

1 **Title:**

2 Within- and between-session reliability of the isometric mid-thigh pull in young female
3 athletes

4
5 **Running head**

6 Reliability of the IMTP in young females

7
8 **Authors:**

9 SYLVIA MOESKOPS¹

10 JON L. OLIVER^{1,2}

11 PAUL J. READ⁴

12 JOHN B. CRONIN²

13 GREGORY D. MYER^{5,6,7,8}

14 G. GREGORY HAFF⁹

15 RHODRI S. LLOYD^{1,2,3}

16

17 **Affiliations:**

18 ¹ Youth Physical Development Unit, Cardiff School of Sport & Health Sciences, Cardiff Metropolitan
19 University, Cardiff, UK.

20 ² Sport Performance Research Institute, New Zealand (SPRINZ), AUT University, Auckland, NZ

21 ³ Centre for Sport Science and Human Performance, Waikato Institute of Technology, NZ

22 ⁴ Athlete Health and Performance Research Centre, Aspetar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine
23 Hospital, Doha, Qatar.

24 ⁵ Division of Sports Medicine, Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

25 ⁶ Department of Pediatrics and Orthopaedic Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Cincinnati,
26 Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

27 ⁷ The Micheli Center for Sports Injury Prevention, Boston, MA, USA

28 ⁸ Department of Orthopaedics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

29 ⁹ Centre for Exercise and Sports Science, Edith Cowan University, Joondalup, Western Australia

30

31 **Corresponding Author:**

32 Sylvia Moeskops

33 Cardiff School of Sport and Health Sciences,

34 Cardiff Metropolitan University, Cyncoed Campus,

35 Cyncoed Road

36 Cardiff,
37 UK.
38 Tel: +44(0)29 2041 7062
39 Email: smoeskops@cardiffmet.ac.uk

- 40 Within- and between-session reliability of the isometric mid-thigh pull in young female
41 athletes

42 ABSTRACT

43 To investigate the within- and between-session reliability of the isometric mid-thigh pull
44 (IMTP) in pre- and post-peak height velocity (PHV) female athletes. Nineteen pre- and
45 nineteen post-PHV athletes performed bilateral IMTPs using a custom-designed isometric
46 testing system. Participants attended three separate testing-sessions, and performed three trials
47 within each session. Peak force, relative peak force, force at 30, 50, 90, 100, 150, 200, and 250
48 milliseconds, rate of force development (RFD) within time-specific bands, time to peak force
49 (TPF), and time to peak rate of force development (TPRFD) were obtained for analysis.
50 Within- and between-session reliability for each variable were calculated from repeated-
51 measures analysis of variance, intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC), and coefficients of
52 variation (CV) with 95% confidence intervals. Within- and between-session measures of
53 absolute and relative peak force were found to be reliable for both pre-PHV ($CV \leq 9.4\%$, ICC
54 ≥ 0.87) and post-PHV ($CV \leq 7.3\%$, $ICC \geq 0.92$), but systematic bias was evident between-
55 sessions in the pre-PHV group, from session 1 to 2. Analyses of force at the specific time-
56 points revealed CVs between 19-37% and 5-24% for pre-PHV and post-PHV athletes
57 respectively. Greater variability was evident in TPF, and all RFD-related variables for pre-
58 PHV ($CV = \geq 38\%$) and post-PHV ($CV = \geq 27\%$) athletes respectively. The IMTP appears a
59 reliable and safe method for evaluating peak force in young female athletes. Overall, post-PHV
60 athletes were more reliable than pre-PHV athletes, with pre-PHV athletes needing additional
61 familiarization to minimize the influence of systematic bias.

62

63

64 INTRODUCTION

65 There is now a recognized consensus regarding the importance of prioritizing the training of
66 muscular strength in children and adolescents (19, 21). Support for this approach is based on
67 empirical evidence showing that enhancing muscular strength in young athletes can improve
68 proxies of physical performance (19), reduce sports-related injury risk (29), and positively
69 affect various aspects of health and well-being (21). From a performance perspective, muscular
70 strength underpins the ability to proficiently develop fundamental movement skills, and is
71 critical to the acquisition of all other fitness components (1).

