

1 **Carotid artery wall mechanics in young males with high cardiorespiratory**  
2 **fitness**

3  
4 Christopher J.A Pugh<sup>1</sup>, Keeron J Stone<sup>1,2</sup>, Eric J Stöhr<sup>1,4</sup>, Barry J McDonnell<sup>1</sup>, Jane E.S  
5 Thompson<sup>1</sup>, Jack. S. Talbot<sup>1</sup>, Denis. J. Wakeham<sup>1</sup>, John Cockcroft<sup>1</sup>, Robert Shave<sup>1,3</sup>

6  
7 <sup>1</sup>Cardiff School of Sport & Health Science, Cardiff Metropolitan University, Cardiff, UK.

8 <sup>2</sup>School of Sport & Exercise, University of Gloucester, Gloucester, UK.

9 <sup>3</sup>Centre for Heart, Lung & Vascular Health, University of British Columbia, Kelowna, Canada.

10 <sup>4</sup>Columbia University Irving Medical Center, Columbia University in the City of New York,  
11 New York City, NY, USA

12

13

14 **Running Head:** Cardiorespiratory fitness and carotid artery stiffness

15 **Key Words:** Cardiorespiratory fitness, Carotid artery stiffness, 2D strain imaging, Exercise

16 **Abstract word count: 279**

17 **Text word count: 4650**

18 **Number of figures: 3**

19 **Number of tables: 3**

20

21

22

23

24 **Corresponding author:**

25

26 Dr Christopher Pugh,

27 Cardiff School of Sport,

28 Cardiff Metropolitan University,

29 Cyncoed Campus,

30 Cyncoed Road,

31 Cardiff, CF23 6XD, UK

32 e-mail: [cjpugh@cardiffmet.ac.uk](mailto:cjpugh@cardiffmet.ac.uk)

33

34

35 **What is the central question of this study?**

36 Common carotid artery (CCA) 2D strain imaging detects intrinsic arterial wall properties  
37 beyond conventional measures of arterial stiffness, however the effect of cardiorespiratory  
38 fitness (CRF) on 2D strain derived indices of CCA stiffness is unknown.

39

40 **What is the main finding and its importance?**

41 2D strain imaging of the CCA revealed greater peak circumferential strain (PCS) and systolic  
42 strain rate (S-SR) in high fit males compared to their less fit counterparts. Altered CCA wall  
43 mechanics may reflect intrinsic training-induced adaptations that help to buffer the rise in  
44 pulse-pressure and stroke volume during exercise.

45

46 **Abstract**

47 The influence of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) on arterial stiffness in young adults remains  
48 equivocal. Beyond conventional measures of arterial stiffness, 2D strain imaging of the  
49 common carotid artery (CCA) may provide novel information related to the intrinsic properties  
50 of the arterial wall across the cardiac cycle. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the effect of  
51 CRF on both conventional indices of CCA stiffness and 2D strain parameters, at rest and  
52 following a bout of aerobic exercise in young healthy males. Short-axis ultrasound images of  
53 the CCA were recorded in 34 healthy men (age: 22years (95% CI, 19–22) before, and  
54 immediately after 5-minutes of aerobic exercise (intensity: 40%  $VO_{2max}$ ). Images were  
55 analysed for arterial diameter, peak circumferential strain (PCS), and peak systolic and  
56 diastolic strain rates (S-SR and D-SR). Heart rate (HR), systolic and diastolic blood pressure  
57 (SBP and DBP) were simultaneously assessed and Petersons' elastic modulus [ $Ep$ ] and Beta  
58 stiffness [ $\beta_1$ ] were calculated. Participants were separated *post hoc* into moderate and high  
59 fitness groups [ $VO_{2max}$ :48.9ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>min<sup>-1</sup> (95%CI, 44.7–53.2) vs 65.6ml.kg<sup>-1</sup>min<sup>-1</sup> (95%CI,  
60 63.1–68.1);  $P < 0.001$ ].  $Ep$  and  $\beta_1$  were similar between groups at baseline ( $P > 0.13$ ) but were  
61 both elevated in the moderate-fitness group post-exercise ( $P < 0.04$ ). PCS and S-SR were  
62 elevated in the high fitness group at both time-points [3.0% (95%CI = 1.2, 4.9);  $P = 0.002$ ;  
63 0.40<sub>1</sub>/s (95% CI = 0.085, 0.72);  $P = 0.02$ , respectively]. No group differences were observed  
64 in diameter, HR, SBP, DBP or D-SR throughout the protocol ( $P > 0.05$ ). High fit individuals  
65 exhibit elevated CCA PCS and S-SR which may reflect training-induced adaptations that help  
66 to buffer the significant rise in pulse-pressure and stroke volume that occur during exercise.

67

68

69 **Introduction**

70

71 Large central arteries such as the common carotid artery (CCA) act as low resistance conduits  
72 and buffer the rise in blood pressure during cardiac systole. The ability of these elastic arteries  
73 to distend and recoil in response to the pulsatile ejection is essential in order to ensure  
74 myocardial efficiency and smooth consistent blood flow to the periphery (Greenwald, 2007;  
75 Nichols, 2011). However, advanced ageing and/or the presence of cardiovascular disease can  
76 alter the elastic composition of the arterial wall matrix, which causes large central arteries to  
77 stiffen. As a consequence, increased arterial stiffness can elevate systolic blood pressure and  
78 cardiac afterload as well as reduce coronary perfusion (Greenwald, 2007; Nichols, 2011) and  
79 is associated with microvessel and target organ damage (O'Rourke & Safar, 2005).  
80 Accordingly, arterial stiffness is an important independent predictor of CVD risk and all-cause  
81 mortality (Laurent *et al.*, 2006).

82

83 Due to its clinical significance, several non-invasive indices of arterial stiffness have emerged,  
84 and interventions capable of preventing or reversing arterial stiffness have become highly  
85 desirable. Regular exercise training has been shown to reduce arterial stiffness in both healthy  
86 and diseased populations (Ashor *et al.*, 2014). Indeed, several studies have reported an inverse  
87 relationship between cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) and conventional measures of arterial  
88 stiffness; including aortic pulse wave velocity (aPWV) (Vaitkevicius *et al.*, 1993; Tanaka *et*  
89 *al.*, 1998), augmentation index (AIx) (Binder *et al.*, 2006), beta stiffness index ( $\beta_1$ ) (Tanaka *et*  
90 *al.*, 2000) and Peterson's elastic modulus ( $E_p$ ) of the CCA (Ferreira *et al.*, 2005). However,  
91 despite it being well accepted that regular exercise training can attenuate the age-related  
92 increase in arterial stiffness (Seals *et al.*, 2009), the influence of CRF in young individuals is  
93 less clear. Some studies report CRF to be positively associated with CCA distensibility and  
94 compliance (Ferreira *et al.*, 2002; Ferreira *et al.*, 2005), and inversely associated with aPWV  
95 (Eugene *et al.*, 1986; Boreham *et al.*, 2004), whereas, others report that CCA compliance  
96 (Tanaka *et al.*, 2000) and AIx (Gando *et al.*, 2010) are not are not influenced by CRF in young  
97 adults. Interestingly, these studies have principally assessed arterial stiffness at rest, however,  
98 little is known about the influence of CRF on arterial stiffness in response to physiological  
99 stress.

