures 1, 2 and 3 display three of the relationships, which have statistically non-significant correlations, but are crucial to answering the question of whether or not the fitness tests relate to match performance. (See appendix for additional graphs of non-significant correlation)




Figure 1. Scatter plot of the correlation between the MSFT performance (Level-shuttle) and % of match time spent in low intensity activity ($r = -0.203$ $P = 0.527$)




Figure 2. Scatter plot of the correlation between maximum and average sprint times (s) and % of match time spent in high intensity activity ($r = -0.525$ $P = 0.80$; $r -0.392$ $P = 0.208$)




Figure 3. Scatter plot of the correlation between the fatigue index (%) and % of match time spent in low intensity activity ($r = 0.168$ $P = 0.602$)

Relationship between fitness tests results

There were also only statistically non-significant correlations between the level of performance achieved in the MSFT (level-shuttles) and the results obtained during the RSTs. The correlations between level of performance achieved in the MSFT with average sprint time, maximum sprint time and fatigue % were ($r = -0.183$, $P = 0.570$), ($r = -0.256$, $P = 0.421$) ($r = -0.258$, $p = 0.419$) respectively.

CHAPTER V

DISCUSSION

The results of this study add to the current understanding of the relationship between the type of fitness test employed and match-related performance. Whilst previous research has examined these aspects in isolation, few have focused upon the links between these concepts (Spencer *et al.*, 2004; Rampinini *et al.*, 2007). Consequently, comparing and relating these results with those from other investigations is difficult. RSA and aerobic fitness are regularly used to assess a players' ability, and in doing so it is assumed that a direct correlation exists between them and match performance.

The principal finding of this investigation was that there were no such correlations between results from any of the fitness tests and the match-related performance of the twelve individuals studied. This leads us to consider whether the widely accepted assumptions regarding these components of fitness, and there testing, relating to match performance are actually flawed.

The relationship between the Multi-Stage Fitness Test (MSFT) and match performance

The mean level of performance achieved during the MSFT was 8.7 ± 1.8 , with the mean percentage of time spent at low and high intensity being 94% and 6% respectively. With regards to the percentages of time spent at either low or high intensity, the results of this study are in agreement with findings of Docherty and co-workers (1988), and Bangsbo and others (2005) within

football, and Spencer and others (2004) within hockey. Who all found that the percentage of match time spent at high intensity to be less than 10%.

However, the results of this investigation contrast with those of Lothian and Farrally (1994), who reported that the percentage of time spent performing high intensity activity in hockey matches ranged between 17.5 and 29.2%. However, since their study significant changes have been made to the game, as described previously, which could account for differences between the results.

It is acknowledged that there can be great variance in a player's performance in terms of the time spent working at different intensities and the density of high intensity work bouts (Bishop *et al.*, 2003; Spencer *et al.*, 2004, 2005). The study by Lothian and Farrally (1994) only analysed each player for one match, and hence their study does not take into account the differences in performance that can occur between different matches. This brings into question the representative quality of the match-related data for their study. Although each participant for this investigation was only analysed over a single match (which is comparable to Lothian and Farrally's data), the notational analysis for the twelve participants spanned across several matches taking into account, to an extent, intra-match variation.

The results from this investigation indicate that a non-significant correlation exists between the mean level of performance achieved in the MSFT and the percentage of time spent at either low or high intensity during a match ($P =$

0.527). This suggests that aerobic fitness and match performance are unrelated, but the concept is more complex than this, as this is based upon the results gained from a single test. More accurately it indicates that the specific test is not a valid method of assessing aerobic match performance.

There are many possible reasons which could explain why the fitness test results show no relation to the match-related performance. Most of these reasons are based around the substantial differences that occur between the test protocol and the movements and activities performed during a match. The duration of the MSFT is between approximately 10-20 minutes; however the duration of a hockey match is substantially longer (70 minutes). In a match situation a hockey player needs to pace themselves in order to be able to last the full match duration, where as the MSFT demands that the participant runs to exhaustion. Hence the MSFT is not directly representative of the demands placed on players during a match, and may therefore lead to overestimations of the aerobic demands. However, the MSFT has been shown to be valid in estimating $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ which is used as the universal index of aerobic fitness (Ramsbottom *et al.*, 1988).

Furthermore, MSFTs take place over a set distance, are incrementally progressive (0.5kmh^{-1} per level for this investigation), and involve solely continuous running (Ramsbottom *et al.*, 1988). During a match the distance covered, acceleration of movement and density of work varies dramatically (Lothian & Farrally, 1994; Spencer *et al.*, 2004, 2005). Within a match, players also perform other activities such as tackling, passing, receiving,

dribbling and shooting (Reilly & Borrie, 1992), which are absent and unaccounted for within the MSFT.

From a psychological perspective a match involves players engaging with one another and the opposition. It requires a player to be reactive to situations which occur, and make decisions concerning the correct course of action to take. This is absent from the MSFT as there is no need for decisions or interaction between participants (Ramsbottom, 1988). Mental arousal, determination and anxiety levels may differ greatly between participants undertaking fitness tests and engaging in match situations, which can be greatly influential on performance (Weinberg & Gould, 2003). Personality type may also be influential in terms of the feelings/emotions the participant has towards the test in comparison to that of a match (Hardy *et al.*, 1996; Weinberg & Gould, 2003).