72

73 Valid methods of assessing maximal muscular strength include; repetition maximum tests,
74 predictive tests, isometric assessments, and eccentric protocols (25). Using tests that can
75 differentiate between growth-related and training-induced adaptations in strength and power is
76 critical in younger populations, as these fitness qualities are likely to increase due to growth
77 and maturation (2). Current methods of assessing young athletes' maximal force-producing
78 capacities have included 1 repetition maximum (1RM) tests (8). Researchers have
79 demonstrated that 1RM testing is safe and appropriate in healthy children and adolescents,
80 providing the athletes are technically competent and closely supervised by qualified
81 professionals (8). For youth with a low training age, or those who cannot consistently perform
82 exercise techniques correctly, implementing multi-repetition RM protocols to evaluate
83 muscular strength may be viewed as an alternative approach (21). The use of a higher number
84 of repetitions (e.g. 10 RM) performed with sub-maximal loads to fatigue has allowed
85 researchers to predict 1RM values in youth (7). However, these tests are less accurate for
86 evaluating maximal strength (7), and increase the likelihood of accumulating large amounts of
87 fatigue which could result in the breakdown of exercise technique and increased injury risk
88 (21).

89

90 The isometric mid-thigh pull (IMTP) is a force-time diagnostic tool and is the most frequently
91 implemented isometric strength test in the adult based literature (11, 17). Although the test
92 itself is isometric, it has been significantly correlated to dynamic and athletic tasks in adults
93 such as; vertical jump performance (17), sprint speed (32), agility (32), weightlifting
94 movements (10), 1RM squat (17), and 1RM deadlift (4). However, further research is needed

95 to examine the relationship between the IMTP and athletic tasks in children and adolescents.

96 Kinetic measures including peak force (PF) and rate of force development (RFD) at different
97 time sampling epochs are regularly reported (10, 11, 32), and have been established as highly
98 reliable in adult populations (4, 5), albeit with greater variability shown for time dependent
99 variables (23).

100

101 Very few studies have examined the reliability of force-time characteristics using IMTP
102 protocols in youths. Dos'Santos et al. (6) found that PF and time-specific force values (30-250
103 ms) were highly reliable between and within-sessions in adolescent male soccer players.
104 However, the potential effects of maturation on the reliability of IMTP performance remains
105 unclear, as does the reliability of the protocol in young female athletes. Therefore, the purpose
106 of this study was to examine the within- and between-session reliability of the IMTP in pre-
107 and post-peak height velocity (PHV) female athletes.

108

109 METHOD

110 **Experimental Approach to the Problem**

111 This study used a within-subject repeated-measures design, to quantify the reliability of force-
112 time characteristics of the IMTP in youth female athletes. Participants were grouped according
113 to their maturational status (pre-PHV and post-PHV), and each sub-group followed the same
114 testing procedures. Following a familiarization, each participant attended three different testing
115 days (that were at least 24 hours apart), and performed three trials on each session.

116

117 **Subjects**

118 Thirty-eight female athletes (n = 19 pre-PHV, n = 19 post-PHV) aged 6–17 years agreed to
119 participate in the study. PHV refers to the age at which a young athlete experiences maximum
120 rate of growth during the adolescent growth spurt (24), and is commonly used as a measure of
121 somatic growth (22). Standing height (m), sitting heights (m), and body mass (kg) were used
122 to determine participants' maturity status using years pre- and post-PHV as outlined in the
123 original research (27), as well as the percentage of predicted adult height (PAH) (18) as shown
124 in *table 1*. While there is an error of approximately 6 months associated with the maturity
125 offset, the significant differences in body mass, leg length, standing height between the two
126 groups (*table 1*), combined with the additional maturity assessment using percentage of
127 predicted adult height indicates that the determination of participants' maturation were
128 relatively homogenous and accurate. Participants reported no injuries at the time of testing,
129 were all regularly participating in sport, had a training age of less than 12 months, and no prior
130 experience of the IMTP procedure. Participants were instructed to wear the same clothing and
131 footwear to each testing session, and to refrain from strenuous activity 24 hours before testing.
132 Parental consent and participant assent were obtained following ethical approval from the
133 institutional research ethics committee.