100

101 Exercise may be a valuable tool to examine the influence of CRF on central arterial stiffness.  
102 Compared to resting conditions, arterial stiffness plays a greater role in determining cardiac

103 afterload, and thus myocardial performance, during physiological stress (Kingwell, 2002;  
104 Otsuki *et al.*, 2006). While CRF may only have a modest influence on central arterial stiffness  
105 at rest in young adults (Tanaka *et al.*, 2000; Rakobowchuk *et al.*, 2008; Gando *et al.*, 2010;  
106 Montero *et al.*, 2017), it is possible that in response to an exercise challenge, high fit individuals  
107 may display differential arterial characteristics in comparison with their low-fit counterparts.  
108 Reduced central artery stiffness during physiological stress may help buffer the dynamic rise  
109 in blood flow and pressure required to meet increased oxygen demand, whilst protecting the  
110 smaller down-stream vessels from the significant rise in pulsatile flow and pressure (Kingwell,  
111 2002).

112  
113 Conventional measures of arterial stiffness, including aPWV, AIx,  $\beta_1$  and  $E_p$  assume vascular  
114 homogeneity and tell us very little about the localised deformation characteristics of the arterial  
115 wall. Nevertheless, these measures have frequently been used when attempting to reveal the  
116 influence of CRF on arterial stiffness in the young (Tanaka *et al.*, 2000; Ferreira *et al.*, 2002;  
117 Ferreira *et al.*, 2003; Ferreira *et al.*, 2005; Rakobowchuk *et al.*, 2008; Montero *et al.*, 2017). In  
118 contrast, two-dimensional speckle-tracking strain (2D strain) imaging detects heterogeneous  
119 motion pattern and local variations in arterial wall compliance, which likely provide a superior  
120 index of whole artery wall stress (Bjallmark *et al.*, 2010). Indeed, this technique allows for the  
121 assessment of intrinsic arterial wall characteristics, including circumferential strain (extent of  
122 arterial wall deformation) and strain rate (rate of arterial wall deformation), which are more  
123 sensitive at detecting age-related alterations in the elastic properties of the CCA than  
124 conventional measures (Bjallmark *et al.*, 2010). Accordingly, 2D strain imaging may be a  
125 valuable tool when attempting to unmask the influence of CRF on central arterial stiffness in  
126 the young. Therefore, we aimed to recruit participants across a wide range of aerobic fitness in  
127 order to examine the effect of CRF on CCA stiffness at rest and immediately following a brief  
128 bout of aerobic exercise in young healthy males using both conventional and 2D strain imaging  
129 derived parameters. It was hypothesised that (i) 2D strain imaging would be more sensitive at  
130 detecting fitness-induced differences in CCA stiffness than conventional methods at rest; and  
131 (ii) a brief bout of aerobic exercise would augment resting differences in 2D strain parameters  
132 and cause differences in conventional measures of CCA stiffness to emerge.

133

## 134 **Methods**

### 135 *Ethical Approval*

136 The study conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki, except for registration in a database, and  
137 was approved by the Cardiff Metropolitan University School of Sport Research Ethics  
138 Committee (15-7-02S). Participants were informed of the methods and study design verbally  
139 and in writing before providing written informed consent.

140

#### 141 *Participants*

142 Thirty-four male participants were recruited to the study (age;  $22 \pm 3$  yr, body mass index;  $23.6$   
143  $\pm 2.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>). All participants were normotensive, non-smokers with no history of  
144 cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, or metabolic disease or any contraindications to exercise.  
145 None of the participants reported taking any prescribed medication. Participants were recruited  
146 across a wide range of aerobic fitness with the aim of determining whether aerobic capacity  
147 influences carotid artery stiffness in a general young population. The thirty-four participants  
148 were split *post hoc* by the median [ $58.4$  (IQR: $17.5$ ) ml kg<sup>-1</sup>min<sup>-1</sup>] into a moderate and high  
149 VO<sub>2max</sub> group [ $48.9$  ml kg<sup>-1</sup>min<sup>-1</sup> (95% CI,  $44.7$ – $53.2$ ) vs  $65.6$  ml kg<sup>-1</sup>min<sup>-1</sup> (95% CI,  $63.1$ –  
150  $68.1$ );  $P < 0.001$ ; Table 2].

151

#### 152 *Experimental Procedures*

153 Participants reported to the laboratory on two separate occasions separated by 7 days, and were  
154 asked to abstain from alcohol, caffeine and strenuous exercise for 24 hours prior to each visit.  
155 During visit one, maximal oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2max</sub>) and peak power output (PPO) were  
156 assessed using a standardised incremental ramp exercise test on an upright cycle ergometer  
157 (Lode Excalibur, Groningen, Netherlands). Workload was initially set at 120W and  
158 continuously increased at a rate of 20W per minute. VO<sub>2max</sub> was measured using a breath-by-  
159 breath analyser (Oxycon Pro, Jaeger, Hoechberg, Germany) and calculated as the highest 30  
160 second average of oxygen uptake prior to volitional exhaustion. Criteria for the attainment of  
161  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  included two of the following: a respiratory exchange ratio (RER)  $\geq 1.15$ , maximal heart  
162 rate within 10 beats/minute of age-predicted maximum, or a  $\dot{V}O_2$  plateau with an increase in  
163 power output.

164

165 During visit two, following ten minutes of rest on a supine cycle ergometer, brachial blood  
166 pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) were assessed and ultrasound images of the right common  
167 carotid artery (CCA) were recorded on a commercially available ultrasound system (Vivid Q,  
168 GE Healthcare, Amersham, UK). In addition, conventional measures of CCA stiffness and  
169 wave reflection (aPWV and AIx), were also assessed (SphygmoCor, AtCor Medical, Sydney,

170 Australia). BP was obtained with standard auscultation and HR was recorded continuously  
171 from a 3-lead ECG inherent to the ultrasound system. Following resting measurements,  
172 participants completed a 5 minute bout of supine cycling exercise at an intensity of 40% of the  
173 peak power achieved during the  $VO_{2max}$  test, at a fixed cadence of 60 rpm. The brief low  
174 intensity exercise stimulus was chosen to minimise the influence of changes in systemic factors  
175 upon arterial stiffness (Sugawara *et al.*, 2003). Following the completion of exercise,  
176 conventional indices of CCA stiffness and 2D strain parameters were repeated within 2 minutes  
177 of exercise cessation.