Due to the scarcity of evidence in the primary literature, differences in methodologies and the variety of invasion team games analysed it makes it difficult to compare studies. In contrast to this investigation, Lothian & Farrally (1994) found their results to display a correlation of 0.78 between a players aerobic fitness ($\dot{V}O_{2max}$) and the time which they spent in high intensity activity during a hockey match. This difference may be attributed to the motion definitions and the motion-category approach that was adopted (Spencer *et al.*, 2004).

In agreement with Lothian & Farrally (1994), Rampinini and others (2007) also found there to be correlation between aerobic fitness and match performance in the context of football. They found a statistically significant correlation between peak speed reached during the incremental test, which was their measure of aerobic fitness, and total distance covered in the match, one of their variables used for assessing match performance.

Total distance covered during a match is an established and widely accepted and applied measure of work performed (Reilly & Borrie, 1992; Duthie et al., 2005; Krstrup *et al.*, 2005; Deutsch *et al.*, 2007; Rampinini *et al.*, 2007). The different tests and methodologies adhered to in Lothian & Farrally, (1994) and Rampinini and others (2007) studies may be responsible for the difference in results compared to the data presented here. Furthermore, Rampinini and others (2007) examined football, as opposed to hockey, which could also underlie the difference in results.

In contrast to the results of Lothian & Farrally (1994) and Rampinini (2007), but in agreement with the results of this investigation, Krstrup and co workers (2005) found there to be non-significant correlation between their chosen variables of aerobic fitness and match performance. Within their study, they specifically looked at the relationships between $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ and total distance covered, as well as that between $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ and performance in an incremental treadmill test, similar to that of a MSFT. However, they did notice a significant correlation between $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ and match time spent performing high intensity running.

The clear absence of information regarding the relationship between aerobic fitness and match performance, and its assessment, highlights the real need for this area to be expanded upon and investigated in greater detail. To allow for easy comparison and calibration between studies and across sports, a widely accepted and specific test/s need to be developed.

The relationship between the Repeated Sprint Tests (RSTs) and match performance

The mean average sprint time achieved during the RSTs was 6.83s, with the mean maximum and minimum sprint times being 7.30s and 6.41s respectively. It would be invalid to compare these results to those of others studies due to the multiple methodological variations in the RSTs used. For example; in terms of this investigation the chosen design was a 40m distance with 10 sprint repetitions, where each attempt commenced every 40s, such parameters are not, to my knowledge, represented in other studies. Mean fatigue index was 10.6%, which is high in comparison to other studies. Aziz and others (2000) found a fatigue index of 5.4%, with Spencer and co workers (2006) reporting fatigue indexes of 5.6% and 5.8%. These dissimilarities can be attributed to the procedural differences between the RSTs used.

The results from this investigation show non-significant correlations between all the variables chosen to represent the RSTs. These variables were specifically chosen because they were felt to be most representative of RSA. Average sprint time, and the percentage of the time spent at both low and high intensity, during the match were non-significantly correlated ($P = 0.208$). Non-significant correlations also exist between maximum sprint time and the percentage of time spent at low and high intensity ($P = 0.80$) and fatigue percentage in relation to the percentage of time spent at low and high intensity ($P = 0.602$). This data indicate that RSA and match performance are unrelated. Once again however, the concept is more complicated because these results are based upon the results gained from a single test. More specifically the results demonstrate that the particular test used in this investigation, is not valid in assessing RSA in hockey match performance.

The previous section mentions in depth that there are many fundamental differences between match performance and test performance, which could be responsible for the absence of relationship between RSA and match performance. Yet the design of RSTs including the distances and durations of, and between, the sprints have been set based around observations made through notational and time-motion analysis studies (Lothian & Farrally, 1994; Spencer *et al.*, 2004; Duthie *et al.*, 2005). The tests attempt to replicate the movement patterns and durations exhibited within a match, to enable the test/s to be valid indicators of match performance, as well as with the potential to be used as performance predictors.

Krustrup and others (2005), in the context of football reported results which are contrary to those indicated by this investigation. They saw significant correlations between match time spent running at high intensity and the results of the yo-yo test ($r = 0.76$, $P < 0.05$), suggesting that a relationship exists between RSA and match performance. However, more accurately their results validate the yo-yo test specifically in terms of being representative of RSA in football, as opposed to providing conclusive evidence that RSA and match performance relate in sport in general.

Again in contrast to this investigation, Rampinini and others (2007) reported a statistically significant relationship between RSA (RSA_{mean}) and actual match performance (sprinting and very high intensity running). They illustrate awareness that the match duration is longer than that of the test, that distances covered at specific intensities in a match vary, compared with the constant test distance and also that match performance is interspersed with other activities (walking, jogging). These are all possible explanations as to why correlations found by Rampinini and others (2007) were only moderate, they conclude that the results supported the validity of the RST as a match-related performance indicator in football. But that the RST results should not be used for predictive purposes.