134

135 ***Insert table 1 here***

136

137 **Procedures**138 *Familiarization*

139 Anthropometric data were collected including standing and sitting height using a stadiometer
140 to the nearest 0.1 cm (SECA, 321, Vogel & Halke, Hamburg, Germany), and body mass using
141 scales to the nearest 0.1 kg (SECA, 321, Vogel & Halke, Hamburg, Germany). All participants
142 familiarized themselves with the IMTP testing protocol, which took place at the beginning of
143 the first testing session. This involved each individual practicing the IMTP protocol until the
144 lead researcher was satisfied with the athlete's technical competency. The force traces of the
145 practice trials were observed for asymmetry and a stable weighing period prior to the pulling
146 phase of the protocol.

147

148 All testing sessions took place in a laboratory using a custom built IMTP testing device (see
149 figure 1) and two force plates sampling at a frequency of 1000 Hz (type 9287BA, Kistler
150 Instruments AG, Winterthur, Switzerland). The athletes' second pull position for the power
151 clean was then identified individually to optimize the production of maximal force and rate of
152 force development (31). In line with previous research, the athletes adopted an IMTP set up
153 position where; feet were hip-width apart, the bar was positioned at mid-thigh, the torso was
154 upright with a neutral spine, and knee and hip angles were between $140 \pm 5^\circ$ and $135 \pm 5^\circ$,
155 respectively (4, 10, 11). The customized IMTP rig allowed for incremental bar height
156 adjustments of 1 cm to accommodate athletes of different statures. All participants were
157 instructed to stand bilaterally with one foot on each force plate, and once the athlete adopted
158 the correct IMTP position, their hip and knee angles were verified and recorded using a

159 handheld goniometer (plastic 12 inch, 66fit). Bar height was recorded using the measurement
160 markings on the custom-made rig. Foot position was determined using a customized 2-figure
161 grid reference system for each participant's heel and forefoot position to standardize foot
162 position between testing sessions. Grip width was also established for standardization purposes
163 and was measured using the difference between each index finger. Lifting straps were used to
164 secure the athlete to the bar to reduce the likelihood of grip strength being a limiting factor for
165 performance (11). Adhesive markers were placed on the grid references and bar for each young
166 athlete to aid the setup process for each trial.

167

168 *** Figure 1 near here ***

169

170 *Testing session*

171 All participants performed a standardized 10-minute dynamic warm up before each testing
172 session commenced, which included relevant activation and mobilization exercises, before
173 advancing to 3 sets of 3 squat jumps, countermovement jumps and pogo hops. Each
174 participants' specific measurements were replicated for each testing sessions to reduce the risk
175 of measurement error associated with changes in athletes' posture (5). Once set up in their
176 individualized IMTP position, participants were afforded one practice of the IMTP protocol
177 sub-maximally and maximally, separated by 2 minutes rest time. The participants were then
178 asked to step off of the force plates for zeroing purposes. Following a minimum of a 60 second
179 rest period, the children were then ready to commence testing. Child friendly cues such as
180 "stand still like a statue" were used to optimize the stabilization of body weight during the first
181 second of each test, prior to initiating the pull. All subjects received the standardized instruction
182 previously used for the IMTP protocol, "pull as hard and as fast as possible until I say stop,"
183 (5, 10, 11) and were instructed to pull equally with both hands. After a countdown of "3, 2, 1

184 *pull,*” participants worked maximally for the five second period of data collection. All
185 participants completed three trials of the protocol and standardized verbal encouragement was
186 provided throughout each trial. A minimum of two minutes of passive rest was given between
187 trials to ensure sufficient recovery (12). Trials were discounted and repeated if the following
188 occurred: the participant lost grip, a visible countermovement was present, or if the trial was
189 not considered as maximal. In adult populations, a difference of > 250 N has resulted in the
190 trial being repeated (11). Given the inherent variability in coordination for child populations
191 (20), a difference greater than 15% of their peak force resulted in an additional trial being
192 performed.

193

194 *Variables*

195 All isometric force-time curves were analyzed by the same researcher using custom built
196 Labview (LVRTE2014SP1; National Instruments) analysis software, previously used in adult
197 IMTP literature (11). For time-dependent variables, initiation of the pull was determined using
198 the visual onset method, recommended in previous research (23). From the force-time data, the
199 following variables were processed:

- 200 • *Absolute peak force (PF):* The maximum force (N) generated during the 5-second protocol.
- 201 • *Relative peak force (N/Kg):* The maximum force (N) generated during the 5-second
202 protocol divided by the athlete’s body mass (kg).
- 203 • *Force at 30, 50, 90, 100, 150, 200, and 250 milliseconds:* The force (N) produced at each
204 time sampling interval calculated from the initiation of the pull.
- 205 • *Rate of force development (RFD):* The rate at which force is developed during a maximal
206 contraction ($N \cdot s^{-1}$). RFD was calculated from the slope of the force-time curve during
207 predetermined time bands; 0–50, 0–90, 0–100, 0–150, 0–200, and 0–250 milliseconds (11).