178

### 179 *Vascular Ultrasonography and 2D-Strain Imaging*

180 Two-dimensional short-axis gray-scale cine loops of the right CCA were recorded 1–2 cm  
181 below the carotid bulb over a minimum of three consecutive cardiac cycles using a  
182 commercially available ultrasound system with a 12-MHz linear array transducer (Vivid Q, GE  
183 Medical Systems Israel Ltd., Tirat Carmel, Israel). Image acquisition was performed by a  
184 trained sonographer; frame rate, imaging depth and probe position were kept constant within  
185 subjects throughout the protocol to ensure the same section of the CCA was imaged at both  
186 time points. Images were stored for subsequent offline analysis using dedicated speckle-  
187 tracking 2D-strain software (EchoPac Version 112, GE Vingmed Ultrasound, Horten Norway).  
188 Two-dimensional strain software quantifies vascular tissue motion by automatically  
189 identifying speckles in the ultrasound image, which are subsequently tracked across the cardiac  
190 cycle (Bjallmark *et al.*, 2010). For quantification of strain and strain rates, a region of interest  
191 (ROI) was manually placed over the cross-sectional area of the CCA ensuring accurate  
192 alignment with the posterior wall (Figure 1A). Within this ROI, movement of speckles were  
193 tracked frame by frame throughout systole and diastole using a speckle-tracking algorithm  
194 inherent to the software which generated strain and strain rate curves (Figure 1A). Appropriate  
195 tracking of the vessel wall was verified automatically by the software and visually confirmed  
196 by the operator who manually adjusted the ROI if necessary. Peak circumferential strain (%),  
197 systolic strain rate (1/s) and diastolic strain rate (1/s) were measured ‘globally’, reflecting the  
198 averaged values obtained from the entire circumference of the arterial wall. Systolic strain rate  
199 was defined as the first positive peak in the strain rate curve that occurred after the QRS  
200 complex, whilst diastolic strain rate was defined as the first negative peak in the strain rate  
201 curve after the T-wave of the ECG (Bjallmark *et al.*, 2010). Vessel diameters were measured  
202 by obtaining an M-mode trace through the centre of the short-axis image. Systolic and diastolic  
203 diameters were defined as the maximum and minimum diameters during the cardiac cycle,

204 respectively, and were measured from the leading edge of the intima-lumen interface of the  
205 anterior wall to the leading edge of the lumen-intima interface of the posterior wall (Oishi *et*  
206 *al.*, 2008).

207 **(Figure 1)**

208  
209 To characterise local CCA stiffness, Peterson's elastic modulus ( $E_p$ ),  $\beta_1$  stiffness index,  $\beta_2$   
210 stiffness index and distensibility (the inverse of  $E_p$ ) were calculated.  $E_p$ ,  $\beta_1$  and distensibility  
211 are conventional measures of arterial stiffness and adjust changes in arterial diameter during  
212 the cardiac cycle for changes in pulse pressure (Laurent *et al.*, 2006).  $\beta_2$  relates peak  
213 circumferential strain to distending pulse pressure (Oishi *et al.*, 2008). An increase in  $E_p$ ,  $\beta_1$   
214 and  $\beta_2$  stiffness indices indicate an increase in arterial stiffness, whereas, an increase in  
215 distensibility indicates a greater magnitude of arterial distension per unit of pressure (Laurent  
216 *et al.*, 2006). Stiffness indices were calculated as follows:

$$217 \text{Distensibility} = [(D_s - D_d) / (SBP - DBP)] / D_d \text{ in } mmHg \times 10^{-3}$$

$$218 E_p = (SBP - DBP) / ((D_s - D_d) / D_d) \quad \text{in } kPa$$

$$219 \beta_1 = \ln (SBP / DBP) / ((D_s - D_d) / D_d) \quad \text{in } mm^2/kPa$$

$$220 \beta_2 = \ln (SBP / DBP / PCS) \quad \text{in } AU$$

221  
222  
223  
224  
225 Where SBP and DBP indicate brachial systolic and diastolic pressures, respectively,  $D_s$  and  $D_d$   
226 indicate maximal systolic and minimum diastolic CCA diameters, respectively and PCS  
227 indicates peak circumferential strain. The reproducibility of the 2D strain imaging and  
228 conventional arterial stiffness variables was determined in 10 participants and intra-observer  
229 variability was assessed by calculating coefficients of variation (CV) (Table 1). Intra-observer  
230 reliability was assessed by performing two ultrasound assessments one hour apart, following a  
231 20 minute period of quiet supine rest. The variability of the 2D strain variables agreed well  
232 with previously reported data from our lab (Black *et al.*, 2016) and was considerably lower  
233 than the variability reported elsewhere (Bjallmark *et al.*, 2010; Yuda *et al.*, 2011; Charwat-Resl  
234 *et al.*, 2016).

235 **(Table 1)**

236 ***Aortic Pulse Wave Velocity (aPWV) and Augmentation Index (AIx)***

237 aPWV and AIx were assessed by an experienced operator using a high fidelity  
238 micromanometer tipped probe (SphygmoCor, AtCor Medical, Sydney, AUS) in accordance to  
239 applanation tonometry guidelines (Townsend *et al.*, 2015). For the assessment of aPWV, the  
240 probe was used to obtain sequential ECG-gated pressure waveforms of the right carotid and  
241 femoral artery, at the site of maximal arterial pulsation. Using the R-wave of the ECG as a  
242 reference frame, pulse-wave transit time was determined automatically by the SphygmoCor  
243 system as the time delay between the carotid and femoral “foot” waveforms. Pulse wave path  
244 length was measured as the distance from the femoral sampling site to the sternal notch minus  
245 the distance from the carotid sampling site to the sternal notch. aPWV was thereafter calculated  
246 as the distance to transit time ratio, expressed in metres per second and normalised to mean  
247 arterial pressure (Townsend *et al.*, 2015).

248

249 Central AIx was determined by pulse wave analysis by placing the micromanometer tipped  
250 probe on the radial artery, just proximal of the radial-ulnar joint. From the radial pressure  
251 waveforms obtained, a corresponding central pressure waveform and thus AIx were calculated  
252 using a previously validated generalised transfer function inherent to the SphygmoCor system  
253 (Chen *et al.*, 1996; Pauca *et al.*, 2001; Sharman *et al.*, 2006). AIx was defined as the difference  
254 between the first and second peaks of the central arterial waveform, expressed as a percentage  
255 of pulse pressure (Townsend *et al.*, 2015). Measurements of aPWV and AIx were obtained in  
256 duplicates with eight to ten cardiac cycles being recorded for each assessment.

257

### 258 *Statistical Analysis*

259 Differences in participant characteristics between moderate and high fit groups at rest were  
260 assessed using independent samples t-tests. A two-factor ANOVA (group vs time) was used to  
261 identify group differences in arterial stiffness at rest and immediately following exercise. If  
262 group differences were observed at rest, additional analysis of post-exercise data was  
263 performed, whereby delta ( $\Delta$ ) change from rest was calculated and analysed using analysis of  
264 covariance (ANCOVA) with resting data as a covariate. Analyses were performed using the  
265 Statistics Package for Social Sciences for Windows, version 21.0 (SPSS Chicago, IL). Data are  
266 presented as means (95% confidence intervals), unless otherwise stated. All data were analysed  
267 for distribution and logarithmically transformed where appropriate. Logarithmically  
268 transformed data were back-transformed to the original units for presentation in the text, and  
269 statistical significance was set *a priori* to  $P < 0.05$  ( $P$  values of “0.000” provided by the statistics  
270 package are reported as “ $< 0.001$ ”).



271

## 272 **Results**

### 273 *Participant characteristics*

274 All participant characteristics are listed in Table 2. There were no significant differences  
275 between the two groups for age, height, body mass or body mass index ( $P > 0.05$ ). By study  
276 design, the high fitness group displayed a significantly higher  $\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}$  than the moderate fitness  
277 group [ $16.6\text{ml kg}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$  (95% CI = 11.9, 21.4);  $P < 0.001$ ] and subsequently achieved a higher  
278 PPO [65W (95% CI = 18, 112);  $P = 0.008$ ]. aPWV was not different between groups ( $P > 0.05$ ),  
279 however, AIx was significantly lower in the high fitness group [-13.8% (95% CI = -4.8, -22.8);  
280  $P = 0.004$ ].