These appear to be the only two studies which have examined the relationship between RSA and match performance. A key consideration when comparing the results is the fact both of these studies have been undertaken within the sport of football, not hockey. Although football, hockey

and other team games are considered similar in terms of an intermittent profile, there are still extensive and fundamental differences between them (Fitzsimons, 1993; Spencer *et al.*, 2005).

Spencer and co workers (2004) analysed performance using time-motion analysis with specific attention being placed on repeated sprint activities. Their findings indicated that when constructing a RST for hockey players, sprints of 4s duration repeated six to seven times and separated by active recovery of approximately 20s, would be suitable for representing repeated sprints during a match. Unfortunately, this study is unable to be compared with this investigation, as Spencer *et al* (2004) chose not to look at the relationship between RSA testing and match performance, did not conduct any RSTs, and have no results which are comparable to those from this investigation.

The massive deficiency in terms of research regarding the relationship between RSA and match performance, and the assessment of RSA, highlights the requirement for this area to be examined in detail, and further investigations performed. The shortage of research is probably due to both RSA and its testing being relatively new concepts. To allow future comparison to occur between studies, and across a range of sports, a widely accepted specific test/s needs to be developed. Spencer and others (2004) also raise the point, that the relative importance of RSA to team performance is also unknown, and is an area that should be investigated.

The relationship between the MSFT and the RSTs:

Only non-significant relationships were evident between all of the variables produced by the MSFT and the RSTs: average sprint time ($P = 0.570$), maximum sprint time ($P = 0.421$) and for fatigue index ($P = 0.419$). In this instance, non-significant correlations can be attributed to the fundamental differences between the protocol of the two tests, as opposed to differences between test protocol and match performance. The MSFT and RST differ with relation to duration, distance, and intensity of exercise, as well as recovery. The two tests rely on energy production from different metabolic pathways. The absence of a correlation between these two tests implies they assess separate fitness components.

Interestingly, the study conducted by Rampinini and others (2007) found similar results in football to those of this investigation, with no statistically significant correlation existing between peak speed during the incremental test, which is comparable to the MSFT, and peak speed during the RSA test used. This supports the suggestion that both tests assess and reflect different components of fitness. In agreement with the findings of this investigation, Aziz and co workers (2000), using both football and hockey players, concluded that there was non-significant correlation between both absolute and relative $\dot{V}O_{2max}$, and maximum sprint time from their RST.

Aziz and co workers (2000) found a moderate correlation with $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ in relation to total time taken to complete all eight sprints. They felt this was a useful indicator of RSA because it represents the participant's ability to produce fast, powerful efforts over multiple repetitions, rather than a single sprint. They speculated this correlation occurred due to an increased energy contribution from aerobic metabolism as the participant began to fatigue during the latter sprint attempts. This suggestion is supported by others such as Tomlin & Wenger (2001), and Spencer and co workers (2005). Unfortunately this correlation can not be compared with the results of this investigation, due to time taken to complete all ten sprints not being recorded.

Bishop and co workers (2003) also found there to be non-significant correlation between RSA and $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ performance during a graded progressive exercise test in elite hockey players, which is again supportive of the findings from this investigation. These studies all appear to be in agreement over the fact these different tests assess different components of fitness, utilising different proportional contributions from the different metabolic pathways (Aziz *et al.*, 2000; Spencer *et al.*, 2005).

General points that are worth noting regarding all the previously mentioned studies, and this one are, are as follows: All have small sample sizes, which could mean they are not truly representative of the population they examine (Thomas *et al.*, 2005). Most studies are specific to a particular sport, if not an exact population within a sport, for example elite level performers or under

21's, which reduces the reliability with which the results can be applied to a wider population (Thomas *et al.*, 2005). Also, for the majority of the studies the results are based on the performance of the test occurring once, which gives no indication of test re-test reliability.

In summary, neither aerobic fitness (MSFT) nor repeated sprint ability (RSTs) were found to relate to match performance. This investigation provides no support to the applicability or validity of the MSFT or RSTs in relation to match performance in female university hockey players. Secondly, the investigation provided no support to suggest the MSFT or RST are related to one another.

CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSION

Findings

The main finding of this investigation was the establishment of statistically non-significant correlations between the test results and match-related performance. This infers neither aerobic fitness nor RSA are related to match performance, however, these concepts are more complicated than this. The results more accurately reflect no support being provided, with reference to the validity of the MSFT or the RST in relation to match performance, in female university hockey players. Interestingly, there were also only non-significant correlations discovered between the variables of the MSFT and those of the RSTs, suggesting that these tests assess entirely different components of fitness.

Limitations and Delimitations

There were several limitations to this investigation, the most influential being the small sample size ($n = 12$). Therefore, the influence of climate, playing surface, significance of the match and the opposition may have impacted upon the results (Coutts *et al.*, 2003). The sample was homogenous with reference to gender age and sport, containing only females, aged between 19 and 22 years who played hockey, reducing its ability to be generalised to a wider population.