- 208 • *Peak rate of force development (pRFD)*: The pRFD is the highest RFD during a specific
209 time sampling window (11). The 20-millisecond timeframe (pRFD20) was chosen for
210 analysis owing to its superior reliability when compared to other sampling windows. (11)
- 211 • *Time to peak force (TPF)*: The total time (milliseconds) taken to reach the absolute peak
212 force.
- 213 • *Time to peak rate of force development (TPRFD)*: The total time (milliseconds) taken to
214 reach the peak rate of force development.

215

216 **Statistical analyses**

217 Descriptive statistics (means \pm standard deviations) were calculated for all force and time
218 variables for each group. The assumption of normality was assessed via the Shapiro-Wilk test.
219 The change in the mean and a repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was
220 conducted to determine if there was any systematic bias between-session (session 1, 2 and 3)
221 and within-session (trials 1, 2 and 3) for each group. Sphericity was assessed via Mauchley's
222 Test and where violated, Greenhouse-Geisser was implemented. A Bonferroni post hoc test
223 was used to identify pairwise differences. Between- and within-session random variability was
224 determined using mean coefficients of variation (CV%) and intraclass correlation coefficients
225 (ICC) to determine both absolute and relative reliability. Acceptable thresholds were
226 determined using a CV of <10% (3). Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (95% CI) were
227 calculated for all variables. Magnitudes of ICC were classified according to the following
228 thresholds: >0.9 nearly perfect; 0.7-0.9 very large; 0.5-0.7 large; 0.3-0.5 moderate; 0.1-0.3
229 small (13). Noise:signal ratios were calculated for each variable using the typical error (noise)
230 and the smallest worthwhile change (signal), with the smallest worthwhile change a factor of
231 0.2 of the between-participant standard deviation from consecutive trials, or across all trials to
232 provide a mean ratio. Descriptive statistics and repeated-measures ANOVAs were computed

233 using SPSS Statistics v.22, with statistical significance set at an alpha level of $p < 0.05$. ICC
234 and CV% were calculated using an online spreadsheet run through Microsoft Excel for Mac
235 version 15.35 (15).

236

237 RESULTS

238 Within-session descriptive statistics for each variable and associated reliability measures for
239 pre- and post-PHV cohorts are presented in *table 2 and figure 2*. There was no systematic bias
240 for any variables, and the random variation in absolute and relative peak force was slightly
241 more reliable in post-PHV participants (CV = 5-6%, ICC = 0.91-0.96) compared to pre-PHV
242 participants (CV = 8-10%, ICC = 0.87-0.97). Force measured at different time sampling
243 intervals showed moderate reliability in the post-PHV cohort (CV% = 7.9-16.8%; ICC \geq 0.77)
244 but greater variation was evident in the pre-PHV group (CV% = 22.3-32.3%; ICC \geq 0.78;). All
245 time-related variables (RFD at various sampling intervals, pRFD, TPF and TPRFD) showed a
246 greater range of ICC (0.29-0.9) and much larger variation across trials (CV% = 25.2-125.7%).

247

248 ***Insert table 2 and figure 2 here***

249

250 Between-session descriptive statistics for each variable and associated reliability measures for
251 pre- and post-PHV cohorts are displayed in *tables 3, and figure 3*. Between-session typical
252 error of absolute and relative peak force was slightly more reliable in post-PHV (CV = 6.2-6.3;
253 ICC \geq 0.85) compared to pre-PHV (CV= 9.7-9.8%; ICC \geq 0.71), while pre-PHV also
254 demonstrated significant improvements in performance from trial 1 to 2, evidencing the
255 presence of systematic bias. Force measured at each time sampling interval showed moderate
256 reliability in the post-PHV cohort (ICC = 0.75-0.78; CV% = 11.7-22.2%) but greater variation
257 was evident in the pre-PHV group (ICC = 0.83-0.86; CV% = 20.5-25.6%). All time-related

258 variables (RFD at various sampling intervals, pRFD, TPF and TPRFD) showed a greater range
259 of ICC (0.10-0.76) and much larger variation across trials (CV% = 31.6-143.1%).