281

### (Table 2)

### 282 *Resting Comparisons*

283 There were no differences in HR, SBP, DBP, PP or MAP between the moderate fitness and  
284 high fitness groups, nor were there any group differences in systolic, diastolic or mean CCA  
285 diameter ( $P > 0.05$ ; Table 3). Similarly, conventional parameters of CCA stiffness;  $E_p$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  
286 distensibility did not differ between groups ( $P > 0.05$ ; Table 3).

287

288 PCS [2.3% (95% CI = 0.43, 4.2);  $P = 0.02$ ; Figure 2A] and S-SR [ $0.25_1/\text{s}$  (95% CI = 0.038,  
289 0.46);  $P = 0.02$ ; Figure 2B] were significantly higher in the high fitness group compared to the  
290 moderate fitness group, whereas,  $\beta_2$  was significantly lower in the high fitness group [-1.1 (95%  
291 CI = -0.02, -2.2);  $P = 0.05$ ; Figure 2D]. There was no difference in D-SR between the high  
292 fitness and moderate fitness groups (Figure 2C).

293

### 294 *Post-Exercise Comparisons*

295 There were no group differences in systolic, diastolic or mean CCA diameter or any  
296 haemodynamic parameter post-exercise ( $P > 0.05$ ; Table 3).  $E_p$  and  $\beta_1$  were significantly higher  
297 in the moderate fitness group post-exercise when compared to the high fitness group [18.3  
298 (95% CI = 1.0, 40.0);  $P = 0.04$ ;  $1.2\text{mm}^2/\text{kPa}$  (95% CI = 0.6, 2.4);  $P = 0.04$  respectively]. In  
299 addition, distensibility tended towards being greater ( $P = 0.07$ ; Table 3) in the high fitness  
300 group following exercise.

301

302 PCS was elevated in the high fitness group post-exercise when compared with the moderate  
303 fitness group [3.7% (95% CI = 1.6, 5.9);  $P = 0.001$ ; Figure 2A]. Similarly, S-SR was  
304 significantly greater [ $0.55_1/\text{s}$  (95% CI = 0.10, 1.01);  $P = 0.02$ ; Figure 2B] and  $\beta_2$  significantly

305 lower [-1.6 (95% CI = -0.21, -2.9); P = 0.03; Figure 2D] in the high fitness group following  
306 exercise. No group differences in D-SR were observed following exercise (Figure 2C).

307 (Table 3)

### 308 *Analysis of Covariance*

309 Post-exercise group differences in S-SR and  $\beta_2$  disappeared following covariate adjustment for  
310 resting data (P > 0.19; Figure 3), however, PCS remained elevated following covariate  
311 adjustment in the high fitness group when compared with the moderate fitness group [1.8 (95%  
312 CI = 0.25, 3.4); P = 0.03; Figure 3].

313

### 314 **Discussion**

315 The aim of this study was to assess the effect of high CRF on conventional and 2D strain  
316 derived indices of CCA stiffness at rest and immediately following a brief bout of aerobic  
317 exercise. In line with our hypothesis, no differences in conventional measures of CCA stiffness  
318 were observed between high and moderately fit males at rest, however, 2D strain imaging of  
319 the CCA revealed greater resting PCS and S-SR in high fit males when compared with their  
320 less fit counterparts. Immediately following exercise, the magnitude of difference in PCS  
321 between groups increased and differences in conventional measures of CCA stiffness emerged,  
322 with moderately fit males displaying an elevated  $E_p$  and  $\beta_1$  stiffness compared to high fit males.  
323 Taken together, our findings suggest that high fit individuals exhibit elevated PCS and S-SR,  
324 which may reflect intrinsic adaptations to the composition of the CCA.

325

### 326 *The influence of cardiorespiratory fitness on conventional measures of arterial stiffness at* 327 *rest*

328 It is well established that normal healthy ageing is associated with stiffening of large elastic  
329 arteries (Lakatta & Levy, 2003; Greenwald, 2007). An abundance of data indicates that regular  
330 exercise training can attenuate the age-related increase in arterial stiffness (Seals *et al.*, 2009),  
331 however, the influence of CRF on arterial stiffness in young individuals is less clear. In the  
332 present study, there was no influence of CRF on conventional measures of local CCA stiffness  
333 in young males at rest. These findings are consistent with those from Tanaka *et al.* (Tanaka *et al.*  
334 *et al.*, 2000) who also report no difference in resting CCA stiffness between sedentary,  
335 recreationally active and endurance trained young men, despite significant differences in  
336  $VO_{2max}$ . However, our data conflict with the findings of the Amsterdam Growth and Health  
337 Longitudinal Study, which reported CRF to be positively associated with both the distensibility  
338 and compliance of the CCA in young individuals (Ferreira *et al.*, 2002; Ferreira *et al.*, 2005).

339 Similarly, a recent meta-analysis has demonstrated that aerobic exercise improves regional  
340 central arterial stiffness (aPWV and AIx) in young and old individuals, but is most effective in  
341 those with greater arterial stiffness at baseline (aPWV >8.0 m·s<sup>-1</sup>) (Ashor *et al.*, 2014). In the  
342 present study, our pooled cohort of healthy young males exhibited relatively low arterial  
343 stiffness (aPWV 5.2±0.7m·s<sup>-1</sup>), therefore it is perhaps unsurprising that no group differences in  
344 aPWV were observed between high- and moderately-fit individuals. Nevertheless, similar to  
345 previous research (Edwards & Lang, 2005), the high fit males in the present study did display  
346 a significantly lower central AIx than the lower fitness group. AIx has been shown to be a more  
347 sensitive measure of arterial stiffness in younger individuals than aPWV (McEniery *et al.*,  
348 2005), which may account for the disparity between these measures in the present study.  
349 However, AIx is a derived measure which is reliant on a transfer function to predict the central  
350 waveform from a peripheral waveform and is independently influenced by gender, age, height,  
351 heart rate and diastolic blood pressure (Hope *et al.*, 2003; Williams, 2004). Nevertheless, as  
352 the present participants were well matched, we suggest that the difference in AIx between the  
353 high and moderately fit groups is likely related to the difference in CRF.

#### 354 ***The influence of cardiorespiratory fitness on 2D strain measures of arterial stiffness at rest***

355 Although numerous studies have reported that exercise training can attenuate the age-related  
356 increase in local and regional arterial stiffness (Seals *et al.*, 2009), the limited number of studies  
357 investigating the effect of exercise in young healthy individuals suggest that conventional  
358 measures of CCA stiffness remain unaltered following training (Tanaka *et al.*, 2000;  
359 Rakobowchuk *et al.*, 2008; Montero *et al.*, 2017). These findings have lead some authors to  
360 propose the notion of a *ceiling effect*, which implies that further improvement of young healthy  
361 elastic arteries is not achievable (Montero *et al.*, 2017). However, as the arterial wall is not  
362 homogeneous, conventional stiffness measures such as  $E_p$ ,  $\beta_1$  and distensibility that assume  
363 homogeneity and are limited to 1D measurement of lumen distension may be inaccurate, as  
364 they cannot reflect whole arterial wall stress. Furthermore, conventional measures only tell us  
365 about the magnitude of change in arterial wall diameter in relation to distension pressure, and  
366 nothing about the rate of change. In contrast, the speckle tracking method allows for 2D  
367 detection of heterogeneous motion pattern and local variations in arterial wall mechanics,  
368 which likely provide a superior index of whole artery wall stress (Bjallmark *et al.*, 2010). In  
369 support of this, it has recently been reported that 2D strain imaging is more sensitive at  
370 detecting age-related alterations in CCA elastic properties than  $E_p$  and  $\beta_1$  (Bjallmark *et al.*,  
371 2010).