Participants were fully explained to about the test procedures for both the MSFT and the RSTs, however, familiarisation sessions or practice attempts prior to the recorded tests, may have further improved the accuracy of the results obtained. Familiarisation would have eliminated the aspect of the

performance being influenced by the participant's ability to perform in an unknown situation (Weinberg & Gould, 2003). The MSFT and two RSTs were conducted on separate days, meaning climatic conditions and psychological state of the participants could have influenced the results. The recovery between sprints in the RSTs was not standardised, allowing any variation in recovery to be adopted by the participants, which may have also had an influential affect on performance.

Another notable limitation of the investigation was each participant was only notational analysed for a 35 minute duration, which is equivalent to only half a match. Some participants were notated during the first half of a match, with others being notated during the second half. However the results have been used to make inferences in terms of a complete match, which may not provide a true representation of match performance.

Future Recommendations

From observing the limitations mentioned in the previous section, many recommendations can be made to enhance a repeat of this investigation, or for further investigations in the future. In terms of the sample, a larger sample size is vital in increasing reliability and allowing confirmation of findings. A sample containing both females and males, with players of different ages, abilities (recreational, non-elite and elite) and positions would provide greater scope, increasing the ability of the results to be generalised to a wider population.

With reference to the MSFT and the RSTs, familiarisation sessions or practice attempts should be incorporated into the warm-up period prior to final testing (Spencer *et al.*, 2006). The tests should ideally be conducted as close together as possible, but allowing enough recovery, for fatigue not to become an affecting performance variable. Recovery between the sprints of the RSTs should be standardised, for example active recovery as found to be optimal by Spencer and others (2004), to eliminate it from being a potential affecting performance variable.

The notational analysis aspect of the investigation should be improved, either by having each participant being analysed for a longer duration (70 minute match duration), or each participant being analysed over several matches to eliminate the possible influences on a single sample of performance. Alternatively, applying both methods would further strengthen the reliability of the results. Another way to improve the notational analysis component of this investigation would have been to gain further information such as density of repeated sprints, mean distance of sprints, total distance covered within the match. This additional information would then have provided a more detailed and accurate interpretation of match performance. Also, having more than one person analysing the match, would allow for intra-reliability tests to be performed, increasing the reliability of the results further.

Further research needs to be conducted using larger samples to give a better representation of the population being studied. The main focus should be placed upon test performance and match performance in relation to one another. The relative importance of RSA to match performance also needs to be explored further.

CHAPTER VII

REFERENCES

&

BIBLIOGRAPHY

References & Bibliography

Abdenkrim, N.B., Fazaa, S.E. and Ati, J.E. (2007). Time-motion analysis and physiological data of elite under-19 basketball players during competition. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, **41**, 65-69.

Ali, A. & Farrally, M. (1991). Recording soccer players heart rates during matches. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, **9**, 183-189.

Anders, A. & Myers, S. (1999). Field Hockey: steps to success. Champaign: Human Kinetics.

Arts, F.J.P. and Kuipers, H. (1994). The Relation Between Power Output, Oxygen Uptake and Heart Rate in Male Athletes. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, **15**, (5), 228-231.

Aziz, A.R., Chia, M. and Teh, K.C. (2000). The relationship between maximal oxygen uptake and repeated sprint performance indices in field hockey and soccer players. *Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, **40**, 195-200.

Bangsbo, J., Mohr, M. and Krstrup. P. (2006). Physical and metabolic demands of training and match-play in the elite football player. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, **24**, (7), 665-674.

Bishop, D., Spencer, M., Duffield, R. and Lawrence, S. (2001). The Validity of a Repeated Sprint Ability Test. *Journal of Science & Medicine in Sport*, **4**, (1), 19-29.

Bishop, D., Lawrence, S. and Spencer, M. (2003). Predictors of repeated-sprint ability in elite female hockey players. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, **6**, (2), 199-209.

Bishop, D.C. and Wright, C. (2006). A time motion analysis of professional basketball to determine the relationship between three activity profiles: high, medium and low intensity and the length of the time spent on court. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*, **6**, 130-134.

Cibich, B. (1991). Application of sports science in hockey. *Sports Coach*, 3-6.

Coutts, A., Reaburn, P. and Abt, G. (2003). Heart rate, blood lactate concentration and estimated energy expenditure in a semi-professional rugby league team during a match: a case study. *Journal of Sports Science*, **21**, 97-103.

Coutts, A. (2005). Training aerobic capacity for improved performance in team sports. *Sports Coach*, **27**, (4), 23-25.

Dawson, B., Fitzsimons, M. and Ward, D. (1993). The relationship of repeated sprint ability to aerobic power and performance measures of anaerobic work capacity and power. *The Australian Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, **24**, (4), 88-93.

Deutsch, M.U., Kearney, G.A. and Rehrer, N.J. (2007). Time-motion analysis of professional rugby union players during match-play. *Journal of Sports Science*, **25**, (4), 461-472.

Docherty, D., Wenger, H. and Neary, P. (1988). Time-motion analysis related to the physiological demands of rugby. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, **14**, 269-277.

Duthie, G. Pyne, D. and Hooper, S. (2005). Time motion analysis of 2001 and 2002 super 12 rugby. *Journal of Sports Science*, **23**, (5), 523-530.

Elferink-Gemser, M.T., Visscher, C., Van Duijn, M.A.J. and Lemmink, K.A.P.M. (2006). Development of the interval endurance capacity in elite and sub-elite youth field hockey players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, **40**, 340-345.