260

261 ***Insert table 3 and figure 3 here***

262

263 The noise:signal ratio data presented in *table 4* show that the majority of testing variables
264 achieved a ratio of between 1.93-2.65, however, measures of absolute peak force achieved
265 ratios of ≤ 1.33 in both pre- and post-PHV.

266

267 ***Insert table 4 here***

268

269 DISCUSSION

270 The aim of this study was to determine the within- and between-session reliability of kinetic
271 variables during the IMTP in pre- and post-PHV female athletes. Absolute and relative peak
272 force were found to be reliable for both within- and between-sessions for both maturity groups.
273 However, systematic bias was evident in the pre-PHV cohort between the first two testing
274 sessions, which highlights the need for additional familiarization with younger and less mature
275 female athletes. All other kinetic variables showed moderate to low reliability. Cumulatively,
276 these findings confirm the reproducibility of the IMTP protocol for assessing maximal force
277 production, and offer practitioners a viable option to assess maximal strength capabilities in
278 female athletes pre- and post-PHV.

279

280 Non-significant changes in means, high ICCs and low CVs indicated strong within-session
281 reliability for measures of absolute and relative peak force for both pre- and post-PHV athletes.

282 While the means of all other variables did not change significantly within session, they did

283 show much higher typical errors, especially RFD and pRFD and those variables that were time-
284 dependent (TPF and TPRFD). This finding is commensurate with previous literature that has
285 shown within-session RFD and RFD sampled at different time intervals to be less reliable than
286 peak forces measured in the IMTP in 16-year old males (5). These findings would suggest that
287 providing young athletes are afforded appropriate opportunity to familiarize themselves with
288 the IMTP protocol, practitioners could use peak force data from a single trial. However, owing
289 to the ease of administration and minimal time requirements for the IMTP, practitioners may
290 wish to take a mean across multiple trials to reduce the level of noise, whereby random
291 variation can be reduced by a factor of $1/\sqrt{\text{number of trials}}$ (30).

292

293 Reliable testing protocols are required in order to confidently detect meaningful changes in
294 performance. The current study reported strong between-session reliability for absolute and
295 relative peak force as evidenced by high reproducibility and low variation for both absolute
296 and relative measures of peak force in pre- (ICC = 0.71-0.95; CV = 9.2-9.7%) and post-PHV
297 (ICC = 0.94-0.85; CV = 6.2-6.3%) female athletes. Similar to the within-session reliability,
298 pre-PHV youth showed a higher degree of typical error in both absolute and relative measures
299 of peak force across the three testing sessions in comparison to post-PHV athletes. Other
300 researchers have reported slightly greater between-session reliability for measures of absolute
301 peak force during the IMTP protocol in male adolescent soccer players (CV = 4.6% (5)) and
302 in recreationally active males (CV = 3.1% (16)). Thus, it appears that between-session
303 reliability measures of peak force improve with age, maturation and training history, especially
304 those with greater experience of maximal lifts.

305

306 Considering maturation in the design of reliability studies is an important and often over-
307 looked phenomenon. In the current study, pre-PHV showed greater variability in absolute and

308 relative peak force than post-PHV athletes. Also, significant differences in mean peak force
309 between the first two test sessions were evident, suggesting the presence of systematic bias
310 within the less mature cohort. These data indicate that immature female athletes produce
311 slightly less consistent peak force values during the IMTP, and require a greater amount of
312 familiarization prior to testing. The greater degree of movement variability in less mature
313 children has previously been shown in youth during jumping protocols (9), and is likely due to
314 lower levels of coordination and immature prefrontal motor cortex activation resulting in more
315 variable task execution.