372 In the present study, resting differences in conventional measures of arterial stiffness were not  
373 observed between groups, whereas, PCS and S-SR were elevated and  $\beta_2$  lower in high fit males  
374 compared to their less fit counterparts. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate  
375 the effect of CRF status on 2D circumferential strain and strain rate of the CCA. However,  
376 previous research has shown that healthy ageing is associated with reductions in PCS, S-SR  
377 and D-SR of the CCA (Kawasaki *et al.*, 2009; Bjallmark *et al.*, 2010), which may reflect age-  
378 related degeneration of elastin fibres and compensatory increases in collagen within the  
379 extracellular matrix of the arterial wall (Lakatta & Levy, 2003; Greenwald, 2007). Moreover,  
380 in the presence of coronary artery disease, PCS and S-SR are further reduced compared to age-  
381 matched healthy controls (Kawasaki *et al.*, 2009) and a strong inverse correlation between PCS  
382 and Framingham Risk Scores has been observed in asymptomatic individuals (Park *et al.*,  
383 2012). Whilst pathological alterations to intrinsic arterial wall properties may, in part, explain  
384 the reduction in CCA PCS and S-SR in older and diseased populations, it is possible that  
385 exercise-induced improvements in the relative proportion of elastin and collagen explain the  
386 differences in PCS and S-SR between the high- and moderately-fit young males in the present  
387 study. Indeed, animal studies have reported that exercise training increases elastin content  
388 within central arterial walls and reduces the percentage of collagen, frayed elastin fibers and  
389 the calcium content of elastin within the extracellular matrix tissue (Matsuda *et al.*, 1993;  
390 Koutsis *et al.*, 1995). Alternatively, resting PCS and S-SR may be elevated in high fit  
391 individuals due to training-induced alterations in systemic vascular tone. A combination of  
392 enhanced endothelial function, increased basal levels of nitric oxide, reduced oxidative stress  
393 and alterations in sympathetic tone are frequently observed following exercise training (Green  
394 *et al.*, 2011; Green *et al.*, 2017), which may also contribute to reductions in arterial stiffness.

### 395 *The value of exercise in the assessment of local arterial stiffness*

396 PCS and S-SR remained elevated in the high fit group immediately following the acute bout of  
397 moderate intensity exercise. In addition, PCS increased in response to exercise in the high fit  
398 group but remained unaltered in the moderate-fitness group. Importantly, this was observed  
399 following covariate adjustment for group differences in resting PCS and despite comparable  
400 changes in heart rate, blood pressure, MAP and arterial diameter between the groups. In  
401 contrast, post-exercise group differences in S-SR and  $\beta_2$  disappeared following covariate  
402 adjustment for resting data. It is likely that a superior magnitude and rate of artery deformation  
403 during cardiac systole will facilitate an enhanced ability to buffer the exercise-induced  
404 elevation in blood pressure and blood flow in the high fit individuals and may represent a

405 training-induced adaptation. An enhanced ability to buffer this dynamic pulsation is likely to  
406 provide a smooth consistent blood flow to the periphery and improve myocardial efficiency  
407 (Kingwell, 2002), ultimately facilitating an enhanced fitness level. Furthermore, the efficient  
408 buffering of the dynamic elevation in blood pressure and flow may also prevent microvessel  
409 and target organ damage further down the arterial tree (O'Rourke & Safar, 2005). Given that a  
410 primary role of the CCA is to aid the regulation of cerebral blood flow (Hirata *et al.*, 2006), a  
411 reduced ability to buffer blood pressure and flow elevations may have significant pathological  
412 consequences, including increased risk of stroke (Mattace-Raso *et al.*, 2006; Yang *et al.*, 2012).  
413 Consequently, the association between CRF and carotid artery characteristics may have greater  
414 importance with advancing age, especially as circumferential strain and strain rate have been  
415 shown to reduce with healthy aging (Bjallmark *et al.*, 2010).

416 Central arterial stiffness has previously been shown to not change (Munir *et al.*, 2008) or to be  
417 reduced (Kingwell *et al.*, 1997; Sugawara *et al.*, 2003) during recovery from brief,  
418 low/moderate-intensity cycling. In the present study, we did not observe any group differences  
419 in  $Ep$  and  $\beta_1$  at rest, however, both parameters increased in response to exercise in the moderate  
420 fitness group but remained unaltered in the high fitness group. These observations may reflect  
421 an enhanced capacity to modulate acute exercise-induced alterations in sympathetic adrenergic  
422 vasoconstrictor tone, endothelial function, humeral vasoconstrictor release and oxidative stress  
423 in high fit individuals (Green *et al.*, 2011; Green *et al.*, 2017). Importantly, these findings  
424 indicates that exercise is a valuable stimulus capable of revealing fitness-induced differences  
425 in conventional measures of arterial stiffness that were unidentified under resting conditions.  
426 Additionally, this finding also supports the observation of superior PCS and S-SR following  
427 exercise in high fit individuals, which together may reflect a greater ability to buffer exercise-  
428 induced increases in pulse-pressure than their less fit counterparts.

429

### 430 ***Limitations and Future Research***

431 We acknowledge that the present findings were obtained in healthy young males and that  
432 female, elderly and diseased populations may demonstrate a different interaction between  
433 aerobic fitness and 2D strain derived parameters of CCA stiffness. We also recognise that not  
434 collecting data during exercise is a limitation of present study. It was felt that the movement  
435 associated with exercise would have compromised the ability to collect acceptable 2D  
436 ultrasound images. In future studies, with practice and appropriate participant familiarisation,  
437 it may be possible to collect these data during exercise. Comparisons between fitness groups

438 at higher absolute and relative exercise intensities may also help to further unmask the  
439 influence of CRF on CCA properties in the young. Applanation tonometry of the CCA would  
440 have provided a more accurate representation of central arterial pressure and we also  
441 acknowledge that our findings are restricted to the CCA and therefore cannot be applied  
442 systemically. Future studies should also measure 2D strain indices within peripheral arteries to  
443 compare the impact of CRF on the intrinsic arterial wall mechanics of both elastic and muscular  
444 arteries. Finally, it is important to acknowledge that whilst we assessed CRF, we did not record  
445 training history of the participants nor did we recruit a sedentary control group. As such, we  
446 are not able to delineate between the influence of intrinsic CRF and the influence of exercise  
447 training-induced adaptation or the independent deleterious effect of sedentary behaviour on  
448 arterial stiffness. Future studies should investigate the independent impact of sedentary  
449 behaviour on CCA stiffness and examine the possible interaction between sedentary behaviour  
450 and CRF on arterial health.