Esposito, F., Impellizzeri, F.M., Margonato, V., Vanni, R., Pizzini, G. and Veicsteinas, A. (2004). Validity of heart rate as an indicator of aerobic demand during soccer activities in amateur soccer players. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, **93**, 167-172.

Fitzsimons, M., Dawson, B., Ward, D., Wilkinson, A. (1993). Cycling and Running Tests of Repeated Sprint Ability. *Australian journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, **25**, (4), 82-87.

Gordon, E.P. (2004). Heart rate analysis during training and competitive conditions for specific positional roles in field hockey. Unpublished BSc dissertation. Cardiff, UK: U. W. I. C. 40 pages.

Hardy, L., Jones, G. and Gould, D. Understanding psychological preparation for sport: theory and practice of elite performers. Chichester: Wiley

Impellizzeri, F.M., Rampinini, E. and Marcora, S.M. (2005). Physiological assessment of aerobic training in soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, **23**, (6), 583-592.

Krustrup, P., Mohr, M. and Bangsbo, J. (2002). Activity profile and physiological demands of top-class soccer assistant refereeing in relation to training status. *Journal of Sports Science*, **20**, 861-871.

Krustrup, P., Mohr, M., Ellingsgaard, H. and Bangsbo, J. (2005). Physical demands during an elite female soccer game: importance of training status. *Journal of Medicine, Sports Science and Exercise*, **37**, 1242-1248.

Laukkanen, R.M.T. and Virtanen, P.K. (1998). Heart rate monitors: state of the art. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, **16**, S3-S7.

Lothian, F. & Farrally, M. (1994). A Time-motion analysis of women's hockey. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, **26**, 255-265.

Lothian, F. & Farrally, M.R. (1995). A comparison of methods for estimating oxygen uptake during intermittent exercise. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, **13**, 491-497.

Loughran, B. and O'Donoghue. (1999). Time-motion analysis of work-rate in club netball. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, **36**, 37-50.

Mallo, J., Navarro, E., Garcia-Aranda, J.M., Gilis, B. and Helsen, W. (2007). Activity profile of top-class association football referees in relation to performance in selected physical tests. *Journal of Sports Science*, **25**, (7), 805-813.

Marrow, J.R., Jackson, A.W., Disch, J.G & Mood, D.P., (2005). *Measurement and Evaluation in Human Movement*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

Mayhew, S. and Wenger, H. (1985). Time-motion analysis of professional soccer. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, **11**, 49-52.

McArdle, W. D., Katch, F. I. and Katch, V. L. (2006). *Exercise Physiology. Energy, Nutrition, and Human Performance*. 5th ed. Philadelphia, USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

McLean, D.A. (1992). Analysis of the physical demands of international rugby union. *Journal of Sports Science*, **10**, 285-296.

Mitchell-Taverner, C. (2005). *Field Hockey: Techniques and Tactics*. Champaign IL; Leeds: Human Kinetics.

Mohr, M. Krstrup, P. Bangsbo, J. (2003). Match performance of high-standard soccer players with special reference to development of fatigue. *Journal of Sports Science*, **21**, (7), 519-528.

O'Donoghue, P. and Parker, D. (2002). Time-motion analysis of FA Premier League soccer competition. In (eds. M. Hughes and F. Tavares) *World Congress of Notational Analysis of Sport IV*, Porto, Portugal, cited in, Bishop, D., (2006), A time motion analysis of professional basketball to determine the relationship between three activity profiles: high, medium and low intensity and the length of the time spent on court. *International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport*.

Oliver, J.L., Armstrong, N. and Williams, C.A. (2007). Reliability and validity of a soccer-specific test of prolonged repeated-sprint ability. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, **2**, 137-149

Rampinini, E., Bishop, D., Marcora, S.M., Ferrari Bravo, D., Sassi, R. and Impellizzeri, F.M. (2007). Validity of Simple Field Tests as Indicators of Match-Related Physical Performance in Top-Level Professional Soccer Players. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, **28**, 228-235.

Ramsbottom, R., Brewer, J. and Williams, C. (1988). A progressive shuttle run test to estimate maximal oxygen uptake. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, **22**, (4), 141-144.

Reilly, T. & Thomas, V. (1976). A motion analysis of work-rate in different positional roles in professional football match-play. *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, **2**, 87-97.

Reilly, T. (1990). Football. In Reilly T et al. (Eds) *Physiology of sports*, pp. 371-425. London: E & FN Spon, cited in Reilly, T., (1992), *Physiology Applied to Field Hockey*. *Journal of Sports Medicine*.

Reilly, T. & Borrie, A. (1992). *Physiology Applied to Field Hockey*. *Journal of Sports Medicine*, **14**, (1), 10-26.

Reilly, T. (1997). Energetics of high-intensity exercise (soccer) with particular reference to fatigue. *Journal of Sports sciences*, **15**, 257-263.

Reilly, T. & Gilbourne, D. (2003). Science and football, a review of applied research in the football codes. *Journal of Sports Science*, **21**, (9), 639-705.

Sharkey, B. (1986). *Coaches Guide to Sport Physiology*. Champaign IL: Human Kinetics.