316

317 Pre-PHV females had higher variability (CV = 19.3-36.3%) in force values at different
318 sampling intervals than post-PHV athletes (CV = 10.2-23.2%), which supports the maturity-
319 related trend shown for absolute and relative peak force. Both groups were less consistent and
320 more variable at producing force across different sampling intervals compared to measures of
321 absolute peak force which is consistent with previous IMTP research in adolescents (5), and
322 adults (4, 11, 16). This suggests the ability to reproduce time-specific force values is naturally
323 more variable, and could be due to vagaries in neuromuscular factors such as motor unit
324 recruitment and discharge rates (23). Therefore, force at different sampling intervals should be
325 used with caution, particularly in pre-PHV athletes.

326

327 Examining noise:signal ratios can increase practitioners' confidence that true changes in
328 performance will be detected (14). Although none of the time-specific force values achieved a
329 noise value lower than the signal, all variables obtained overall noise:signal ratios of less than
330 2.2 and 2.7, in pre- and post-PHV athletes respectively. Absolute peak force was found to have
331 the lowest noise:signal ratios for both pre- (1.19) and post-PHV (1.33) groups, and became
332 slightly higher for relative peak force measures (pre-PHV = 2.02 and post-PHV = 2.53).

333 Consequently, a greater magnitude of change is required to exceed the typical error in these
334 measures, and to accurately monitor changes in different force sampling intervals (14). Given
335 the potential for large improvements in strength that youth can experience from growth,
336 maturation and training (21), it is likely that changes observed in youth populations would
337 surpass the smallest worthwhile change and exceed the random variation in these measures.

338 For example, in the current study, a change in absolute peak force in excess of ~65 N in post-
339 PHV female athletes would infer that a meaningful change has occurred as a result of training
340 and/or maturation; changes of these magnitudes have been demonstrated previously in youth-
341 based training studies (28). When choosing to use noise:signal ratios for force at different time
342 sampling intervals, practitioners should consider the typical error of the variable to ensure the
343 measure is sensitive enough to monitor changes in maturation or training. While this study is
344 the first to report noise:signal ratios for IMTP variables in young females, the values are
345 comparable to existing youth data on spatiotemporal measures obtained during maximal speed
346 in boys (overall noise: signal ratios between 1.92-4.44) (26).

347
348 Finally, the between-session results from both group's time-dependent variables (RFD at
349 various sampling intervals, pRFD, TPF and TPRFD) were deemed unreliable due to a large
350 range in ICC (0.10-0.76) and high CV% (CV% = 31.6-143.1%). Similar findings have been
351 reported in active children and adolescents for counter-movement jumps (9). It has been
352 documented that RFD measures are inherently less reliable, particularly in multi-joint tasks
353 due to more degrees of freedom and movement options available in the musculoskeletal system
354 (23). While previous studies have reported high levels of reliability for time-dependent force
355 measures in trained adult athletes (10, 11), the low reliability data from this study indicates that
356 these variables are more unstable in youth.

357

358 PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

359 There are a number of test protocols available to assess muscular strength capacity in youth;
360 however, such protocols require a suitably robust level of technical competency for youth to
361 safely perform them. As peak force measures from the IMTP have been validated against 1RM
362 squat performance (17), the IMTP protocol offers practitioners a viable alternative for
363 assessing maximal strength capacities in youth with lower levels of experience. Interestingly,
364 while both absolute and relative peak force were found to be reliable, noise:signal values
365 suggest these measures could be useful to practitioners in different ways. Absolute peak force
366 was able to detect changes in maturation, due to the large differences observed in the measure
367 from pre- to post-PHV. However, relative peak force values remained around 27 N/kg,
368 suggesting this measure is less sensitive to changes in maturation but could be used to assess
369 young female athletes' innate ability (e.g. talent identification or responses to training). Owing
370 to the systematic bias in peak force shown by the pre-PHV group between the first two test
371 sessions, additional familiarization is recommended for younger children to optimize between-
372 session reliability. The IMTP protocol is deemed a highly reliable method of quantifying peak
373 force capability in children and adolescents, and offers practitioners a safe and time efficient
374 means of assessing maximal isometric strength capacities in young females.