451

#### 452 ***Conclusion***

453 This is the first study to demonstrate that high fit individuals exhibit distinct CCA wall  
454 mechanics to their less fit counterparts. Elevated PCS and S-SR may reflect training-induced  
455 adaptations that help to buffer the significant rise in pulse-pressure and stroke volume that  
456 occur during exercise. Longitudinal studies that adopt 2D strain imaging techniques are  
457 required to further investigate the influence of exercise training on intrinsic arterial wall  
458 mechanics.

459

#### 460 **References**

461

462 Ashor AW, Lara J, Siervo M, Celis-Morales C & Mathers JC (2014). Effects of exercise  
463 modalities on arterial stiffness and wave reflection: a systematic review and meta-  
464 analysis of randomized controlled trials. PloS one 9, e110034.

465

466 Binder J, Bailey KR, Seward JB, Squires RW, Kunihiro T, Hensrud DD & Kullo IJ (2006).  
467 Aortic augmentation index is inversely associated with cardiorespiratory fitness in men  
468 without known coronary heart disease. American journal of hypertension 19, 1019-  
469 1024.

470

471 Bjallmark A, Lind B, Peolsson M, Shahgaldi K, Brodin LA & Nowak J (2010).  
472 Ultrasonographic strain imaging is superior to conventional non-invasive measures of  
473 vascular stiffness in the detection of age-dependent differences in the mechanical  
474 properties of the common carotid artery. Eur J Echocardiogr 11, 630-636.

475

476 Black JM, Stohr EJ, Stone K, Pugh CJ, Stembridge M, Shave R & Esformes JI (2016). The  
477 effect of an acute bout of resistance exercise on carotid artery strain and strain rate.  
478 *Physiol Rep* 4.  
479

480 Boreham CA, Ferreira I, Twisk JW, Gallagher AM, Savage MJ & Murray LJ (2004).  
481 Cardiorespiratory fitness, physical activity, and arterial stiffness: the Northern Ireland  
482 Young Hearts Project. *Hypertension* 44, 721-726.  
483

484 Charwat-Resl S, Niessner A, Mueller M, Bartko PE, Giurgea GA, Zehetmayer S, Willfort-  
485 Ehringer A, Koppensteiner R & Schlager O (2016). Speckle-Tracking-Based  
486 Evaluation of Vascular Strain at Different Sites of the Arterial Tree in Healthy Adults.  
487 *Ultraschall Med* 37, 503-508.  
488

489 Chen CH, Ting CT, Nussbacher A, Nevo E, Kass DA, Pak P, Wang SP, Chang MS & Yin FC  
490 (1996). Validation of carotid artery tonometry as a means of estimating augmentation  
491 index of ascending aortic pressure. *Hypertension* 27, 168-175.  
492

493 Edwards DG & Lang JT (2005). Augmentation index and systolic load are lower in competitive  
494 endurance athletes. *American journal of hypertension* 18, 679-683.  
495

496 Eugene M, Vandewalle H, Bertholon JF & Teillac A (1986). Arterial elasticity and physical  
497 working capacity in young men. *J Appl Physiol* (1985) 61, 1720-1723.  
498

499 Ferreira I, Henry RM, Twisk JW, van Mechelen W, Kemper HC & Stehouwer CD (2005). The  
500 metabolic syndrome, cardiopulmonary fitness, and subcutaneous trunk fat as  
501 independent determinants of arterial stiffness: the Amsterdam Growth and Health  
502 Longitudinal Study. *Archives of internal medicine* 165, 875-882.  
503

504 Ferreira I, Twisk JW, Stehouwer CD, van Mechelen W & Kemper HC (2003). Longitudinal  
505 changes in  $\dot{V}O_2\text{max}$ : associations with carotid IMT and arterial stiffness. *Medicine*  
506 *and science in sports and exercise* 35, 1670-1678.  
507

508 Ferreira I, Twisk JW, Van Mechelen W, Kemper HC & Stehouwer CD (2002). Current and  
509 adolescent levels of cardiopulmonary fitness are related to large artery properties at age  
510 36: the Amsterdam Growth and Health Longitudinal Study. *European journal of clinical*  
511 *investigation* 32, 723-731.  
512

513 Gando Y, Kawano H, Yamamoto K, Sanada K, Tanimoto M, Oh T, Ohmori Y, Miyatani M,  
514 Usui C, Takahashi E, Tabata I, Higuchi M & Miyachi M (2010). Age and  
515 cardiorespiratory fitness are associated with arterial stiffening and left ventricular  
516 remodelling. *J Hum Hypertens* 24, 197-206.  
517

518 Green DJ, Hopman MT, Padilla J, Laughlin MH & Thijssen DH (2017). Vascular Adaptation  
519 to Exercise in Humans: Role of Hemodynamic Stimuli. *Physiol Rev* 97, 495-528.  
520

521 Green DJ, Spence A, Halliwill JR, Cable NT & Thijssen DH (2011). Exercise and vascular  
522 adaptation in asymptomatic humans. *Experimental physiology* 96, 57-70.  
523

524 Greenwald SE (2007). Ageing of the conduit arteries. *J Pathol* 211, 157-172.  
525

- 526 Hirata K, Yaginuma T, O'Rourke MF & Kawakami M (2006). Age-related changes in carotid  
527 artery flow and pressure pulses: possible implications for cerebral microvascular  
528 disease. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* 37, 2552-2556.  
529
- 530 Hope SA, Tay DB, Meredith IT & Cameron JD (2003). Use of arterial transfer functions for  
531 the derivation of aortic waveform characteristics. *Journal of hypertension* 21, 1299-  
532 1305.  
533
- 534 Kawasaki T, Fukuda S, Shimada K, Maeda K, Yoshida K, Sunada H, Inanami H, Tanaka H,  
535 Jissho S, Taguchi H, Yoshiyama M & Yoshikawa J (2009). Direct measurement of wall  
536 stiffness for carotid arteries by ultrasound strain imaging. *Journal of the American*  
537 *Society of Echocardiography : official publication of the American Society of*  
538 *Echocardiography* 22, 1389-1395.  
539
- 540 Kingwell BA (2002). Large artery stiffness: implications for exercise capacity and  
541 cardiovascular risk. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol* 29, 214-217.  
542
- 543 Kingwell BA, Berry KL, Cameron JD, Jennings GL & Dart AM (1997). Arterial compliance  
544 increases after moderate-intensity cycling. *The American journal of physiology* 273,  
545 H2186-2191.  
546
- 547 Koutsis G, Kadi F, Vandewalle H, Lechat P, Hadjiisky P & Monod H (1995). Effects of an  
548 endurance training programme on the passive and noradrenaline-activated compliances  
549 of rat aorta. *European journal of applied physiology and occupational physiology* 71,  
550 173-179.  
551
- 552 Lakatta EG & Levy D (2003). Arterial and cardiac aging: major shareholders in cardiovascular  
553 disease enterprises: Part I: aging arteries: a "set up" for vascular disease. *Circulation*  
554 107, 139-146.  
555
- 556 Laurent S, Cockcroft J, Van Bortel L, Boutouyrie P, Giannattasio C, Hayoz D, Pannier B,  
557 Vlachopoulos C, Wilkinson I & Struijker-Boudier H (2006). Expert consensus  
558 document on arterial stiffness: methodological issues and clinical applications.  
559 *European heart journal* 27, 2588-2605.  
560
- 561 Matsuda M, Nosaka T, Sato M & Ohshima N (1993). Effects of physical exercise on the  
562 elasticity and elastic components of the rat aorta. *European journal of applied*  
563 *physiology and occupational physiology* 66, 122-126.  
564
- 565 Mattace-Raso FU, van der Cammen TJ, Hofman A, van Popele NM, Bos ML, Schalekamp  
566 MA, Asmar R, Reneman RS, Hoeks AP, Breteler MM & Witteman JC (2006). Arterial  
567 stiffness and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: the Rotterdam Study. *Circulation*  
568 113, 657-663.  
569
- 570 McEniery CM, Yasmin, Hall IR, Qasem A, Wilkinson IB & Cockcroft JR (2005). Normal  
571 vascular aging: differential effects on wave reflection and aortic pulse wave velocity:  
572 the Anglo-Cardiff Collaborative Trial (ACCT). *Journal of the American College of*  
573 *Cardiology* 46, 1753-1760.  
574