Shephard, R.J. 1994. *Aerobic Fitness & Health*. Leeds: Human Kinetics.

Spencer, M., Lawrence, S., Rechichi, C., Bishop, D., Dawson, B. and Goodman, C. (2004). Time-motion analysis of elite field hockey, with special reference to repeated-sprint activity. *Journal of Sports Science*, **22**, 843-850.

Spencer, M., Bishop, B., Dawson, B. and Goodman, C. (2005). Physiological and metabolic responses of repeated sprint activities. *Journal of Sports Medicine*, **35**, (12), 1025-1044.

Spencer, M., Fitzsimons, M., Dawson, B., Bishop, D. and Goodman, C. (2006). Reliability of a repeated-sprint test for field hockey. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, **9**, 181-184.

The British Association of Sport and Exercise Science. (2007). *Sport and Exercise Physiology Testing Guidelines*. Abingdon: Routledge.

Thomas, J.R., Nelson, J.K. and Silverman, S.J. (2005). *Research Methods in Physical Activity*. (5 ed.). Champaign IL : Human Kinetics.

Tomlin, D.L. & Wenger, H.A. (2001). The relationship between aerobic fitness and recovery from high intensity intermittent exercise. *Sports Medicine*, **31**, 1-11.

Treadwell, P.J. (1988). Computer aided match analysis of selected ball games (soccer and rugby union), cited in, Duthie, G., (2005), Time motion analysis of 2001 and 2002 super 12 rugby. *Journal of Sports Science*.

Vincent, W.J. (1995). *Statistics in Kinesiology*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics.

Wein, H. (1981). *The Advanced Science of Hockey*. London: Pelham Books.

Weinberg, R.S. & Gould, D. (2003). *Foundations of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. Champaign IL: Human Kinetics.

Wilmore, J.H. & Costill, D.L. (2004). *Physiology of Sport and Exercise*. (3rd ed.). Champaign: Human Kinetics.

www.englandhockey.co.uk

APPENDICES

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENT

APPENDIX A – Informed consent form example

APPENDIX B – Static warm-up stretches

APPENDIX C – Inter-reliability study

APPENDIX D – Raw data:-

Multi-Stage Fitness Test & Repeated Sprint Test

Match-Related Performance

APPENDIX E – Non-Significant Relationship Graphs

APPENDIX A

Informed Consent Form Example

Dear Subject,

I am a Level 3 undergraduate student in the School of Sport, PE, & Recreation, at the University of Wales Institute Cardiff. I am doing a dissertation on how fitness relates to performance in hockey and wonder if you would be kind enough to help with my research. The research aims to discover whether or not there is a link between fitness levels of players and the contribution to play they make in a game situation.

As a subject, you will be asked to

- Complete the multi stage fitness test (bleep test) twice
- Complete a repeated sprint ability test (10x40m sprints, on 40 seconds) twice
- Be willing to be videoed whilst playing a match.

You will be asked to wear a heart rate monitor throughout all the procedures, including whilst playing the match although this will not interfere with play at all.

The research might prove beneficial since a greater knowledge about this area could influence training, selection, youth development and coaching of the game.

The only risk of participation is the minor one that you may experience fatigue once you have completed the fitness tests. This risk will be minimised by ensuring you are fully fit, free from illness and injury and hydrated before beginning the test. That you cool down and rehydrate yourselves once you have finished the test, to prevent dehydration and injury.

Participation is entirely voluntary. You are free to withdraw at any stage of the research process.

Confidentiality will be upheld as far as is humanly possible. Your name will not appear anywhere at any time, and the features cited are only those relevant to the research. During the research process, the data will be kept secure. Access will be restricted to you, the principal investigator (me) and my supervisor. The final dissertation will be kept by the principal investigator and UWIC. Access will be restricted to uwic staff only, unless placed within the library stores.

If you are willing to participate, then please read the slip below/overleaf carefully, and sign. If you have any queries, do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you.

Miss Caroline Bickerstaff

I have read and fully understood the request to be a subject of Miss Caroline Bickerstaff's research. I understand what I have to do. I understand the risks involved, and the measures in place in the event of accident. I understand that participation is entirely voluntary, and that withdrawal is possible at any time. I understand the measures that will be taken to uphold confidentiality as far as possible.

I agree to participate.

Signature

Date

APPENDIX B

Static Warm-up Stretches

Some examples of those stretches which were adopted prior to commencing the MSFT and the RSTs.