375 REFERENCES

- 376 1. Behringer M, Vom Heede A, Yue Z, and Mester J. Effects of resistance training in
377 children and adolescents: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 126: e1199-1210, 2010.
- 378 2. Beunen G and Malina R. Growth and biological maturation: Relevance to athletic
379 performance., in: *The Child and Adolescent Athlete*. B-O O, ed. Oxford, United
380 Kingdom: Blackwell Publishing, 2005, pp 3–17.
- 381 3. Cormack S, Newton R, McGuigan M, and Doyle T. Reliability of measures obtained
382 during single and repeated countermovement jumps. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 3:
383 131-144, 2008.
- 384 4. De Witt JK, English KL, Crowell JB, Kalogera KL, Guilliams ME, Nieschwitz BE,
385 Hanson AM, and Ploutz-Snyder LL. Isometric Mid-Thigh Pull Reliability and
386 Relationship to Deadlift 1RM. *J Strength Cond Res*, 2016 (in press).
- 387 5. Dos'Santos T, Thomas C, Comfort P, McMahon JJ, Jones PA, Oakley NP, and Young
388 AL. Between-session reliability of isometric mid-thigh pull kinetics and maximal
389 power clean performance in male youth soccer players. *J Strength Cond Res* Publish
390 Ahead of Print, 2017.
- 391 6. Dos'Santos T, Jones PA, Comfort P, and Thomas C. Effect of different onset thresholds
392 on isometric mid-thigh pull force-time variables. *J Strength Cond Res*, 2016 (in press).
- 393 7. Faigenbaum AD, Kraemer WJ, Blimkie CJR, Jeffreys I, Micheli LJ, Nitka M, and
394 Rowland TW. Youth resistance training: updated position statement paper from the
395 national strength and conditioning association. *J Strength Cond Res* 23: S60–S79, 2009.
- 396 8. Faigenbaum AD, McFarland JE, Herman RE, Naclerio F, Ratamess NA, Kang J, and
397 Myer GD. Reliability of the one-repetition-maximum power clean test in adolescent
398 athletes. *J Strength Cond Res* 26: 432, 2012.
- 399 9. Focke A, Strutzenberger G, Jekauc D, Worth A, Woll A, and Schwameder H. Effects
400 of age, sex and activity level on counter-movement jump performance in children and
401 adolescents. *Eur J Sport Sci* 13: 518-526, 2013.
- 402 10. Haff G, Carlock J, Hartman M, Kilgore J, Kawamori N, Jackson J, Morris R, Sands W,
403 and Stone M. Force-time curve characteristics of dynamic and isometric muscle actions
404 of elite women Olympic weightlifters. *J Strength Cond Res* 19: 741–748, 2005.
- 405 11. Haff G, Ruben R, Lider J, Twine C, and Cormie P. A comparison of methods for
406 determining the rate of force development during isometric midhigh clean pulls. *J*
407 *Strength Cond Res* 9: 386–395, 2015.
- 408 12. Haff G, Stone M, O'bryant H, Harman E, Dinan C, Johnson R, and Han K. Force-Time
409 Dependent Characteristics of Dynamic and Isometric Muscle Actions. *J Strength Cond*
410 *Res* 11: 269–272, 1997.
- 411 13. Hopkins W. A Scale of Magnitudes for Effect Statistics [Internet]
412 <http://www.sportsci.org/resource/stats/index.html>. A New View of Statistics Internet
413 Society for Sport Science, 2002.
- 414 14. Hopkins W. How to Interpret Changes in an Athletic Performance Test. [Internet]
415 <http://immv.sportsci.org/jour/04/wghtests.htm>. Sports Science, 2004, pp 1-7.
- 416 15. Hopkins W. Spreadsheets for Analysis of Validity and Reliability (Excel spreadsheet)
417 [Internet] <http://www.sportsci.org/resource/stats/index.html>. Internet Society for
418 Sports Science, 2015.
- 419 16. James L, Roberts L, Haff G, Kelly V, and Beckman E. Validity and Reliability of a
420 Portable Isometric Mid-Thigh Clean Pull. *J Strength Cond Res* 31: 1378-1386, 2017.
- 421 17. Juneja H, Verma S, and Khanna G. Isometric strength and its relationship to dynamic
422 performance: a systematic review. *Journal of exercise science and physiotherapy* 6: 60,
423 2010.