575 Montero D, Breenfeldt-Andersen A, Oberholzer L & Haider T (2017). Effect of Exercise on  
576 Arterial Stiffness: Is There a Ceiling Effect? *American journal of hypertension* 30,  
577 1069-1072.  
578

579 Munir S, Jiang B, Guilcher A, Brett S, Redwood S, Marber M & Chowienczyk P (2008).  
580 Exercise reduces arterial pressure augmentation through vasodilation of muscular  
581 arteries in humans. *American journal of physiology. Heart and circulatory physiology*  
582 294, H1645-1650.  
583

584 Nichols WW, O'Rourke, MF (2011). *McDonald's Blood Flow in Arteries: Theoretical,*  
585 *Experimental and Clinical Principles. Sixth Edition. Arnold, London UK.*  
586

587 O'Rourke MF & Safar ME (2005). Relationship between aortic stiffening and microvascular  
588 disease in brain and kidney: cause and logic of therapy. *Hypertension* 46, 200-204.  
589

590 Oishi Y, Mizuguchi Y, Miyoshi H, Iuchi A, Nagase N & Oki T (2008). A novel approach to  
591 assess aortic stiffness related to changes in aging using a two-dimensional strain  
592 imaging. *Echocardiography* 25, 941-945.  
593

594 Otsuki T, Maeda S, Sugawara J, Kesen Y, Murakami H, Tanabe T, Miyauchi T, Kuno S,  
595 Ajisaka R & Matsuda M (2006). Age-Related Reduction of Systemic Arterial  
596 Compliance Relates to Decreased Aerobic Capacity during Sub-Maximal Exercise.  
597 *Hypertension Research* 29, 759.  
598

599 Park HE, Cho GY, Kim HK, Kim YJ & Sohn DW (2012). Validation of circumferential carotid  
600 artery strain as a screening tool for subclinical atherosclerosis. *J Atheroscler Thromb*  
601 19, 349-356.  
602

603 Pauca AL, O'Rourke MF & Kon ND (2001). Prospective evaluation of a method for estimating  
604 ascending aortic pressure from the radial artery pressure waveform. *Hypertension* 38,  
605 932-937.  
606

607 Rakobowchuk M, Tanguay S, Burgomaster KA, Howarth KR, Gibala MJ & MacDonald MJ  
608 (2008). Sprint interval and traditional endurance training induce similar improvements  
609 in peripheral arterial stiffness and flow-mediated dilation in healthy humans. *American*  
610 *journal of physiology. Regulatory, integrative and comparative physiology* 295, R236-  
611 242.  
612

613 Seals DR, Walker AE, Pierce GL & Lesniewski LA (2009). Habitual exercise and vascular  
614 ageing. *The Journal of physiology* 587, 5541-5549.  
615

616 Sharman JE, Lim R, Qasem AM, Coombes JS, Burgess MI, Franco J, Garrahy P, Wilkinson  
617 IB & Marwick TH (2006). Validation of a generalized transfer function to  
618 noninvasively derive central blood pressure during exercise. *Hypertension* 47, 1203-  
619 1208.  
620

621 Sugawara J, Otsuki T, Tanabe T, Maeda S, Kuno S, Ajisaka R & Matsuda M (2003). The  
622 effects of low-intensity single-leg exercise on regional arterial stiffness. *Jpn J Physiol*  
623 53, 239-241.  
624

- 625 Tanaka H, DeSouza CA & Seals DR (1998). Absence of age-related increase in central arterial  
626 stiffness in physically active women. *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology*  
627 18, 127-132.
- 628
- 629 Tanaka H, Dinunno FA, Monahan KD, Clevenger CM, DeSouza CA & Seals DR (2000).  
630 Aging, habitual exercise, and dynamic arterial compliance. *Circulation* 102, 1270-1275.  
631
- 632 Townsend RR, Wilkinson IB, Schiffrin EL, Avolio AP, Chirinos JA, Cockcroft JR, Heffernan  
633 KS, Lakatta EG, McEniery CM, Mitchell GF, Najjar SS, Nichols WW, Urbina EM &  
634 Weber T (2015). Recommendations for Improving and Standardizing Vascular  
635 Research on Arterial Stiffness: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart  
636 Association. *Hypertension* 66, 698-722.  
637
- 638 Vaitkevicius PV, Fleg JL, Engel JH, O'Connor FC, Wright JG, Lakatta LE, Yin FC & Lakatta  
639 EG (1993). Effects of age and aerobic capacity on arterial stiffness in healthy adults.  
640 *Circulation* 88, 1456-1462.  
641
- 642 Williams B (2004). Pulse wave analysis and hypertension: evangelism versus scepticism.  
643 *Journal of hypertension* 22, 447-449.  
644
- 645 Yang EY, Chambless L, Sharrett AR, Virani SS, Liu X, Tang Z, Boerwinkle E, Ballantyne CM  
646 & Nambi V (2012). Carotid arterial wall characteristics are associated with incident  
647 ischemic stroke but not coronary heart disease in the Atherosclerosis Risk in  
648 Communities (ARIC) study. *Stroke; a journal of cerebral circulation* 43, 103-108.  
649
- 650 Yuda S, Kaneko R, Muranaka A, Hashimoto A, Tsuchihashi K, Miura T, Watanabe N &  
651 Shimamoto K (2011). Quantitative measurement of circumferential carotid arterial  
652 strain by two-dimensional speckle tracking imaging in healthy subjects.  
653 *Echocardiography* 28, 899-906.  
654

### 655 **Figure Legends:**

656

657 **Figure 1.** The region of interest identifying the cross-sectional area of the common carotid  
658 artery on a short-axis image (A) and typical global peak circumferential strain (B) and strain  
659 rate (C) curves generated using two-dimensional strain imaging.  
660

661 **Figure 2.** Peak circumferential strain (A; group effect:  $P = 0.002$ ), systolic strain rate (B; group  
662 effect:  $P = 0.02$ ), diastolic strain rate (C; group effect:  $P = 0.18$ ) and Beta stiffness index II (D;  
663 group effect:  $P = 0.02$ ) of the common carotid artery (CCA) at rest and immediately following  
664 5-min of moderate intensity cycling in moderate and high fitness groups. \*:  $P < .05$  after  
665 ANOVA post-hoc analysis; Values are means  $\pm$  SD.  
666