Stretches for the neck:

1. Sit or stand with arms hanging loosely at sides
 2. Turn head to one side, then the other
 3. Hold for 5 seconds, each side.
 4. Repeat 1 to 3 times
-
1. Sit or stand with arms hanging loosely at sides
 2. Tilt head sideways, first one side then the other
 3. Hold for 5 seconds
 4. Repeat 1-3 times
-
1. Sit or stand with arms hanging loosely at sides
 2. Gently tilt head forward to stretch back of neck
 3. Hold 5 seconds, repeat 1-3 times

Stretches side of shoulder and back of upper arm

1. Stand or sit and place right hand on left shoulder
2. With left hand, pull right elbow across chest toward left shoulder and hold 10 to 15 seconds and repeat on other side

Stretches shoulder, middle back, arms, hands, fingers, wrist

1. Interlace fingers and turn palms out
2. Extend arms in front at shoulder height
3. Hold 10 to 20 seconds, relax, and repeat

Stretches triceps, top of shoulders, waist

1. Keep knees slightly flexed
2. Stand or sit with arms overhead
3. Hold elbow with hand of opposite arm
4. Pull elbow behind head gently as you slowly lean to side until mild stretch is felt
5. Hold 10 to 15 seconds and repeat on other side

Stretches middle back

1. Stand with hands on hips
2. Gently twist torso at waist until stretch is felt
3. Hold 10 to 15 seconds
4. Repeat on other side
5. Keep knees slightly flexed

Stretches ankles

1. Stand and hold onto something for balance
2. Lift right foot and rotate foot and ankle 8 to 10 times clockwise, then 8 to 10 times
3. Counter clockwise and repeat on other side

Stretches calf

1. Stand a little way from wall and lean on it with forearms, head resting on hands
2. Place right foot in front of you, leg bent, left leg straight behind you
3. Slowly move hips forward until you feel stretch in calf of left leg
4. Keep left heel flat and toes pointed straight ahead
5. Hold easy stretch 10 to 20 seconds
6. Do not bounce, repeat on both sides

Stretches front on thigh (quadriceps)

1. Stand a little a way from wall and place left hand on wall for support
2. Standing straight, grasp top of left foot with right hand
3. Pull heel toward buttock
4. hold 10 to 20 seconds, repeat on other side

Stretches inner thigh, groin

1. Stand with feet pointed straight ahead, a little more than shoulder-width apart
2. Bend right knee slightly and move left hip downward toward right knee
3. Hold 10 to 15 seconds
4. Repeat on other side

Stretches side of hip, hamstrings

1. Sit on floor with right leg straight out in front
2. Bend left leg, cross left foot over, place outside right knee
3. Pull left knee across body toward opposite shoulder
4. Hold 10 to 20 seconds, repeat on other side

Stretches lower back, side of hip, and neck

1. Sit on floor with left leg straight out in front
2. Bend right leg, cross right foot over, place outside left knee
3. Bend left elbow and rest it outside right knee
4. Place right hand behind hips on floor
5. Turn head over right shoulder, rotate upper body right
6. Hold 10 to 15 seconds, repeat again on other side

Stretches back of leg and lower back

1. Sit on floor, legs straight out at sides
2. Bend left leg in at knee
3. Slowly bend forward from hips toward foot of straight leg until you feel slight stretch
4. Do no dip head forward at start of stretch
5. Hold this developmental stretch 10 to 20 seconds
6. Repeat on other side
7. Foot of straight leg upright, ankles and toes relaxed

Descriptions provided from www.womensheartfoundation.org

APPENDIX C

Inter-Reliability Study:

Study 1:

A 20 minute section of video was taken and notated using the P.O'Donoghue's Power System, two different individuals (analysts) performed the testing on separate occasions in isolation. This tested the inter-reliability of the notational system being adopted for this investigation.

The first time the video was notated a system using 5 movement categories was adopted. The operational definitions used to define these movements were those used by Spencer *et al.*, (2004).

1. Standing – Motionless
2. Walking – Motion, but with both feet in contact with the ground at the same time at some point during the *gait* cycle.
3. Jogging – Motion with an airbourne phase, but with low knee lift.
4. Striding – Vigorous motion with airbourne phase, higher knee lift than jogging (included skirmishing movements of rapid changes of motion, forwards/backwards/laterally).
5. Sprinting – Maximal effort with a greater extension of the lower leg during forward swing and a higher heel lift relative the striding.

Results:

Table 1. Frequency, mean duration and % of match time, for each of the 5 movement categories produced by the Power system for each analyst.

Movement Category	Frequency		Mean Duration (s)		% of Match Time	
	1	2	1	2	1	2
Standing	34	32	2.47	3.53	8.57	8.71
Walking	63	63	11.65	14.36	71.21	69.83
Jogging	42	45	3.82	3.24	11.85	11.26
Striding	21	34	1.5	1.97	5.18	6.16
Sprinting	8	16	3	4.09	3.18	5.05

The next stage of the process was to calculate the percentage error for each of the variables. This was done by taking the results from analyst two away from the results produced by analyst one. Then dividing by analyst one's

result and then times by 100 $(A1-A2)/A1 \times 100$). For example for frequency (n) when standing $(34-32)/34 \times 100$. Table 2 below contains the percentage errors for each of the variables.

Table 2. Percentage errors for frequency, mean duration and % of match time at each of the 5 movement categories

Movement Category	Frequency	Mean Duration (s)	% of Match Time
Standing	6	43	2
Walking	0	23	2
Jogging	7	15	5
Striding	62	31	19
<i>Sprinting</i>	100	36	59

Conclusion:

The results illustrate the system is significantly unreliable with %errors of over 40% contained within all the variables. Frequency errors are clearly largest within the striding and sprinting categories, with percentage errors of 62 and 100% respectively. Percentage errors within mean durations cover the whole spectrum of movement, with the largest errors being in the walking (43%) and sprinting (36%) categories. The most representative figure in terms of match performance is that of % of match time, with a 59%error within the sprint category, this is clearly too high to be acceptable.