- 424 18. Khamis HJ and Roche AF. Predicting adult stature without using skeletal age - the
425 Khamis-Roche method. *Pediatrics* 94: 504-507, 1994.
- 426 19. Lesinski M, Prieske O, and Granacher U. Effects and dose-response relationships of
427 resistance training on physical performance in youth athletes: a systematic review and
428 meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 50: 781-795, 2016.
- 429 20. Lloyd R, Oliver J, Hughes M, and Williams V. Reliability and validity of field-based
430 measures of leg stiffness and reactive strength index in youths. *J Sports Sci* 27: 1565-
431 1573, 2009.
- 432 21. Lloyd RS, Faigenbaum AD, Stone MH, Oliver JL, Jeffreys I, Moody JA, Brewer C,
433 Pierce KC, McCambridge TM, Howard R, Herrington L, Hainline B, Micheli LJ,
434 Jaques R, Kraemer WJ, McBride MG, Best TM, Chu BA, Alvar BA, and Myer GD.
435 Position statement on youth resistance training: the 2014 International Consensus. *Br J*
436 *Sports Med* 48: 498-505, 2014.
- 437 22. Lloyd RS, Oliver JL, Faigenbaum AD, Myer GD, and De Ste Croix MBA.
438 Chronological age vs. biological maturation- implications for exercise programming in
439 youth. *J Strength Cond Res* 28: 1454–1464, 2014.
- 440 23. Maffiuletti NA, Aagaard P, Blazevich AJ, Folland J, Tillin N, and Duchateau J. Rate
441 of force development: physiological and methodological considerations. *Eur J Appl*
442 *Physiol* 116: 1091-1116, 2016.
- 443 24. Malina R, Bouche R, and Bar-Or O. *Growth, maturation, and physical activity*.
444 Champaign: Human Kinetics., 2004.
- 445 25. McGuigan MR, Cormack SJ, and Gill ND. Strength and power profiling of athletes:
446 Selecting tests and how to use the information for program design. *Strength Condl* 35:
447 7-14, 2013.
- 448 26. Meyers RW, Oliver JL, Hughes MG, Lloyd RS, and Cronin J. Reliability of the
449 Spatiotemporal Determinants of Maximal Sprint Speed in Adolescent Boys Over
450 Single and Multiple Steps. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 27: 419-426, 2015.
- 451 27. Mirwald R, Baxter-Jones A, Bailey D, and Beunen G. An assessment of maturity from
452 anthropometric measurements. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 34: 689–694, 2002.
- 453 28. Muehlbauer T, Gollhofer A, and Granacher U. Sex-related effects in strength training
454 during adolescence: a pilot study. *Percept Mot Skills* 115: 953-968, 2012.
- 455 29. Myer GD, Sugimoto D, Thomas S, and Hewett TE. The influence of age on the
456 effectiveness of neuromuscular training to reduce anterior cruciate ligament injury in
457 female athletes: a meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med* 41: 203-215, 2013.
- 458 30. Pyne D. Commentary on How to Interpret Changes in an Athletic Performance Test. .
459 SportsScience [Internet] 2004, pp 10–11.
- 460 31. Stone M, Pierce K, Sands W, and Stone M. Position statement: Explosive exercise and
461 training. *Strength Condl* 15: 7-15, 1993.
- 462 32. Thomas C, Comfort P, Chiang C-Y, and A. Jones P. Relationship between isometric
463 mid-thigh pull variables and sprint and change of direction performance in collegiate
464 athletes. *Journal of Trainology* 4: 6-10, 2015.
- 465

467 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

468 The authors did not receive any funding or grant support for the study. The authors would like
469 to acknowledge and thank Steph Morris and Tom Mathews for their assistance in the data
470 collection for this study.

471

472

473 FIGURE LEGENDS

474 **Figure 1.** Isometric-mid thigh pull set up position lateral and anterior view.

475

476 **Figure 2:** Forest plots displaying coefficients of variation for within-session reliability: (A)
477 pre-PHV athletes force at different time-sampling intervals (B) post-PHV athletes force at
478 different time-sampling intervals (C) pre-PHV athletes force at different RFD epochs, and (D)
479 post-PHV athletes force at different RFD epochs. Error bars indicate 95% confidence limits of
480 the mean difference between trials.

481

482 **Figure 3:** Forest plots displaying coefficients of variation for between-session reliability: (A)
483 pre-PHV athletes force at different time-sampling intervals (B) post-PHV athletes force at
484 different time-sampling intervals (C) pre-PHV athletes force at different RFD epochs, and (D)
485 post-PHV athletes force at different RFD epochs. Error bars indicate 95% confidence limits of
486 the mean difference between trials.

487