667 **Figure 3.** Delta ( $\Delta$ ) change in peak circumferential strain (A; group effect:  $P = 0.03$ ), systolic  
668 strain rate (B; group effect:  $P = 0.39$ ) and Beta stiffness index II (C; group effect:  $P = 0.19$ ) of  
669 the common carotid artery (CCA) from rest to post-exercise in moderate and high fitness  
670 groups. Data presented following covariate-adjustment (ANCOVA) for resting data. \*:  $P < .05$   
671 after post-hoc analysis; Values are means  $\pm$  SD.  
672

673 **Table 1. The intra-observer variability of 2D strain and conventional local arterial**  
674 **stiffness variables.**

| Measured Variable                       | Mean  | SD  | Intra-observer CV (%) |
|---|-------|-----|-----------------------|
| <b>Global circumferential variables</b> |       |     |                       |
| Peak strain (%)                         | 11    | 2.3 | 4.9                   |
| Peak systolic strain rate (1/s)         | 1.1   | 0.2 | 3.4                   |
| Peak diastolic strain rate (1/s)        | - 0.3 | 0.1 | 9.7                   |
| $\beta_2$ stiffness index               | 16.1  | 3   | 4.9                   |
| <b>CCA diameters</b>                    |       |     |                       |
| Systolic (mm)                           | 6.7   | 0.6 | 1.0                   |
| Diastolic (mm)                          | 5.6   | 0.5 | 1.3                   |
| <b>Conventional variables</b>           |       |     |                       |
| $E_p$ (kPa)                             | 34.7  | 4.5 | 5.7                   |
| $\beta_1$ stiffness index               | 2.8   | 0.4 | 5.7                   |

675 CV: coefficient of variation; CCA: common carotid artery.  $E_p$ : Peterson's elastic modulus.

676  
677

678 **Table 2. Baseline characteristics of study participants.**

| Characteristics  | Moderate Fitness (n =17) | High Fitness (n =17) |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Age (y)  | 21 (20, 22)              | 21 (19, 22)          |
| Height (cm)  | 181.1 (176.7, 185.4)     | 178.0 (174.2, 181.8) |
| Body mass (kg)   | 76.6 (71.8, 84.4)        | 72.6 (69.2, 76.1)    |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )                                     | 23.3(22.6, 24.0)         | 22.9 (21.8, 24.1)    |
| VO <sub>2max</sub> (ml·kg <sup>-1</sup> ·min <sup>-1</sup> ) | 49.2 (43.8, 54.5)        | 66.7 (63.3, 70.1)*   |
| 40% PPO (W)  | 138 (122, 153)           | 164 (152, 176)*      |
| aPWV (m·s <sup>-1</sup> )                                    | 5.4 (4.9, 6.0)           | 5.1 (4.7, 5.4)       |
| Central AIx (%)  | 8.5 (-0.65, 17.6)        | -5.0 (-0.23, -9.7,)* |

679 VO<sub>2max</sub>: Maximal oxygen consumption; PPO: Peak power output; aPWV: aortic pulse wave velocity adjusted for  
680 mean arterial pressure; AIx: central augmentation index;\*:  $P < 0.05$  vs. moderate fitness; Data are presented as  
681 means (95% CI).

682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694

**Table 3. Haemodynamic variables and common carotid artery (CCA) diameters and conventional stiffness indices at rest and following 5-min of moderate intensity cycling.**

| Measured variable    | Moderate fitness (n = 17) |               | High fitness (n = 17) |               |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
|                      | Rest                      | Post Exercise | Rest                  | Post Exercise |
| <b>Haemodynamics</b> |                           |               |                       |               |

|  |                  |                             |                   |                             |
|--|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| HR (bpm)                                 | 59 (55,62)       | 76 (70, 82) <sup>†</sup>    | 54 (48,57)        | 68 (62,74) <sup>†</sup>     |
| SBP (mmHg)                               | 123 (120,129)    | 141 (133,149) <sup>†</sup>  | 120 (116,125)     | 144 (136,151) <sup>†</sup>  |
| DBP (mmHg)                               | 78 (73,83)       | 75 (69, 80)                 | 73 (67,79)        | 70 (65,77)                  |
| PP (mmHg)                                | 45 (42,51)       | 68 (60,76) <sup>†</sup>     | 47 (43,52)        | 73 (65,81) <sup>†</sup>     |
| MAP (mmHg)                               | 92 (86,96)       | 96 (90,101) <sup>†</sup>    | 89 (84,93)        | 94 (90,101) <sup>†</sup>    |
| <b>CCA diameters</b>                     |                  |                             |                   |                             |
| Systolic (mm)                            | 6.7 (6.15, 6.74) | 6.56 (6.26, 6.86)           | 6.4 (6.1, 6.69)   | 6.55 (6.27, 6.82)           |
| Diastolic (mm)                           | 5.63 (5.36, 5.9) | 5.64 (5.38, 5.9)            | 5.45 (5.18, 5.72) | 5.38 (5.13, 5.64)           |
| Mean (mm)                                | 6.04 (5.76,6.33) | 6.1 (5.81, 6.4)             | 5.92 (5.65, 6.2)  | 6.0 (5.68, 6.22)            |
| <b>Stiffness variables</b>               |                  |                             |                   |                             |
| Ep (kPa)                                 | 40 (37, 50)      | 64 (45, 83) <sup>†</sup>    | 38 (34, 40)       | 46 (41, 50) <sup>*</sup>    |
| $\beta_1$ (mm <sup>2</sup> /kPa)         | 3.1 (2.9, 3.8)   | 4.6 (3.4, 5.7) <sup>†</sup> | 3.0 (2.7, 3.3)    | 3.4 (3.0, 3.7) <sup>*</sup> |
| Distensibility (mmHg x10 <sup>-3</sup> ) | 3.3 (2.9, 3.8)   | 2.5 (2.0, 3.0) <sup>†</sup> | 3.7 (3.4, 4.0)    | 3.1 (2.7, 3.4) <sup>†</sup> |

695 HR: heart rate; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; PP: pulse pressure; MAP: mean  
696 arterial pressure; Ep: Peterson's elastic modulus;  $\beta_1$  Beta stiffness index. †: Significantly different to resting value  
697 (P <0.05); \* Significant difference between moderate and high fitness groups (P <0.05). Data are presented as  
698 means (95% CI).  
699

## 700 Competing Interests

701 None declared

702

## 703 Author contributions

704 CJAP, K.S and R.S. contributed to the conception and design of the experiment, data collection,  
705 analysis, interpretation of the data and the drafting of the manuscript. E.J.S., B.J.M., J.T., J.S.T.,  
706 D.J.W and J.C. contributed to data collection and analysis and the critical revision of the  
707 manuscript for its intellectual content. All authors have approved the final version of the  
708 manuscript.

709

## 710 Funding

711 There is no funding to be declared in relation to this study.

712

## 713 Acknowledgements

714 The authors would like to thank James Cox, Michael Harrison, Joshua Howard, Geraint  
715 Phillips, Jake Samuels and Jane Black for their valuable contribution to the project.