Study 2:

The video was then analysed a second time, but was notated adopting a system distinguishing the difference between simply high and low intensity activity. This involved the grouping of standing, walking and jogging as the low intensity category, with striding and sprinting forming the category of high intensity movement. The 20 minute clip of video was different to the one from the first analysis, to eliminate either analyst experiencing a learning affect.

Results:

Table 3. Frequency, mean duration and % of match time, at either low or high intensity produced by the Power system for each analyst.

	Frequency		Mean Duration (s)		% of Match Time	
	1	2	1	2	1	2
Analyst 1 or 2	1	2	1	2	1	2
Low intensity	22	20	55.09	55.97	93.64	92.7
High intensity	21	19	4.19	4.34	6.86	7.3

Yet again percentage error for each of the variables was calculated, in exactly the same way as was done in study one.

Table 4. Percentage errors for frequency, mean duration and % of match time at either low or high intensity

	Frequency	Mean Duration (s)	% of Match Time
Low intensity	10	2	1
High intensity	10	4	6

Conclusion:

The %error for frequency, mean duration and % of match time were all $\leq 10\%$, which makes the results produced by this notation system far more reliable to use, than those produced by the notation system adopted in study one. Also, due to the reduced frequency values compared to study one, minor discrepancies have a larger influence on %error than they would with larger frequency counts. Therefore, due to these results the system which will be adopted in the main investigation will be the notation system defined by either low or high intensity activity oppose to that of the one involving the five movement categories.

APPENDIX D

Raw Data: For the Multi-stage Fitness Test (MSFT) & the Repeated Sprint Tests.

Participants	MSFT			Repeated Sprint Tests					
	Level	Max HR	85% HR Max	Min Sprint Time (s)	Max Sprint Time (s)	Aver. Time (s) for BT	Aver. F % for BT	Max HR for BT	Aver. HR for BT
1	7	198	168	6.845	8.227	7.43	14.38	187	152
2	11.6	198	168	6.55	7.124	6.83	5.80	176	137
3	6.7	190	162	6.003	7.633	6.59	17.83	187	157
4	11.7	193	164	6.013	6.802	6.45	12.14	200	157
5	9.7	196	167	5.98	6.965	6.49	13.09	176	150
6	6.1	187	159	6.076	6.728	6.47	9.15	177	150
7	7.2	183	156	6.597	7.229	6.96	8.02	180	158
8	9.3	186	158	6.753	7.369	7.08	6.03	170	148
9	9.5	201	171	6.71	8.172	7.20	14.24	177	152
10	8.2	189	161	6.533	7.399	6.92	10.95	178	147
11	8	192	163	6.729	7.302	7.07	7.76	183	163
12	9.2	192	163	6.098	6.69	6.50	7.86	187	152
Mean	8.7	192	163	6.41	7.30	6.83	10.60	182	152
ST DEV	1.82	5.43	4.62	0.34	0.51	0.33	3.78	7.88	6.61

Aver. = Average / BT = Both Trials / F = Fatigue

Raw Data: For Match-Related Performance

Participants	LI %	HI %	Seconds	% of MatchTime	Freq. LI	Freq.HI	Mean Dur LI	Mean Dur HI	% LI	% HI	Aver. HR	Max HR
1	94.41	5.59	2130	99.53	47	46	40.72	2.83	94.41	5.59	188.9627	202
2	95.34	4.66	990	46.26	34	33	59.75	3.01	95.34	4.66	162.6364	190
3	96.97	3.03	480	22.43	28	27	73.49	2.38	96.67	3.03	148.4079	180
4	88.92	11.08	1505	70.33	44	43	42.77	5.46	88.92	11.08	169.5478	195
5	92.89	7.11	1630	76.17	31	30	52.18	3.16	92.89	7.11	171.0303	198
6	89.18	10.82	1665	77.80	21	20	36.26	4.62	89.18	10.82	169.9604	190
7	94.53	5.47	2065	96.50	41	40	41.98	4.07	94.53	5.47	177.9231	195
8	93.32	6.68	1045	48.83	22	21	90.11	6.76	93.32	6.68	156.7949	182
9	96.67	3.33	1975	92.29	24	23	84.52	3.03	96.67	3.33	181.2261	201
10	96.19	3.81	590	27.57	27	26	75.04	3.08	96.19	3.81	150.4802	179
11	95.43	4.57	1045	48.83	34	33	60.16	2.97	95.43	4.57	160.1748	186
12	96.18	3.82	1740	81.31	50	49	36.10	5.91	96.18	3.82	168.4429	186
Mean	94.17	5.83	1405	65.65	34	33	57.76	3.94	94.14	5.83	167	190
ST DEV	2.70	2.70	562.29	26.28	9.90	9.90	19.18	1.42	2.67	2.70	12.16	7.95

LI = Low Intensity / HI = High Intensity / Freq. = Frequency / Dur. = Duration / Aver. = Average.

APPENDIX E

Non-Significant Relationship Graphs

